

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE  
PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

+ + + + +

PRESIDENT'S MANAGEMENT ADVISORY BOARD

+ + + + +

MEETING

+ + + + +

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 12, 2012

+ + + + +

The Board met in Room 252 of the  
Eisenhower Executive Office Building, 1650  
Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C., at  
9:00 a.m., Jeff Zients, Chair, presiding.

PRESIDENT'S MANAGEMENT ADVISORY BOARD MEMBERS

JEFF ZIENTS, Chair  
GREG BROWN  
JEFF KINDLER

DEBRA LEE  
GAIL McGOVERN  
ENRIQUE SALEM  
LIZ SMITH  
ALSO PRESENT

SCOTT WINSLOW  
JOHN BERRY  
DICK GREGG  
SETH D. HARRIS  
JOSEPH G. JORDAN  
BERNARD MAZER  
DANIEL PONEMAN

DAN TANGHERLINI  
STEVE VANROEKEL  
DANNY WERFEL

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

Welcome and Introduction. . . . . 3

Agenda and Goals. . . . . 3

Updates on 2011 Initiative. . . . . 6

IT Management Initiatives: Vendor  
and Portfolio Management

SES Initiatives: Executive. . . . . 57

Development and Performance  
Appraisal

Break . . . . . 80

Strategic Sourcing Briefing . . . . . 80

and Discussion

Improper Payments Briefing. . . . . 121

and Discussion

Adjournment

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 (9:11 a.m.)

3 CHAIR ZIENTS: All right. Let's  
4 officially start. So the agenda for our - for  
5 our meeting this morning is to review our 2011  
6 initiatives which we're taking government-wide  
7 - IT and the SES initiatives - get an update  
8 on strategic sourcing, an update on improper  
9 payments.

10 We'll build in a break or two and  
11 I think this will last a couple of hours or  
12 so.

13 And let's start by handing it over  
14 to Scott to get a sense of where we are  
15 overall. You're now on Page 3, Scott?

16 MR. WINSLOW: Just following Tab 2  
17 Page 3. Thank you everyone for today's  
18 meeting of the President's Management Advisory  
19 Board.

20 We are going to be in an open  
21 meeting today and as each of these meetings  
22 takes place it's obviously going to be webcast

1 so all of the conversation will be broadcast  
2 simultaneously.

3 Just a couple of housekeeping  
4 things. If anyone's looking for restrooms,  
5 just out the door to the right or to the left  
6 is at the end of each hallway.

7 CHAIR ZIENTS: So the men's is  
8 both directions and women's is both  
9 directions?

10 MR. WINSLOW: I've been told  
11 that's the case by Roxanna.

12 MR. WINSLOW: Additionally, coffee  
13 is outside if you want coffee. Water is in  
14 here as well. We are seated today in this  
15 office.

16 It's a little bit closer quarters  
17 than what we are typically used to so  
18 apologies. We're a little bit on each other  
19 but we're all friends and it'll all be fine.

20 What I wanted to do on Page 3 is  
21 just walk through the work that's gone on  
22 across the force in 2012. This really kind of

1 mirrors the time that I've been working with  
2 the President's Management Advisory Board.

3 We met back in March, chose the  
4 two topic areas, the two focus areas for 2012  
5 - improper payments and strategic sourcing.  
6 A number of meetings have taken place across  
7 the first year.

8 Obviously, back in September we  
9 approved the recommendations from each of the  
10 two subcommittees.

11 You can find those recommendations  
12 behind Tab 5 if you are not familiar with what  
13 those were.

14 And today, we're really going to  
15 be reviewing progress that's been made against  
16 those recommendations across the last six to  
17 eight weeks as well as looking at some of the  
18 early successes that have come out from the  
19 recommendations that you all have delivered.

20 And then looking forward, you'll  
21 see in the new year we'll be meeting again for  
22 a meeting of the President's Management

1 Advisory Board.

2 We'll be talking again about some  
3 of the ongoing work against the 2012  
4 initiatives as well as some of the new focus  
5 areas for 2013.

6 CHAIR ZIENTS: We haven't set the  
7 date yet for the early 2013 -

8 MR. WINSLOW: We have not set the  
9 date yet, no.

10 CHAIR ZIENTS: We will do that  
11 soon, yes? We'll be cognizant of ski season.

12 MR. WINSLOW: Excellent.

13 CHAIR ZIENTS: This is getting to  
14 be a bit much.

15 MR. WINSLOW: With that, let me go  
16 ahead and get started. Steve VanRoekel, who  
17 has just joined us, is going to be talking  
18 about information technology updates from the  
19 2011 initiatives. Anything else before we  
20 start then?

21 CHAIR ZIENTS: No. Hey Steve,  
22 just - it's been about a year now that you've

1       been in this position?

2                   MR. VANROEKEL:   Yes.   Year and  
3       three months.

4                   CHAIR ZIENTS:   I wanted to -  
5       remind folks quickly where you - you know,  
6       your private sector experience, your FCC  
7       experience.   Then just give a minute or two on  
8       life 15 months in.

9                   MR. VANROEKEL:   Great.   So we - I  
10       came from about two decades in the private  
11       sector on the West Coast working for a large  
12       software company and had -

13                   CHAIR ZIENTS:   Microsoft.

14                   MR. VANROEKEL:   It was a startup  
15       when I got - when I joined.   Spent a bit of  
16       time there as Bill Gates' assistant and then  
17       the last job was part of the leadership team  
18       running the Server and Tools Division, which  
19       was a great - a great experience.

20                   We - in my tenure in Server and  
21       Tools we had 26 consecutive quarters of  
22       double-digit growth and I only say that

1       because the applicability to government was  
2       keeping the cost line low and keeping the  
3       value line going up and to the right and just  
4       a maniacal mind set.

5               And the difference, I think, I saw  
6       when I came from private sector to public  
7       sector was, you know, the sense of the greater  
8       whole was there in that, you know, my budget  
9       never went up.

10              We never saw, you know, massive  
11       increases as our - as our profit line went up  
12       and to the right.

13              It was always funding other  
14       aspects of the enterprise to scale the  
15       business - Xbox, Mobile other things that were  
16       happening in the company - and it - you know,  
17       that isn't as apparent in government.

18              You know, you have a lot more mind  
19       set where I'm going to be insular in my silo.  
20       I'm going to, you know - whatever of value I  
21       provide I'm going to provide here and many  
22       times looking at a return on investment, if



1 the ROI is not realized in the silo the effort  
2 won't be there to realize to drive the ROI.

3 And so you get a lot of that mind  
4 set. So we've been, you know, running - this  
5 administration, I think, has been running an  
6 exhaustive process to think about how do we -  
7 how do we normalize all of that and pull that  
8 forward.

9 I came to the government and to  
10 the administration in 2009. I came out to the  
11 inauguration not looking for a job but a job  
12 found me after a lunch with a friend out here  
13 that encouraged me to join the team and join  
14 the Federal Communications Commission for the  
15 first two years running operations there, and  
16 found an agency which should have been on the  
17 avant garde of technology given the oversight  
18 spectrum Mobile, to some extent Internet and  
19 other things and found an agency that was very  
20 behind the times.

