

From: [REDACTED]
To: [FN-OMB-IntellectualProperty](#)
Subject: comment on costs
Date: Thursday, February 25, 2010 9:15:02 AM

Gentlemen:

There exists adequate remedy for holders of copyrights to protect their property. These remedies are a matter of law.

Insofar as to any statement of the costs of infringement, this is best addressed by an actuary. And may I suggest an actuary independent of all litigants.

Copyright holders have demonstrated their estimates to be founded on conjecture and there is adequate history to damages being inflated beyond reason. This history is public record in the Federal Courts.

I resent my tax dollars being spent to protect a class of compact disk, dvd vendors who have already demonstrated their willingness and ability to seek remedy in the courts.

After Sony unlawfully caused rootkits to be installed on computers, just by playing certain audio CDs, and further used the rootkit to mine data, again unlawfully on their memory sticks and cards, I ceased using their products in toto.

Just as media companies have filed lawsuits against people who do not own computers for infringement, the possibility for errors in estimating alleged damages, and abuses have been demonstrated.

I suggest this matter be best addressed by present copyright law, as the holders have demonstrated.

Since audio CDs and DVDs are now labeled MADE IN MEXICO, am I to believe infringement is costing US jobs or low paying Hencho en Mexico jobs?

Follow the dollars to see who profits from the sale of optical drives and blank media...some of the same firms who own movies and music.

Respectfully submitted,

John D. Carver
7916 Jay St.
Metairie, LA 70003

Hotmail: Trusted email with Microsoft's powerful SPAM protection. [Sign up now.](#)