

| Contek Comments | Emission Source Type | Calculation Approach | Leak Detection Required (i.e. IR) | Emissions Calculated/Reported | Data Collection/Programming Requirements | Other | Emissions Equation | Contek Changes/Additions |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Pneumatic High Bleed Device Venting | mfr data & analysis (analyzer or sampling) | not required | Volumetric natural gas and CO ₂ /CH ₄ (Volumetric and Mass) Total Mass CO ₂ e | Annual Minutes Vented (In operation through reporting period) | Annual average Mole % from continuous analyzer or quarterly analysis Manufacturer's bleed rate | $E = B * T$ | $E_{g,n} = B_g * T$ (Volume of gas at std conditions) $E_{s,i} = E_{g,n} * M_i$ (Volume of CO ₂ or CH ₄ at std. conditions) $Mass_{s,i} = E_{s,i} * Density * GWP * 10^{-3}$ (CO ₂ e mass of CO ₂ or CH ₄) |
| | Pneumatic Low Bleed Device Venting | default emission factors | not required | Mass CO ₂ /CH ₄ Total Mass CO ₂ e | Device Count and GHG Concentration | Emission factors provided in Tables W-1, W-3, and W-4 (Population factors) | $Mass = Count * EF * GHG Conc * Conv * 24 * 365$ | |
| | Pneumatic Pump Venting | mfr data & analysis (analyzer or sampling) | not required | Volumetric natural gas and CO ₂ /CH ₄ (Volumetric and Mass) Total Mass CO ₂ e | Annual Volume of Liquid Pumped (must be logged per individual pump) | Manufacturer's emission per volume of liquid (at specific operating pressure) Annual average Mole % from continuous analyzer or quarterly analysis | $E = F * V$ | $E_{g,n} = F_g * V$ (Volume of gas at std. conditions) $E_{s,i} = E_{g,n} * M_i$ (Volume of CO ₂ or CH ₄ at std. conditions) $Mass_{s,i} = E_{s,i} * Density * GWP * 10^{-3}$ (CO ₂ e mass of CO ₂ or CH ₄) |
| | Acid Gas Removal Vents | mass balance & analysis (analyzer or sampling) | | Volumetric CO ₂ Total Mass CO ₂ e | Metered Natural Gas Flow and Percent CO ₂ (in/out) Ambient temperatures and pressures | Annual average Mole % from continuous analyzer or quarterly analysis | $E = (V_1 * \%Vol_1) - (V_2 * \%Vol_2)$ | $E_{a,CO_2} = (V_1 * \%Vol_1) - (V_2 * \%Vol_2)$ (Volume of CO ₂ at ambient conditions) $E_{s,i} = (E_{a,CO_2} * (460 + T_a) * P_a) / ((460 + T_s) * P_s)$ (Volume of CO ₂ at std. conditions) $Mass_{s,i} = E_{s,i} * Density * GWP * 10^{-3}$ (CO ₂ e mass of CO ₂) |
| | Dehy Vent Stacks (without vapor recovery or thermal control) | Simulation - Glycalc | | Volumetric and Mass CO ₂ /CH ₄ Total Mass CO ₂ e | GlyCalc | | | |
| | Well Venting for Liquids Unloading | Measurement & analysis (analyzer or sampling) | | Volumetric natural gas and CO ₂ /CH ₄ (Volumetric and Mass) Total Mass CO ₂ e | Annual Hours Vented and Tubing Diameter/Well-field Specific Emission Factor Ambient temperatures and pressures OR Well Specific Calculation Including: Casing diameter Well depth Shut-in pressure Vent Log Sales flow rate Hours of unloading | Method 1: Can use stats as long as in same field and tubing size Annual average Mole % from continuous analyzer or quarterly analysis | $E = T * FR$ OR $E = [(0.37 \times 10^{-3}) * CD^2 * WD * SP * V] + (SFR * HR)$ | METHOD 1: $E_{g,n} = T * FR$ (Volume of gas at ambient conditions) $E_{g,n} = (E_{g,n} * (460 + T_a) * P_a) / ((460 + T_s) * P_s)$ (Volume of gas at std. conditions) $E_{s,i} = E_{g,n} * M_i$ (Volume of CO ₂ or CH ₄ at std. conditions) $Mass_{s,i} = E_{s,i} * Density * GWP * 10^{-3}$ (CO ₂ e mass of CO ₂) METHOD 2: $E_{g,n} = [(0.37 \times 10^{-3}) * CD^2 * WD * SP * V] + (SFR * HR)$ (Volume of gas at standard conditions) $E_{s,i} = E_{g,n} * M_i$ (Volume of CO ₂ or CH ₄ at standard conditions) $Mass_{s,i} = E_{s,i} * Density * GWP * 10^{-3}$ (CO ₂ e mass of CO ₂ or CH ₄) |