21 A operating system that I had  
22 actually launched almost a decade before was

1 waiting for me at my desk when I showed up and  
2 we had just so many - so many bad things going  
3 wrong with a very low ranking on the Best  
4 Places to Work scale in government.

5 I think we were second or third  
6 from the bottom, right above Selective  
7 Service, coming in.

8 So it was a tough go from kind of  
9 bringing culture and efficiency and other  
10 things and the magic mixture of, you know,  
11 improving IT, improving employee relationships  
12 and other things was sort of essential.

13 It's an interesting thing and I  
14 think a lot of times when we sit in these PMAB  
15 meetings you get the question around  
16 incentives.

17 You know, that's one of the most  
18 difficult things in government as you come in.  
19 You don't have the financial tools, financial  
20 incentive tools. You don't have a lot of the  
21 other things.

22 But what I've found to be most

1 powerful in that motion of going to the FCC  
2 was looking at the strategic plan for the  
3 agency which every year you're required by  
4 statute to kind of put out your strategic plan  
5 and list your priority objectives.

6 About every third year reform the  
7 agency was listed on the strategic objectives  
8 and then the next year it would disappear.

9 And so there was just sort of this  
10 constant drumbeat - it's like let's sort of  
11 mess things up and then let's reform and let's  
12 mess things up and let's reform again.

13 And there wasn't sort of that  
14 captured spirit of continuous improvement,  
15 like wake up every day and think about how we  
16 do things better.

17 And that reform and that sense of  
18 improvement wasn't in the hands of the  
19 employees from the incentive standpoint.

20 And so thinking about it, you  
21 know, probably as you go through today I think  
22 you're going to get even more questions about

1 incentives as we think about that. It's sort  
2 of one of the things that we struggle with in  
3 government is how do you incent people.

4 Patriotism gets you only so far.  
5 Gets you a long way. It's amazing. But it's  
6 - there's other things we can do.

7 And so I've spent - I came to the  
8 White House, as I said, a year and about three  
9 months ago on the - into an administration  
10 that I think had done amazing work on really  
11 slowing down bad behavior in the spending on  
12 federal IT.

13 We were growing at about a 7  
14 percent KGR for the last 15 years spend and  
15 without tools like depreciation, without using  
16 the balance sheet as a strategic tool, using  
17 it solely as an auditing tool, you know,  
18 growing spend was the only way to really  
19 deliver new value.

20 And so we froze that spend in 2009  
21 and actually on my watch we've taken it down  
22 just a little bit.

1                   Not that I think that hard times  
2                   are not the time to invest in technology  
3                   because I think it actually is. But this is  
4                   the time to stop bad behavior and to promote  
5                   good behavior.

6                   And so the last year in the  
7                   process we've run through this - through our  
8                   learning and Investment Review Boards, vendor  
9                   management -

10                   CHAIR ZIENTS: You're on 5 now,  
11                   Page 5?

12                   MR. VANROEKEL: Yeah, Page 5. And  
13                   Portfolio Stat was really about, you know, how  
14                   do we - how do we innovate with less.

15                   How do we steal from the OpEx  
16                   column to give to the CapEx column, to  
17                   actually do new things in the context of a  
18                   flat or declining budget?

19                   How do you keep that cost line low  
20                   and value going up and to the right and kind  
21                   of keep that theme going?

22                   And so we - you know, on the heels

1 of this have institutionalized a process that  
2 I launched - Jeff and I actually launched two  
3 meetings, two PMAB meetings, ago.

4 Last meeting I gave you an update  
5 to talk about that we had sort of started to  
6 see the glimmers of some potential.

7 This Portfolio Stat process, which  
8 is Page 6, we basically went out and asked  
9 agencies to, first, gather a bunch of data for  
10 us.

11 Look left to right across the  
12 organization and understand, you know, what's  
13 going on inside the organization from an IT  
14 investment standpoint and, you know, with a  
15 particular focus on looking in the dark  
16 corners because we know that only headquarters  
17 only gets a view into certain things and we  
18 needed to look and cascade information across.  
19 So we did that.

20 We then asked each agency to then  
21 develop - or each department to develop a plan  
22 for consolidation with a particular focus on

1 commodity consolidation.

2 We thought that was a low-hanging  
3 fruit even though there's - departments and  
4 agencies are kind of all over the board on  
5 where they are.

6 And we then, over the months since  
7 I've seen you last and we were in the midst of  
8 that data gathering I think when I saw you  
9 last, spent the entire month of July meeting  
10 with 28 agencies face to face. And Deputy  
11 Secretary Poneman was in one of those meetings  
12 and -

13 CHAIR ZIENTS: Everybody remembers  
14 Dan, Deputy Secretary of Energy.

15 MR. VANROEKEL: Yeah. And -

16 MR. PONEMAN: Good morning.

17 MR. VANROEKEL: - and we - so we  
18 had a face to face meeting with senior  
19 leadership all at the table - deputy  
20 secretary, CFOs, CIOs, in some cases component  
21 CIOs, acquisition, human capital - all the  
22 people around the table with the secret agenda

1 of teaching agencies how to run an Investment  
2 Review Board really based on what we had  
3 learned from a lot of the - my experience on  
4 the part of the secretary and especially the  
5 time we had spent with your companies learning  
6 how to do this, with vendor management as an  
7 underpinning and other things.

8 And what, you know - we saw some  
9 really - had some amazing conversations and  
10 saw some really amazing results on  
11 opportunities for people.

12 So we sat down, kind of fine tuned  
13 their plans coming in and then - and then at  
14 the end of September required that they all -  
15 at the end of August into September required  
16 that they all submit final plans with cost-  
17 saving targets and what they were doing.

18 And we also dovetailed these  
19 efforts with a new approach to enterprise  
20 architecture and a new approach to some  
21 modular contracting, some other things that  
22 are all moving parts that have to be satisfied



1 to get this stuff done.

2 And what we saw across the whole  
3 of government was really a spectrum of places  
4 where people were as an agency, kind of on the  
5 far extreme the department of fiefdoms that -  
6 where everybody were highly siloed, where  
7 everybody was doing separate things, where  
8 there were a thousand ways of buying a mobile  
9 device. There were, you know, 20 different  
10 email systems - there were all those sort of  
11 things - to an emergence on the other extreme  
12 which was starting to see real service  
13 orientation - embracing cloud computing,  
14 starting to say okay, when somebody in the  
15 corner of my organization comes to me and says  
16 I need to develop a mobile app you say great,  
17 I've got a development environment as a  
18 service.

19 I've got a test environment  
20 service. I've got a platform to host it on,  
21 and I always think about that as good  
22 parenting, right, where you give your two-

1 year-old the choices you want and they could  
2 choose between them and pulling that in  
3 together, I think, is a good thing.

