Economic Issues for EPA's Proposed Construction and Development ELG (Option 2)

EPA underestimated costs

- Average project duration underestimated, various types of costs left out, cost per gallon for ATS treatment underestimated
- Actual number and acreage of construction projects per year is likely 2 ½ times EPA's
 estimate. (Note: this is not reflected in figures below)

EPA overestimated benefits

- · Baseline sediment loads grossly overestimated
- No credit given for BMPs routinely applied currently
- Sediment load reductions attributed to ATS far too high

Benefit-cost comparison for proposed Option 2

(in millions of 2008 \$ per year)

| | Costs | Benefits | Net Benefits |
|---------------|-------|----------|--------------|
| EPA estimate | 1,891 | 333 | - 1,558 |
| NAHB estimate | 6,934 | 23 | - 6,911 |

 If Option 2 were promulgated, even using EPA's estimates, this ELG would have the worst excess of costs over benefits of any federal regulation since OMB began keeping records in 1992

BCT vs. BAT

- EPA should not be allowed to define turbidity as the parameter to be regulated as a way
 of avoiding the BCT cost test and promulgating a standard more stringent than BPT

Administrative costs for State and local governments

Enforceable effluent standards, tight limits, monitoring requirements and ATS (all
included in Option 2) will entail large administrative costs. NAHB's action level
approach will avoid most of these costs

| | Addl FTEs Required | | Addl \$ Required (millions/yr) | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-------|--------------------------------|-------|
| | State | Local | State | Local |
| EPA's Proposed Option 2 | 509* | 107 | 38.0 | 9.7 |
| NAHB Action Level Approach | 93 | 86 | 6.9 | 7.4 |

(Note: assuming NAHB estimate for total national #, acreage of construction projects) * 74% increase beyond current total State staff for construction stormwater program

 21 of 22 States and many local governments submitted comments opposing Option 2, often because of large administrative costs for little environmental benefit

Economic impacts

| Option | Total Cost (in million \$/yr) | Avg. Cost/Acre | Avg. Cost/House | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| NAHB Option 1: Enhanced BMPs | \$439 | \$1,299 | \$520 | |
| EPA Option 2: 13 NTU NEL | \$7,218 | \$24,211 \$1,516 | \$10,000 \$600 | |
| NAHB: 1000 NTU Action Level | \$512 | | | |

- \$10,000 cost per affected home translates to more than \$12,000 price increase after commissions, etc.
- This price increase would more than undo the benefits of the \$8,000 credit for first-time home-buyers that is part of the stimulus plan