| | Gas Well Venting for Unconventional Completions/Workovers | Measurement & analysis (analyzer or sampling) | | Volumetric natural gas and CO ₂ /CH ₄ (Volumetric and Mass) Total Mass CO ₂ e | Annual Hours Vented and Venting Flow Rate Ambient temperatures and pressures | Annual average Mole % from continuous analyzer or quarterly analysis | $E = T * FR$ | $E_{g,n} = T * FR$ (Volume of gas at ambient conditions) $E_{g,n} = (E_{g,n} * (460 + T_a) * P_a) / ((460 + T_s) * P_s)$ (Volume of gas at std. conditions) $E_{s,i} = E_{g,n} * M_i$ (Volume of CO ₂ or CH ₄ at std. conditions) $Mass_{s,i} = E_{s,i} * Density * GWP * 10^{-3}$ (CO ₂ e mass of CO ₂) |
| | Gas Well Venting for Conventional Completions/Workovers | Measurement & analysis (analyzer or sampling) | | Volumetric natural gas and CO ₂ /CH ₄ (Volumetric and Mass) Total Mass CO ₂ e | Daily Gas Production Rate (cfm) and Annual Hours Vented Ambient temperatures and pressures | Annual average Mole % from continuous analyzer or quarterly analysis | $E = V * T$ | $E_{g,n} = V * T$ (Volume of gas at ambient conditions) $E_{g,n} = (E_{g,n} * (460 + T_a) * P_a) / ((460 + T_s) * P_s)$ (Volume of gas at std. conditions) $E_{s,i} = E_{g,n} * M_i$ (Volume of CO ₂ or CH ₄ at std. conditions) $Mass_{s,i} = E_{s,i} * Density * GWP * 10^{-3}$ (CO ₂ e mass of CO ₂) |
| Blowdown vent stacks are not currently listed in Natural Gas Production. | Blowdown Vent Stacks | Calculation | | Volumetric natural gas and CO ₂ /CH ₄ (Volumetric and Mass) | Number of blowdowns per year (must be logged) Total Volume of Blowdown Equipment | | $E = N * V$ | |
| | Storage Tanks | Simulation - E&P Tank | | | E&P Tank (adjusted for vapor recovery for beneficial use) | | | |
| | Well Testing Venting | Calculation & analysis (analyzer or sampling) | | Volumetric natural gas and CO ₂ /CH ₄ (Volumetric and Mass) Total Mass CO ₂ e | GOR BPD Flow Rate Ambient temperatures and pressures | Annual average Mole % from continuous analyzer or quarterly analysis | $E = GOR * FR * D$ | $E_{g,n} = GOR * FR * D$ (Volume of gas at ambient conditions) $E_{g,n} = (E_{g,n} * (460 + T_a) * P_a) / ((460 + T_s) * P_s)$ (Volume of gas at std. conditions) $E_{s,i} = E_{g,n} * M_i$ (Volume of CO ₂ or CH ₄ at std. conditions) $Mass_{s,i} = E_{s,i} * Density * GWP * 10^{-3}$ (CO ₂ e mass of CO ₂) |
| | Associated Gas Venting | Calculation & analysis (analyzer or sampling) | | Volumetric natural gas and CO ₂ /CH ₄ (Volumetric and Mass) Total Mass CO ₂ e | GOR Barrels per Year Produced Oil Ambient temperatures and pressures | Annual average Mole % from continuous analyzer or quarterly analysis | $E = GOR * V$ | $E_{g,n} = GOR * V$ (Volume of gas at ambient conditions) $E_{g,n} = (E_{g,n} * (460 + T_a) * P_a) / ((460 + T_s) * P_s)$ (Volume of gas at std. conditions) $E_{s,i} = E_{g,n} * M_i$ (Volume of CO ₂ or CH ₄ at std. conditions) $Mass_{s,i} = E_{s,i} * Density * GWP * 10^{-3}$ (CO ₂ e mass of CO ₂) |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| Flare Stacks (Also used for flared emissions from dehy vent stacks, storage tanks, well testing, associated gas venting, and degassing vent vapors) | Calculation & analysis (analyzer or sampling) | | Volumetric natural gas and CO ₂ /CH ₄ (Volumetric and Mass) Total Mass CO ₂ e N ₂ O Emissions | Dependent Ambient temperatures and pressures | N ₂ O calculated using emission factors for gas flares, Table W-8 | $E(\text{uncombust}) = V \cdot (1-\eta) \cdot X$ $E(\text{combusted}) = \sum \eta \cdot V \cdot Y \cdot R$ $E(\text{total}) = E(\text{uncombust}) + E(\text{combusted})$ | $E_{a,i}(\text{uncombusted}) = V_{a,i} \cdot (1-\eta) \cdot X_i$ $E_{a,CO_2}(\text{combusted}) = \sum \eta \cdot V_a \cdot Y_i \cdot R_i$ $E_{a,i}(\text{total}) = E_{a,i}(\text{uncombusted}) + E_{a,i}(\text{combusted})$ $E_{v,i} = (E_{a,i} \cdot (460 + T_a) \cdot P_a) / ((460 + T_a) \cdot P_a)$ (Volume of GHG _i at std. conditions) $Mass_{a,i} = E_{a,i} \cdot \text{Density} \cdot \text{GWP} \cdot 10^{-3}$ (CO ₂ e mass of CO ₂) |