4 We're starting to see that on the  
5 other extreme in small glimmers across  
6 government, and agencies and departments are  
7 kind of somewhere between those two spectrums  
8 from starting to consolidate their commodity  
9 stuff to looking at kind of rationalizing  
10 their use of apps at the missing level and  
11 looking.

12 I think the nirvana at the far  
13 extreme is when we start doing this across  
14 government, think about where are we sharing  
15 across.

16 Our goal now is just let's just  
17 focus on the departmental level and think  
18 about how to get that done.

19 The key elements, I think, to a  
20 lot of this - if you turn to Page 7 - I'll  
21 talk about the earlier results and some of the  
22 - some of the learnings we had on this process

1 where there were sort of three essential  
2 elements to doing this process right.

3 One was just the establishment of  
4 these Investment Review Boards, making -  
5 institutionalizing that in a way that can  
6 drive the right behavior, the right look,  
7 cascade decision making in the way that can do  
8 it and we applaud your help on that and that's  
9 been - that's been - and there's been follow-  
10 on help where you actually helped to deliver  
11 training and looking at some of this stuff,  
12 thinking about how that - how that rolls up  
13 and institutionalizes inside these  
14 organizations is essential to getting the job  
15 done.

16 The other - the other secret  
17 ingredient of that that we - that we uncovered  
18 through this process and I think you - and it  
19 was very apparent in the meetings we had with  
20 all of you - is that Investment Review Boards  
21 aren't IT Investment Review Boards. They're  
22 enterprise-wide Investment Review Boards.

1           You know, we had to have, you  
2 know, the - looking at human capital, real  
3 property, other infrastructure, IT - all  
4 should be laid on the table as levers we get  
5 to pull as the leadership team and think about  
6 pulling that stuff together.

7           And so the second level, the  
8 second essential piece other than IRBs, are  
9 evaluation models, thinking about how do I get  
10 a common view across my enterprise to value  
11 these different investments.

12           And so we learned a lot in our  
13 trip to Adobe on valuation models and some of  
14 our other visits and we're now delivering  
15 training to agencies on actually how to  
16 establish a rigor behind valuing this people  
17 investment versus this IT investment versus  
18 this other investment and normalize that  
19 across.

20           So you can actually stack grant  
21 this stuff and understand where you draw the  
22 line and where to invest and where not to

1 invest.

2           And then the third component, and  
3 this is probably more relevant in government  
4 is the role of the CIO and the authority of  
5 the CIO to actually reach into the dark  
6 corners - to have the authority, have the seat  
7 at the table in the Investment Review Board  
8 and then reach down and look at that.

9           And so we're looking at, you know,  
10 starting to institutionalize that. We put out  
11 - Jeff put out a or this office put out a memo  
12 about a year ago.

13           It was the first one that was  
14 issued from me on this topic and we've been  
15 really working hard to figure out how to drive  
16 that behavior.

17           CHAIR ZIENTS: CIOs are weak  
18 staffed at many agencies.

19           MR. VANROEKEL: Many agencies, if  
20 they were in a component, they had more budget  
21 and more authority than they maybe now have at  
22 the top of the org chart, which is an

1 interesting notion, as you can imagine.

2 So there are some agencies out  
3 there that are doing really great things.  
4 Department of Interior is a great example  
5 where there's now one CIO, this guy right to  
6 my right, Bernie Mazer, at the department.

7 The component CIOs have a  
8 different title. They have a different  
9 structure and senior leadership has really  
10 kind of bought into it.

11 CHAIR ZIENTS: Bernie, contrast  
12 that to four years ago.

13 MR. MAZER: Can I - this is a Cole  
14 Porter song, "Night and Day."

15 (Laughter.)

16 MR. MAZER: Contrasted to four  
17 years ago is the tenor of the CIO within the  
18 Department of the Interior was as a policy  
19 shop.

20 There was no service delivery, no  
21 showing the delivery of results or  
22 measurements or looking really at the

1 investment from a strategic or a mission  
2 driver perspective.

3 CHAIR ZIENTS: How many bureau  
4 CIOs were there?

5 MR. MAZER: We had 30 people that  
6 nominated themselves as CIOs.

7 CHAIR ZIENTS: These guys had gone  
8 from one guardrail to another, right? They're  
9 just now looking -

10 MEMBER KINDLER: Kind of gives new  
11 - gives new meaning to the word chief and CIO.

12 MR. MAZER: I suppose from a human  
13 element perspective there a lot of significant  
14 driven people but -

15 CHAIR ZIENTS: But, I mean, Steve,  
16 this has now become a model.

17 MR. VANROEKEL: Yeah. Yeah.

18 CHAIR ZIENTS: Gone from a worst  
19 practice to a best practice.

20 MR. VANROEKEL: Yeah, and we're  
21 starting - we've seen this at a few agencies  
22 in different extremes. The Veterans

1 Administration actually did this through  
2 statute a couple years ago and other things.

3 The Clinger-Cohen Act that  
4 actually creates the role of CIO in government  
5 actually begins by saying the head of agency  
6 shall and has all the information, and I think  
7 we're just now getting at that inflection  
8 point where the view of IT as sort of more of  
9 a discretionary thing tipping over into more  
10 of a strategic thing is starting to be  
11 realized.

12 And it's leadership at Interior,  
13 leadership at many agencies are starting to  
14 see this and realizing wow, I can do things  
15 not only better, faster, cheaper, in my  
16 operations - I can connect with constituents.

17 I can help the productivity of my  
18 employees. I can do all those things that all  
19 of you have certainly realized, I'm sure, in  
20 your organizations many years ago.

21 And so we're right at that  
22 inflection and IRBs valuation and CIO



1 authorities are sort of the - what we feel are  
2 sort of in this first wave of the  
3 institutional elements of that.

4 And the future of this process is  
5 we learned a lot, you know, in the way we  
6 gather data, the way we're going to do this.

7 We're going to - this is going to  
8 be a continuous thing. The government's just  
9 going to start doing Portfolio Stats every  
10 year.

11 We're going to pull it in earlier  
12 in the year to - so it better aligns with our  
13 budget cycles and start to just encourage  
14 through both inspire and push to move the -  
15 move the departments up that maturity model  
16 into getting there.

17 Some of the early results - we're  
18 actually going to be announcing kind of the  
19 macro results in the very near future but  
20 we're going to - and I mentioned sort of a  
21 window of about \$500 million last time we met.

22 By the results I've seen, we are

1 going to greatly, by orders of magnitude,  
2 exceed that and you will - you'll see that  
3 happen. But some early results on Page 7.

4 You know, Social Security  
5 Administration is doing enterprise purchasing  
6 for their computer refresh.

7 It's a 56 percent savings per  
8 unit. I mean, it's just incredible what's  
9 waiting out there, about \$60 million next year  
10 happening in this -

11 MEMBER SALEM: Could I just you on  
12 that for a second?

13 MR. VANROEKEL: Yes.

14 MEMBER SALEM: You know, what's  
15 interesting is, you know, when I see these  
16 words computer refresh, you know, you  
17 mentioned the word architecture.

18 MR. VANROEKEL: Yeah.

19 MEMBER SALEM: And so the question  
20 is have we adopted kind of the most current  
21 architecture as you think about this computer  
22 refresh, and we're not going to necessarily

1 just replace what we had because you used the  
2 word architecture earlier appropriately and I  
3 think that's the - one of the biggest  
4 opportunities if we think about how state of  
5 the art is changing -

6 MR. VANROEKEL: Yes.

7 MEMBER SALEM: - with  
8 virtualization and other things. Are we  
9 taking advantage of that or are we kind of  
10 replacing what we had?

11 MR. VANROEKEL: Very much so and  
12 there's a - in May I also, about the same time  
13 as launching this, launched this very grand  
14 digital strategy for government that just put  
15 out bring your own device guidance, talks  
16 about sort of thinking about the - that  
17 refreshing enterprise architecture,  
18 virtualization, at-home use - all this stuff  
19 in a secure and private way.

20 And so there are - yeah, this is  
21 all - this is all lining up. They're all  
22 rubber ducks all in the same stream from a

1 delivery standpoint.

2 MEMBER SALEM: The reason I say  
3 that is because \$58 million may not represent  
4 the full savings.

5 MR. VANROEKEL: That's right.

6 MEMBER SALEM: If you think about  
7 what should happen is you hope those costs  
8 should go down.

9 All the other things around it  
10 should go down. It may be actually a bigger  
11 number. This may be almost like the capital  
12 cost and you're seeing the system some cost  
13 saving.

14 MR. VANROEKEL: It is.

15 MEMBER SALEM: It's the whole -  
16 your total cost of - the total cost of  
17 ownership is probably -

18 MR. VANROEKEL: Absolutely. I  
19 know, Bernie, if you want to add anything to  
20 that because you're the last example on the -

21 MR. MAZER: The - you know, when  
22 we're - the aspects, when we look at

1 architecture in the U.S. government, it's been  
2 a - it's been a promising effort for ten years  
3 and we're really looking at what - we're using  
4 the term architecture you can use.

5 So when we look at it from a  
6 business perspective, like within the  
7 Department of Interior we are using enterprise  
8 architects to create business domains for  
9 things like financial management, procurement  
10 systems, human resource systems.

11 And then also with our mission  
12 drivers like we're a revenue collection agency  
13 for oil and grazing, you know, cattle and all  
14 that. So we're looking at an architect's  
15 perspective all those applications there.

16 When they - when you get into the  
17 technical architecture this goes - when we're  
18 looking at cloud first in the digital strategy  
19 what - there what we're looking at is all  
20 right, we've got these life cycles of three to  
21 five years - we need to swap out some of this  
22 equipment.

1                   But some of the things that we're  
2                   looking at is that's really from an asset  
3                   mentality perspective. We're moving towards  
4                   a service delivery.

5                   We want to really - our customers  
6                   and the constituents within Department of  
7                   Interior they just want data and information,  
8                   and who is hosting that physicality of where  
9                   those things are we're indifferent to that.

10                  So we are embarking upon a lot of  
11                  activities following OMB's guidance and  
12                  actually leadership as where are those things  
13                  that we can move out to the cloud or where are  
14                  those things that we can look at from a  
15                  federal or a private market space to look at  
16                  those types of things.

17                  So we mentioned aspects like with  
18                  virtualization. You know, we are in the midst  
19                  of a data center consolidation initiative.  
20                  That's a physicality.

21                  A lot of times consolidation in  
22                  and of itself we save 10 to 15 percent of cost

1 but then if you look at new models of  
2 delivering those things like from the cloud  
3 and the like, you know, the savings could be  
4 realize 20, 30, 40, 50 percent on those  
5 things.

6 MR. VANROEKEL: And a lot of the  
7 Portfolio Stat conversations were around  
8 service delivery as a model to think about  
9 kind of the inflection. Many times -  
10 Investment Review Boards have been around in  
11 government for some time.

12 They were more of a budget scrub  
13 tool where people would get together and say  
14 okay, you know, central management of the  
15 White House told us we need to cut 5 percent  
16 from our budget - let's figure out how we  
17 peanut butter that in the least painful way  
18 across the organization when instead, you  
19 know, those things should be viewed as  
20 opportunities to depreciate and opportunities  
21 to deliver service.

22 It's not just a take motion. It's

1 a give-take motion. And so you - thinking  
2 about how do we - how do we do more service  
3 delivery after the end points from a  
4 centralized way, I think, and that inflection  
5 from asset management to service delivery is  
6 a great thing.

7 And the productivity gains that  
8 are going to come from a lot of these things,  
9 both embracing smarter and better mobile  
10 technology to at-home use and virtualization,  
11 I think, are all going to phase in and we  
12 truly believe that many of these are under  
13 representing the true savings that will be  
14 seen from both productivity gains and being  
15 able to absorb work through that as well as  
16 real cost saving because if you rationalize  
17 your apps and think about that it's likely  
18 you're probably going to optimize a data  
19 center because of it.

20 It's likely you'll probably take  
21 some percentage of that and move it to the  
22 cloud and cut 30 to 40 percent of your costs,



1 and those aren't represented in just a -  
2 thinking about an email box, how much it costs  
3 per employee.

4 And so there's going to be  
5 cascading of benefits, I think, that we see  
6 across the board.

7 Treasury is another example where  
8 they've - they're actually consolidating  
9 across the enterprise some of their fraud,  
10 waste and abuse systems and they're going to  
11 see about a 14 percent savings out of that.

12 And so we have a lot - over a 150  
13 examples of very specific tactical things that  
14 are going to be done that were yielded out of  
15 Portfolio Stat and we'll talk about more of  
16 that the next couple weeks.

17 So part of the, you know, request  
18 for feedback and sort of started the dialog  
19 here is, you know, if you - if you think about  
20 the role of the CIO or as you think about the  
21 role that an Investment Review Board plays in  
22 your enterprise, you know, the ability to

1 engage and kind of monitor those dark corners,  
2 you know, one of the biggest problems we have  
3 and one of the things we think Portfolio Stat  
4 V2 will pick up is something I'm calling  
5 shadow IT.

6           You know, it's the IT that's being  
7 put on purchase cards or credit cards in your  
8 case - you know, the little fiefdoms of people  
9 that say I need a - I need this and the - you  
10 know, Bernie doesn't find out he's got a new  
11 data center until the PO comes across his desk  
12 and somebody has bought and set something up  
13 in a far corner field office or something like  
14 that.

15           Are there mechanisms that you can  
16 employ to kind of engage at that level -  
17 policy, penalty, incentives? Would love to  
18 kind of understand that because that's the -  
19 that's the next wave for us is tackling that.

20           MEMBER SALEM: I think you should  
21 ask yourself why shadow IT exists and so you  
22 got to get to the root cause.

1                   The root cause of shadow IT is  
2 really driven by people feeling they're not  
3 getting enough service from the existing  
4 capability.