| Centrifugal Compressor Wet Seal Degassing Vents | Measurement & analysis (analyzer or sampling) | not required | Volumetric CO ₂ /CH ₄ (Volumetric and Mass) Total Mass CO ₂ e | Measured Gas Flow to Vent Operational time log VRU operating log (if applicable) % used for fuel gas (if applicable) | Annual average Mole % from continuous analyzer or quarterly analysis | $E = MT \cdot T \cdot M \cdot (1-B)$ | $E_{a,i} = MT \cdot T \cdot M_i \cdot (1-B)$ $E_{v,i} = (E_{a,i} \cdot (460 + T_a) \cdot P_a) / ((460 + T_a) \cdot P_a)$ (Volume of GHG _i at std. conditions) $Mass_{a,i} = E_{a,i} \cdot \text{Density} \cdot \text{GWP} \cdot 10^{-3}$ (CO ₂ e mass of CO ₂) |
| Reciprocating Compressor Rod Packing Venting | Measurement & analysis (analyzer or sampling) | under certain circumstances Uncertain about compressors for production - submitted question to EPA. Do not believe this will be | Volumetric CO ₂ /CH ₄ (Volumetric and Mass) Total Mass CO ₂ e | Measured Gas Flow to Vent Operational time log OR Annual Leak Detection via Optical Monitoring and Measurement for Leakers | No de minimus HP Annual average Mole % from continuous analyzer or quarterly analysis | $E = MT \cdot T \cdot M \cdot (1-B)$ | $E_{a,i} = MT \cdot T \cdot M_i$ $E_{v,i} = (E_{a,i} \cdot (460 + T_a) \cdot P_a) / ((460 + T_a) \cdot P_a)$ (Volume of GHG _i at std. conditions) $Mass_{a,i} = E_{a,i} \cdot \text{Density} \cdot \text{GWP} \cdot 10^{-3}$ (CO ₂ e mass of CO ₂) |
| Fugitives Population emission factors - optical leak detection not required (For streams with gas content >10%) | Measurement Analysis ??? | under certain circumstances | Volumetric CO ₂ /CH ₄ (Volumetric and Mass) Total Mass CO ₂ e | Annual hours of operation. Emissions calculated for pneumatic low bleed device venting, gathering pipeline fugitives, coal bed methane produced water emissions and fugitive emissions from valves, connectors, open ended lines, pressure relief valves, compressor start gas vents, pumps, flanges, and | Emission factors provided in Tables W-1 through W-7 (Population factors) Concentration of GHG _i | $E = \text{Count} \cdot \text{EF} \cdot \text{GHG} \cdot T$ | $E_{v,i} = \text{Count} \cdot \text{EF} \cdot \text{GHG}_i \cdot T$ (Volume of CO ₂ or CH ₄ at std. conditions) $Mass_{a,i} = E_{v,i} \cdot \text{Density} \cdot \text{GWP} \cdot 10^{-3}$ (CO ₂ e mass of CO ₂ or CH ₄) |
| Hydrocarbon (HC) Liquids - Dissolved CO ₂ | Analysis | | Total Mass CO ₂ e | Quarterly Sampling to Determine Retention of CO ₂ in HC Liquids | | $\text{Mass} = S \cdot V$ | $Mass_{a,CO_2} = S_{H_2O} \cdot V_{H_2O}$ |
| Produced Water - Dissolved CO ₂ | Analysis | | Total Mass CO ₂ e | Quarterly sampling to determine retention of CO ₂ in produced water | | $\text{Mass} = S \cdot V$ | $Mass_{a,CO_2} = S_{pw} \cdot V_{pw}$ |
| Portable Equipment - Combustion Emissions | Measurement or Operating hours | | Total Mass CO ₂ e | Annual Quantity of Fuel Combusted | Subpart C, Tier 1 - Default values | Subpart C, Tier 1 | |
| CO ₂ Captured and Transferred Offsite | Measurement | | | Metered Quantity of CO ₂ Transferred (recorded quarterly) | | Subpart PP Methodology | |