5                   And so you've got to help people  
6 understand how do you deal with that problem  
7 because instead of - the classic issue is I've  
8 got money in my budget, I need service so I'm  
9 going to go spend that money to get the  
10 service I need.

11                   When you think about it more  
12 holistically you say if I take my - the piece  
13 of the budget I have, put it into the central  
14 pot I may get efficiencies by doing that.

15                   And you've got to change the mind  
16 set that it isn't necessarily your money that  
17 you spend on shadow IT, right.

18                   It's the business' or the agency's  
19 financial resources. And so you've got to get  
20 to - the bottom line is the service delivery  
21 isn't where it needs to be is what creates the  
22 issue.

1 MEMBER KINDLER: Go ahead, Liz.

2 MEMBER SMITH: I think in addition  
3 to that, though, you see that people want  
4 control and want their system - to design it  
5 exactly as they want it.

6 So yes, it is - I totally agree  
7 with you that - Enrique, that it is having to  
8 do with I'm not getting the service I want.

9 But oftentimes they all want to  
10 have a very prescribed architecture and they  
11 use that as a reason to opt out of what will  
12 get them 80 percent of the way there and how  
13 much you really need that 20 percent  
14 customization.

15 So it is a matter of control and I  
16 just - the one thing I would just say, you  
17 know, in response to that real-world example  
18 is we had to move the approval process to get  
19 rid of the C, D and E priorities out.

20 We had to remove - that's not - we  
21 had to remove the approval process from PO to  
22 actual green lighting of it, right. So the

1 first time you see it shouldn't be when a  
2 purchase order comes across your desk.

3 It's literally you can't issue a  
4 purchase order without a sign off and we had  
5 to move to that, and even though it was  
6 administratively burdensome we delegated it to  
7 an administrative assistant who then would  
8 kind of go in and say these are the ones - are  
9 you familiar with all of these because of just  
10 that reason.

11 MR. MAZER: Right, and what we're  
12 doing within department and actually it's also  
13 a reflection within the Portfolio Stat is, you  
14 know, it's the procurement or whatever the  
15 procurements are we don't want to see them  
16 after the fact.

17 MEMBER SMITH: Exactly. You can't  
18 green light anything until a signature is on  
19 it.

20 MR. MAZER: So what we've done in  
21 the department is any procurement over \$2,500,  
22 which seems extraordinarily small, is subject

1 to the CIO's approval and some of those things  
2 - we have exemptions for emergency requests  
3 for things like that.

4 But what we're encouraging the  
5 bureaus and the offices to do is give us  
6 yearly plans of where they are.

7 And one of the things that we're  
8 doing with the yearly plans - this is where  
9 I'm paying homage and just an endless  
10 gratitude for the PMAB is when you guys  
11 invited us out to look at how you were doing  
12 things.

13 And, you know, as with me, I don't  
14 know if your initial impulse is to say is the  
15 government allergic to, like, private sector  
16 practices or not.

17 We were certainly not doing that  
18 and one of the things I want to reflect on and  
19 it's related - it's so complex - is the vendor  
20 management function that we have created  
21 within the Department of Interior.

22 Its classic thing is of within -

1 and I'll just use this as a small example with  
2 Vendor Management Office - our officer is we  
3 have multiple disciplines within the U.S.  
4 government.

5 So we've got a computer specialist  
6 that just focuses on the box. We have a  
7 procurement specialist that assures that the  
8 administrative record is okay so we're not  
9 subject to, you know, an unfair procurement.

10 We have others that are looking at  
11 it from a strategic sourcing aspect and all  
12 that. But there's a hybridization of skills  
13 that were never there within our work force to  
14 say I need to have someone that has the  
15 interplay that knows some contracting and  
16 knows about technology and that also knows  
17 about the landscape of who those technology  
18 vendors are.

19 And so one of the things that we  
20 did with you all was to say okay, how could we  
21 stand up the Vendor Management Office, and our  
22 first pass at the - at the gate when we did an

1 announcement we didn't get the right  
2 candidates.

3 We had candidates emerging out of  
4 what we call our capital planning activities,  
5 our enterprise - our architecture activities  
6 or a contract specialist.

7 So we had to redo the whole  
8 application again and then really focus on  
9 what we call the skills and abilities about do  
10 you know about licenses - do you know about  
11 what - who are the players like in a  
12 particular sector like telecommunication - do  
13 you know how to work with other people -do you  
14 know how to work with contracting offices - do  
15 you know how to work with budget specialists -  
16 do you know how to work with a financial  
17 management specialist.

18 And I can say that, based on your  
19 insights that you provided from the visits and  
20 everything is, you know, this month we're  
21 going to be paneling some candidates for that.

22 But we are - it's a work - it's a



1 labor of love that we're doing in terms of  
2 removing this attitude it's my money - I have  
3 the right to do whatever I want with it, and  
4 we're really moving it into - and I don't say  
5 it publicly too much but as we're looking at  
6 it from a architectural or a strategic  
7 perspective on these are the things that are  
8 necessary to accomplish the mission and then  
9 how can we look at it from the more enterprise  
10 or horizontal perspective of helping you to  
11 accomplish that mission.

12 MEMBER KINDLER: Can I - just  
13 following up on that comment, a question. I  
14 was going to make the same comment that Liz  
15 did.

16 My experience with the shadow IT  
17 has to do with the desire for customization  
18 and this notion that - and it relates to  
19 Enrique's point. It's I can't get exactly  
20 what I want, therefore I'm going to go do it  
21 myself. So they're kind of related points.

22 And I'm wondering - and it goes to

1 your question here about how do we ensure that  
2 Portfolio Stat plans are executed upon  
3 effectively - my question is to what extent  
4 are the financial goals that are being  
5 identified in these plans built into the  
6 budget process such that they have to deliver  
7 on them so that it doesn't become a sort of  
8 nice to do, go see what you can get and we'll  
9 do it.

10 To what extent is it actually  
11 built into the process? Because it seems to  
12 me if you actually establish these savings  
13 goals and they can only achieve it through the  
14 kind of rigor you're describing and it doesn't  
15 allow for customization and freelancing and  
16 doing your own thing, they'll have to achieve  
17 those goals and it ensures the plans are  
18 executed and it minimizes - it's a virtuous  
19 circle.

20 It does require a little bit of  
21 command and control, no doubt. But so my  
22 question is, is this embedded in the budget

1 processing or is this a sort of collateral  
2 exercise?

3 MR. VANROEKEL: Glad you asked.  
4 This is the first year, in sort of an  
5 unprecedented way, we have dovetailed this and  
6 the way we did it was our budget guidance that  
7 is being played out right now was basically  
8 that departments in a cascading way had to cut  
9 10 percent out of the OpEx column.

10 And we just said - and we gave  
11 them categories of here's the stuff we want to  
12 see cut. You can reach it through Portfolio  
13 Stat. We're going to sit right with you and  
14 we're going to work on getting 10 percent out.

15 We're going to automatically give  
16 you 5 percent back. It just - but you have to  
17 spend that 5 percent in these other categories  
18 of things - citizen-facing, productivity,  
19 things that align with kind of our  
20 architectural principles and sort of the  
21 spirit of what we're doing here.

22 And then we asked departments to

1 give us 5 percent of priority add-backs - you  
2 know, tell us in a prioritized list what  
3 additional 5 percent of things you would do to  
4 net to zero and then that gives us a lever at  
5 sort of senior leadership levels to look  
6 across the whole of government and say, you  
7 know, these guys are getting smarter here -  
8 they're smarter here.

9 We can aggregate based on  
10 presidential priorities. We can do other  
11 things that we look at to sort of think about  
12 how we shape the macro budget from a federal  
13 level.

14 But it's - it sort of gave us the  
15 tools to basically incent this behavior. I'd  
16 say, you know, on the whole, looking through  
17 a lot of the government and seeing what's  
18 coming through Portfolio Stat, we've been  
19 pretty successful in that.

20 I think that's - it's starting to  
21 do - it's very much a crawl-walk-run thing to  
22 change culture and I think we're still in the

1 crawl stage. But I think as we get to V2 of  
2 this and V3 it's great learning.

3 The question, you know, kind of is  
4 beyond that. We do budgeting once a year.

5 How do you instill this culture of  
6 like sort of where ROI is realized, you know,  
7 throughout the years is sort of what we're  
8 probably struggling with the most.

9 MEMBER KINDLER: So there's two -

10 CHAIR ZIENTS: I want to make sure  
11 - I'm playing a little bit of the timekeeper  
12 here.

13 MEMBER KINDLER: There's two -

14 CHAIR ZIENTS: Major hard wirings.  
15 One, Jeff, which we just did, which is budget,  
16 and the other Steve talked about earlier,  
17 which is the CIO that really is like Bernie  
18 now, a strong CIO according to Dan, who's the  
19 COO or CEO of the organization.

20 I think we're pretty convinced  
21 that we want to really push on that and this -  
22 we have the ability to take it from a concept

1 to 25 Bernies across the government.

2 We believe it's the right answer  
3 because it's how we're going to ultimately get  
4 strategic sourcing done. It's how we're  
5 ultimately going to have strong Portfolio  
6 Stats.

7 It's also, importantly, how we're  
8 going to attract the very best and brightest  
9 to do the job because in policy shops you're  
10 not going to get lots of Bernies.

11 But before we do that real hard  
12 push, is there any hey, slow down, caution  
13 here about what I guess could be, to pick up  
14 on what you just said, Jeff, could be  
15 characterized a little bit as command and  
16 control. I think it is.

17 MEMBER KINDLER: But it's what it  
18 needs to be, right?

19 CHAIR ZIENTS: I just want to make  
20 - I want to make sure before we make that push  
21 because we're about to gear up and really go  
22 toe to toe and get it done.

1                   MEMBER SMITH: I mean, in this  
2                   area I think we all say our experience is that  
3                   it took that to get it done and this is one  
4                   area where it does take that -

5                   MEMBER KINDLER: I mean, to me  
6                   it's very similar to the conversation we had  
7                   last time about strategic sourcing. There are  
8                   some things that require customization.

9                   There are some things that are  
10                  strategic that should be agency determined or,  
11                  in our world, business unit focused. And  
12                  there's some things that shouldn't be and, you  
13                  know, you got to make the call and be honest  
14                  about it, it seems to me.

15                  MEMBER BROWN: And I think the way  
16                  to - the way to reinforce that is - because it  
17                  is command and control and we've all  
18                  experienced it but as much as possible, even  
19                  though it may seem insignificant, sprinkle the  
20                  stories, examples and vignettes as to why.

21                  So it's the constant in our world  
22                  well, corporate wants to do it.

1 CHAIR ZIENTS: Right.

2 MEMBER BROWN: And it's lack of  
3 empowerment and \$2,500 approval and goes  
4 against autonomy and you hear all the  
5 objections.

6 CHAIR ZIENTS: Twenty-five dollar  
7 approval. (Simultaneous speaking) You  
8 thought the numbers were big in government.

9 MEMBER BROWN: The flip side - the  
10 flip side is any reinforcement and examples -  
11 illustrative, simple examples of -

12 MEMBER SMITH: Exactly. Where  
13 everyone has benefitted. Right.

14 MEMBER BROWN: - Interior does  
15 this. Social Security does this. Treasury  
16 does this. And even though we're doing X  
17 contraction the life cycle total cost of the  
18 ownership is Y.

19 And don't assume that people know  
20 that, and so to draw that linkage is really,  
21 really, really important because it takes you  
22 from a Big Brother punitive - I'm smarter than



1 you are - to a we're all in this, I need your  
2 help.

3 MEMBER MCGOVERN: Yeah. And I was  
4 going to make a comment about the command and  
5 control. Sign me up for it but I have a  
6 feeling that your employees are probably more  
7 similar to Red Cross employees than for-profit  
8 employees, and there is a combination here of  
9 setting the budget to be restrictive enough  
10 that people can't go off on the customization  
11 route because this is why you get the shadow  
12 IT, and customization is really expensive.

13 But rather than saying thou shalt  
14 not do this, that or the other thing, if the  
15 budget is set in such a way they'll say whoa,  
16 I can't - I can't afford the shadow IT.

17 So in a way you're almost forcing  
18 the issue for them to abandon the shadow IT.  
19 And then there's the appeal.

20 You don't have financial  
21 incentives. You don't pay as well as the for-  
22 profit world and there the appeal is, along

1 with stories, you know, is this a good use of  
2 our taxpayers' dollars.

3 I mean, I always ask that question  
4 over and over again - is this a good use of  
5 our donors' hard-earned dollars.

6 You know, if they were in the room  
7 with us right now would they be pleased to see  
8 that we have 700 different IT departments  
9 sprinkled around the American Red Cross.

10 That appeal that I said once  
11 literally everybody started saying no, we've  
12 got to centralize it. We've got to purchase  
13 together. I mean, people are working here for  
14 a reason.

15 CHAIR ZIENTS: And the reason  
16 they're working here is the mission of their  
17 agency.

18 MEMBER MCGOVERN: Exactly.

19 CHAIR ZIENTS: It's not the back  
20 office of their agency. (Simultaneous  
21 speaking)

22 MEMBER MCGOVERN: Exactly. They

1 hate the back office of the agency, really.

2 CHAIR ZIENTS: And we're capped in  
3 terms of how much money we can spend, which I  
4 think is a good thing and therefore it forces  
5 our back office to be as efficient as it  
6 possibly can so we can spend more money on the  
7 mission. So that's the - that's the  
8 incentive.

9 MEMBER MCGOVERN: That's the  
10 appeal. Right. So there's the carrot, the  
11 mission, and the stick is just set the budget.  
12 So and this is what we wound up doing at the  
13 American Red Cross and then it was amazing.