Hand Delivery

MEMORANDUM

TO: Administrator Cass R. Sunstein
*White House Office of Information and
Regulatory Affairs*

FROM: Grover R. Campbell
Chairman, Air Subcommittee

DATE: September 29, 2010

RE: EPA's Mandatory Greenhouse Gas Reporting
Rule, Subpart W

The American Exploration & Production Council ("AXPC") thanks you for the opportunity to meet with you and your staff at the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs on September 29, 2010, to discuss elements of EPA's proposed Mandatory Greenhouse Gas Reporting Rule.

Subpart W of EPA's proposed rule addresses GHG emissions from petroleum and natural gas systems.¹ AXPC is a national trade association representing 25 of the largest United States independent natural gas and crude traded corporations. AXPC members are leaders in developing and applying technology necessary to explore for and extract oil and gas onshore and offshore, including in deep water and from unconventional reservoirs. AXPC's members are among the most active in drilling natural gas and oil exploration and development wells in the United States, accounting for nearly one quarter of all wells drilled.

AXPC would like to highlight three points concerning the proposed Subpart W:

- 1. Substantially the same GHG inventory accuracy can be achieved at much less cost.**
- 2. A tiered system covering upstream production would be practical and effective.**
- 3. Emissions from portable equipment can be exempted without impairing the inventory.**

¹ 75 Fed. Reg. 18,608 (Apr. 12, 2010).

Subpart W Imposes Disproportionate Compliance Costs with Minimal Added Accuracy.

The natural gas sector, a minor contributor to the national GHG inventory, should not be required to incur admittedly disproportionate costs to measure small-scale emissions across hundreds of thousands of individual sources.

EPA's cost estimates for proposed Subpart W are unreasonably low. AXPC and industry associations have prepared detailed analysis showing costs more than sixty (60) times EPA's estimates.²

Even using EPA's estimates, and even though GHG emissions from Subpart W sources are a very small part of the national GHG inventory, Subpart W sources bear a disproportionate burden – \$41,000 per covered entity versus \$13,000 per entity cost under the GHG Inventory Rule as a whole.

Subpart W covers only 1.4% of nationwide GHG emissions yet would apply to 500,000-750,000 sources in the natural gas sector.

Graduated Requirements Are Needed for Upstream Production Sites.

To strike the appropriate balance³ between data accuracy and cost, the rule should use a tiered structure that avoids imposing undue costs on the smallest sources.

Exempt individual well sites with *de minimis* GHG emissions: individual well sites producing <60 MSCFD natural gas and <5 BOPD condensate, and oil stripper wells producing <10 BOPD. These sources are numerous but typically contribute less than 1,000 tpy GHGs to the national inventory.

Allow individual well sites with <3,000 tpy GHG to calculate and report emissions based on the API Compendium, rather than direct measurement. The Compendium is regularly updated and peer reviewed by government agencies and NGOs, and it aids data comparability in the sector.

Require more rigorous calculations for individual well sites with projected emissions >3,000 tpy GHG, but with much less direct measurement mandates than proposed. The direct measurement mandates drive the cost burden skywards.

² AXPC members Chesapeake Energy Corporation and El Paso Production Company individually submitted analyses to EPA on June 11, 2010 as part of the public comment process on proposed Subpart W. Chesapeake's analysis was submitted as Confidential Business Information.