14 Our chapter said take this - take  
15 all the IT - centralize marketing - centralize  
16 our HR systems because it was an affordability  
17 issue and everyone wanted to fulfil the  
18 mission and didn't really care to Jeff's point  
19 about the back office so -

20 MEMBER LEE: There's another - I  
21 would just add another important carrot is  
22 back to your point, Steve, earlier, is if

1 people see the systems improving - that if you  
2 had antiquated systems and you aren't able to  
3 get your work done and all of a sudden, you  
4 know, new folks are coming in and they may be,  
5 you know, laying down the law but at the same  
6 time they're making things better so you can  
7 be more productive and the systems work  
8 better. I think that's an important part of  
9 it.

10 MR. VANROEKEL: It gets to the  
11 stories thing, too.

12 MEMBER LEE: Right. So you're not  
13 just, you know, being punitive. You're  
14 actually helping people and assisting them and  
15 that's an important part of it.

16 CHAIR ZIENTS: So we should - we  
17 should look at the - you know, the first  
18 couple of years we spent a fair amount of time  
19 getting - indeed, piloting - picking some low-  
20 hanging fruit.

21 We started to shift, as Steve  
22 arrived in IT terrain, to how do we start to

1 hard wire in so the things go beyond the time  
2 we're here.

3 I think Portfolio Stat, which  
4 would not have happened but for PMAB's input,  
5 is one of - across all of government one of  
6 the top five hard wiring in things we've done.

7 So but for this group it would not  
8 have happened. Steve's had a little role in  
9 that too. But I just wanted - I want to thank  
10 you and we ask you just keep pushing on it  
11 (simultaneous speaking).

12 MEMBER KINDLER: Can I ask one  
13 quick question?

14 CHAIR ZIENTS: Please. Please.

15 MEMBER KINDLER: I know we're time  
16 constrained but this question I'm going to ask  
17 a lot and we're - maybe as we go through this.

18 There is going to come a point in  
19 time - maybe it's soon or maybe it's four  
20 years from now - when there's going to be a  
21 transition of some kind, okay. This is a  
22 nonpolitical comment.

1 CHAIR ZIENTS: Yes.

2 MEMBER KINDLER: How do we sustain  
3 something like this so it continues beyond the  
4 tenure of the great people that have done it?

5 MR. VANROEKEL: Yeah. I think,  
6 you know, part of it is policy, budget  
7 formulation, starting to get it in.

8 The key, though, is culture - you  
9 know, teaching agencies how to run an  
10 Investment Review Board, teaching discipline  
11 how to - how to institutionalize the notion of  
12 depreciation, steal from the bottom of the  
13 list and give - to give to the top, you know,  
14 steal from the OpEx column to give to the  
15 CapEx column.

16 You know, that - there are  
17 pressures applied to our government right now  
18 that will drive that behavior.

19 We're just trying to fast track  
20 it. You know, cyber security, fiscal  
21 pressure, consumerization of technology - all  
22 those things are applying so much pressure to

1 the function of IT in government.

2 CHAIR ZIENTS: But Jeff -

3 MR. VANROEKEL: We'll get there.

4 I think it's -

5 CHAIR ZIENTS: And something like  
6 Portfolio Stat, if we were to stop now I'd be  
7 worried about it because we're not as, Debra,  
8 what you were talking about, it hasn't quite  
9 gotten all the way through the cycle of wins  
10 and in the - assuming we have a little more  
11 time to do it -

12 MEMBER KINDLER: Right.

13 CHAIR ZIENTS: - it'll get there  
14 because remember, you know, Steve's great  
15 deputy, Lisa, is here. At OMB, Steve comes in  
16 - comes and hopefully stays for a long time  
17 and then eventually goes but the bulk of  
18 Steve's team is here to stay. The bulk of  
19 Bernie's team is there to stay.

20 So as long as we can get at a  
21 macro level, Debra, what you were talking  
22 about, which is success, I think it will be

1 hard wired in.

2 MEMBER KINDLER: That's great.

3 That's great.

4 CHAIR ZIENTS: And OMB and the  
5 agencies working together. But it's hard and  
6 that's why we got to pick our few things and-

7 MEMBER KINDLER: Fantastic.

8 CHAIR ZIENTS: - bang on them  
9 hard.

10 MEMBER KINDLER: That's great.

11 CHAIR ZIENTS: Good job.

12 MEMBER KINDLER: Thank you. Great  
13 job.

14 MR. VANROEKEL: Thank you, guys.

15 CHAIR ZIENTS: John Berry, who all  
16 of you know well. How are you, John?

17 MR. BERRY: I am doing great.

18 Thank you all and before some good news and to  
19 thank you all for the training program that we  
20 now have up and fully running for our senior  
21 executives sort of - and three components of  
22 it.



1                   We have sort of an immediate on-  
2 boarding training which is, you know, you come  
3 in the door. Rather than just being given the  
4 keys to the office you now have a welcome  
5 approach in some of the core briefings that  
6 you need to achieve success.

7                   And then we had a program that  
8 you've heard from our - Dan and my colleague  
9 at the VA, Scott Gould, the deputy secretary  
10 there, who's helped us to put together a  
11 program called Leading Edge which is focusing  
12 more on career development for senior  
13 executives who have been in for a longer  
14 period of time.

15                   And but we had this critical  
16 juncture and as many of you have pointed out  
17 to us was that the periods you've really got  
18 to focus where you've got - you know, they're  
19 excited, they're wanting to make a difference,  
20 they're coming in the door - is empower your  
21 people in that first 18 months and really hit  
22 them hard with a formal training program that

1 is rigorous and effective.

2 We piloted that over the past year  
3 with - working with each of you and your HR  
4 shops and I'm extremely grateful for that. As  
5 a way to get to the last question, which was  
6 just how do you keep these things alive, we  
7 have formally now embedded this in the Federal  
8 Executive Training Institute so that they have  
9 assumed the responsibility and therefore sort  
10 of, you know, this program will keep going.

11 MEMBER KINDLER: Terrific. That's  
12 great.

13 MR. BERRY: And yesterday was our  
14 inaugural - you know, we're out of the pilot  
15 phase and into a formal, you know, career  
16 phase and we launched it yesterday and it was  
17 about leading people.

18 It was a five-hour very intensive  
19 program and our very own Debra Lee was our  
20 keynote speaker and I will just highlight -  
21 these are a few of the comments that we  
22 received from the 75 senior executives who

1 were in attendance.

2 CHAIR ZIENTS: Debra says to go  
3 slow here.

4 (Laughter.)

5 CHAIR ZIENTS: Are there any  
6 graphics, John, of Debra?

7 (Laughter.)

8 MR. BERRY: I just got these this  
9 morning so I apologize. You know, it was just  
10 yesterday. I mean, I've done them for  
11 nothing.

12 Debra's inspiring and thoughtful  
13 presentation - refreshingly different and  
14 informative. Critical private sector insights  
15 but also her personal story doing the Q & A  
16 session was very powerful and having the  
17 business perspective and the case study was a  
18 true home run.

19 So Debra, there's a -

20 (Applause.)

21 MR. BERRY: It was really  
22 wonderful and so we're very grateful and -

1                   MEMBER LEE: I must say I was very  
2 impressed with the group. The questions, even  
3 - John opened up the session and, what was it,  
4 8:30?