³ EPA has expressed its desire to maintain "an appropriate balance [in the GHG Inventory Rule] between data accuracy and cost." 74 Fed. Reg. 56,260, 56,280 (Oct. 30, 2009).

Emissions From Non-Stationary, Portable Equipment Should Be Exempted.

Subpart W should be made consistent with other parts of the GHG Inventory Rule that exempt portable equipment, especially given the minor emissions involved and the limited data that natural gas producers have for equipment owned and operated by third parties.

The GHG Inventory Rule does not require reporting of emissions from comparable sources, like residential, commercial or roadway construction, and Subpart C exempts portable equipment, emergency generators, and emergency equipment.

Natural gas producers generally do not control the operation of third-party equipment and do not perform or schedule maintenance.

Contract service companies typically own this equipment and control the operational data needed to calculate GHG emissions accurately.

Most portable equipment is fired by diesel fuel, and these emissions will already be reported by petroleum product suppliers under Subpart MM.

Natural Gas Star data allow a reasonable estimate of GHG emissions from this equipment. Those emissions estimates can be used with known well drilling and completion data to provide a reasonable emission estimate from these sources.

In conclusion, AXPC appreciates the opportunity to highlight for you the foregoing concerns and proposals with respect to EPA's proposed Subpart W rule. If you have any questions or would like clarification or further information, please call me at (405) 935-7543.

CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION

**Appendix A
to Comments Filed by
Chesapeake Energy Corporation
Regarding EPA's Proposed Rule for Mandatory Reporting
of Greenhouse Gases: Petroleum and Natural Gas Systems;
75 Fed. Reg. 18,608 (Apr. 12, 2010)¹**

CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION

*Agreed.
ok to post
on web.*

¹ Appendix A contains Confidential Business Information and is being submitted separately from the rest of Chesapeake Energy Corporation's comments on Proposed Subpart W to the Mandatory Greenhouse Gas Reporting Rule, 75 Fed. Reg. 18,608 (Apr. 12, 2010), Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2009-0923.



Appendix A: MRR Subpart W Compliance Cost Estimate

Submitted by: Chesapeake Energy Corporation

**Prepared By:
Zack Schaffer
Asset Manager - Gas Star Program**

**Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2009-0923
June 11, 2010**



Prepared By:
Zack Schaffer
Asset Manager – Gas Star Program

MRR Subpart W Compliance Cost Estimate

The re-proposed Subpart W of the Mandatory Greenhouse Gas Reporting Rule requires onshore producers of oil and natural gas to report fugitive and vented emissions if those emissions are greater than or equal to 25,000 tonnes of CO₂ equivalent within a basin. EPA’s estimate of first-year compliance costs for onshore producers is \$24,000 per reporting unit. See Table W-10, 75 Fed. Reg. at 18,629 (Apr. 12, 2010). Chesapeake Energy Corporation's ("Chesapeake's") operations and environmental, health and safety staff developed a bottom-up compliance cost estimate to demonstrate the actual costs to the natural gas industry.

As proposed, Subpart W would require reporting of 21 individual fugitive and venting emissions sources. The scope of this cost estimate covers many of those sources, but is focused mainly on sources commonly found in Chesapeake's actual operations.

Compliance Cost Estimate

Chesapeake selected one basin from the AAPG Geologic Provinces Code Map as an example basin. Several sites within that basin were visited to survey the reportable sources and the level of effort required to comply with proposed Subpart W. The representative basin that Chesapeake selected houses a large portion of Chesapeake’s Midcontinent operations. With over 8,000 wells within the basin, it is apparent that Chesapeake will cross the 25,000 tonne threshold and would be required report emissions under proposed Subpart W. While Chesapeake acknowledges that the actual compliance costs within a given basin can range greatly depending on the equipment operated and levels of activity, Table 1 below details the estimated compliance cost for an individual reporting unit assuming all operations are subject to reporting.

Table 1: Estimated Compliance Costs for Chesapeake's First Year of Operations

| | Chesapeake's Operations in a Representative Basin | | |
|----------------------------------|---|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | Estimate of Sources | Cost per Source | Compliance Cost Estimate |
| Single-Well Pads | 5,174 | \$1,495 | \$7,735,450 |
| Single-Well Pads w/no oil tank | 2,990 | \$350 | \$1,046,425 |
| Multi-Well Pads | 50 | \$2,705 | \$135,250 |
| Dehydrators | 382 | \$288 | \$109,825 |
| Recips | 368 | \$805 | \$296,240 |
| Completions | 198 | \$4,050 | \$801,900 |
| Workovers | 66 | \$4,050 | \$268,920 |
| Workovers w/no frac and flowback | 598 | \$100 | \$59,760 |
| Overhead | 1 | \$2,583 | \$2,583 |
| Total | | | \$10,456,353 |



To develop the compliance cost estimate, the text of proposed Subpart W was reviewed with particular focus on 40 C.F.R. § 98.233: Calculating GHG Emissions and 40 C.F.R. § 98.236: Data Reporting Requirements. These sections of the proposed regulations detail the levels of aggregation required to calculate and report emissions. While emissions would be aggregated and reported at the basin level under the proposed text of Subpart W, certain data would need to be collected at individual well sites and even at individual pieces of equipment. After reviewing the calculations and reporting requirements, the following sources were developed as the base units for collecting data:

- Single-well Pads (with and without oil tanks)
- Multi-well Pads
- Glycol Dehydrators
- Reciprocating Compressors
- Completion Operations
- Workover Operations

At each of these base units, different data points would need to be collected for reporting purposes under the proposed Subpart W.

Chesapeake's estimate of compliance costs was developed using estimates of labor rates, level of effort, and equipment rental costs based on discussion with various Chesapeake operations and EHS staff.

Description of Source Categories

Single-Well Pads

A single-well pad contains one oil or gas producing well with production equipment that may include separators and heater treaters, hydrocarbon liquids and water storage tanks, as well as piping, controls, and instrumentation to manage gas and liquid flows. Large equipment such as compressors, dehydrators, or acid gas removal units may be present on-site, but for the purposes of this analysis those pieces of equipment have been treated separately. Several single-well pads were visited and reviewed prior to developing the compliance cost estimates.

Compliance Cost Estimate:

\$1,495 per single-well pad
\$350 per single-well pad w/no oil tank



Multi-Well Pads

A multi-well pad is a location where single-well facilities share one contiguous pad. These sites share the same equipment as single-well pads but contain a greater amount of equipment. On average, for Chesapeake's operations, a multi-well pad contains 2-3 wellheads.

Compliance Cost Estimate: **\$2,705 per multi-well pad**

Glycol Dehydrators

A glycol dehydrator is used to remove water from sales or fuel gas streams. Glycol dehydrators can be installed at single or multi-well pad sites but have been treated separately for this compliance cost estimate. The time and effort required for data collection and reporting is incremental to the efforts described for the single or multi-well facility in which the dehydrator is installed.

Compliance Cost Estimate: **\$288 per dehydrator**

Reciprocating Compressors

Reciprocating compressors are used for a number of functions in Chesapeake's operations including gas lift, wellhead compression, and gathering compression. Reciprocating compressors can be installed at single or multi-well pad sites but have been treated separately for this compliance cost estimate. The time and effort required for data collection and reporting is incremental to the efforts described for the single or multi-well facility in which the compressor is installed.

Compliance Cost Estimate: **\$805 per reciprocating compressor**

Completion Operations

During flowback in completion operations gas is sometimes vented to the atmosphere. Proposed Subpart W would require the direct measurement of gas flowrate during the completion in each operating field every other year.

Compliance Cost Estimate: **\$4,050 per completion**

Workover Operations

Workovers are performed for a number of reasons, including re-completing, clean-up, modifying or replacing tubing, installing artificial lift equipment, etc. Proposed Subpart W would require reporting gas vented during workover operations, but there is not a clear distinction between a conventional and an unconventional workover.

Compliance Cost Estimate: **\$100-4,050 per workover**



Overhead, Quality Control, and Reporting Costs

To perform the proposed calculations on the data collected in the field and produce reports for EPA, Chesapeake would incur overhead costs to develop the IT systems and reports. Chesapeake is ahead of most of the industry in terms of sophisticated data gathering and reporting mechanisms, but the overhead costs associated with reporting under Subpart W are not insignificant.

Compliance Cost Estimate: **\$50,000 Chesapeake-wide IT costs**
\$500 per basin report

If you have any questions concerning Chesapeake's comments or require clarification, please contact me at (405) 935-7543.

Thank you for your consideration,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Grover R. Campbell".

Grover R. Campbell
Chesapeake Energy Corporation
Manager – Corp. Air Regulations