5                   MR. BERRY: Yeah.

6                   MEMBER LEE: 9:00 o'clock? And  
7 opened it up by saying, you know, I'll take  
8 any questions and there were lots of questions  
9 before anything, and really good questions.

10                  MR. BERRY: They really -

11                  MEMBER LEE: Yeah, and -

12                  MR. BERRY: - it's an engaged  
13 powerful group.

14                  MEMBER LEE: Right, and I was  
15 impressed with the questions, obviously. So  
16 I was very - it's a good group.

17                  MR. BERRY: Well, you know, this  
18 is - you know, you could see - and the overall  
19 rating of this, not just of the speakers but  
20 the effect in this in the organization and the  
21 program was very high and we'll be able to  
22 share with you all - we're going to break this

1 down.

2 By next week we'll have all the  
3 stats and the numbers and we'll be able to  
4 share that through the email to each of you so  
5 you can see the direct results from this.

6 But, you know, again, wouldn't  
7 have happened without your help. The quality  
8 level, the focus areas to hit on, and I think  
9 what was really - one of the comments we also  
10 received and I received this doing a break  
11 from someone is the divergence of a - you  
12 know, of experience that came together in that  
13 five hours of retired senior execs who had  
14 been award winners, prestigious - you know,  
15 Presidential Distinguished Rank Award winners.

16 These are, like, one of ten people  
17 in the government who win this level of award  
18 - you know, Nobel prize type of achievement.

19 One of our federal employees won  
20 the Nobel prize this past week, I should point  
21 out, at the National Institute of Standards  
22 for his work in quantum physics.

1           You know, so, you know, conveying  
2           that level of quality and of energy but also  
3           having the leadership of a CEO, a major CEO,  
4           take the time to come in and bring the private  
5           sector coming along. It's been a phenomenal  
6           success. So, you know, we're just grateful.

7           Tim is in the batter's box. I  
8           think our next one and this is kind of - and  
9           this goes to that last comment too about, you  
10          know, potential transition.

11          The next course we're planning  
12          specifically on November 8th and the thematic  
13          is, you know, leading change, you know,  
14          amidst, you know, organizational change.

15          Whether it is a second term or a  
16          new administration, there will be a transition  
17          and there will be new people.

18          There will be new leaders, new  
19          teams, and how do you maintain that focus and  
20          energy throughout that.

21          So that's going to be our focus of  
22          the next one. Tim is, you know, going to be

1 our main speaker at that one from the PMAB.  
2 So November 8th we'll be hitting off with  
3 that.

4 And then moving into the March  
5 program, which will be business acumen,  
6 building coalitions, and then the final one of  
7 the program, results - driving results.

8 MEMBER SALEM: How do people get  
9 selected to go to these different sessions?

10 MR. BERRY: It's - each agency  
11 solicits - we solicit from their senior  
12 executives and the agencies - we tell them the  
13 age - the group we're aiming at here, that  
14 we're looking at -

15 CHAIR ZIENTS: Tenure.

16 MEMBER SALEM: Okay.

17 MR. BERRY: We're looking at the  
18 senior executives who have - who are within an  
19 - who are within their first 18 months so  
20 we're looking at that cadre of your workforce  
21 and then agencies look at, you know, okay, can  
22 - is this person in town that day, et cetera.

1 So -

2 CHAIR ZIENTS: So we're able - our  
3 goal is to be able to fill all that demand,  
4 right, for that first 18-month period -

5 MR. BERRY: Absolutely.

6 CHAIR ZIENTS: - (simultaneous  
7 speaking) cycle throughout.

8 MR. BERRY: And so yesterday we  
9 hit about - I think that final count ended up  
10 being about 82.

11 So it was a pretty good turnout  
12 and, you know, and we had - what we're getting  
13 better at is the last-minute slots. So  
14 finding people who then have been pulled off  
15 for some emergency who have created an  
16 opening.

17 We have a back-up team now that we  
18 can immediately slot in there so we don't  
19 waste seats.

20 So it's been - it's been very  
21 effective. So can't thank you enough and,  
22 Debra, any - would you like to add anything?



1 I mean, you were there so -

2 MEMBER LEE: Yeah, and I did think  
3 a lot of the executives there were very new.  
4 You know, people told me stories about moving  
5 from North Carolina or other places. So that  
6 was refreshing.

7 But, you know, I really could feel  
8 the commitment and their desire to be better.  
9 I mean, even though they were new to SES, you  
10 know, they were mid-career people.

11 So they had had experiences other  
12 places and they were, you know, excited about  
13 bringing those experience to bear in helping  
14 with the country and the government but also  
15 the desire to be better.

16 And you could tell that by the  
17 questions - you know, I mean, just really  
18 thoughtful questions and the question of  
19 incentives did come up a couple of times.

20 MEMBER KINDLER: In what context?  
21 What were they questioning?

22 MEMBER LEE: You know, are there -

1 I think you were asked what other incentives  
2 are there other than - you know, since there  
3 is not a lot of money to be passed around in  
4 government and John gave a very good answer.

5 And I loved your statement about,  
6 you know, being upbeat and if you have a bad  
7 day stay at home because it - because this,  
8 you know, leadership requires passion and  
9 commitment and, you know, everyone's looking  
10 up to you. So I thought that was very good.

11 But I was just really impressed by  
12 the group - the diversity of the group, their  
13 backgrounds, you know. It's very impressive.  
14 So I was glad to do it.

15 CHAIR ZIENTS: Thank you. Very  
16 well.

17 MEMBER LEE: And they - you know,  
18 they seemed interested in BET. You know, you  
19 worry that an entertainment industry company  
20 may seem very far removed from what they do in  
21 government.

22 But you realize that management is

1 management and, you know, passion is passion  
2 and, you know, their stories translate. So it  
3 was a lot of fun.

4 MR. BERRY: Really great, great  
5 stuff. And then on the next program - the  
6 standardized appraisal system - we've gotten  
7 that out into sort of the top ten - the  
8 largest agencies of the government all up and  
9 running now.

10 You see there on this sheet, the  
11 one - Page 13, the one thing that I'm going to  
12 try to really press hard on is I believe that  
13 we can get '14 done in '13 and so my team is  
14 just hearing that right now but I've really  
15 been looking at this.

16 And I think we can - we can push  
17 this up a year and get it done. The success  
18 rate, the buy-up, the take-up of this has been  
19 phenomenal.

20 Everybody who's done it has come  
21 away and said why didn't we do this before.  
22 You know, the point of - you said, you know,

1 the continuity and the consistency for this  
2 group is, as you all know, it's, you know, in  
3 a 1.8 million size workforce of something we  
4 call the federal government, you know, we have  
5 this veneer of 6,000 people that are senior  
6 executives.

7 And, you know, so treating them  
8 more with greater consistency and holding that  
9 standard of professionalism it's so important  
10 that we do that.

11 And so I think we can - you know,  
12 our goal should be to achieve the 96 percent,  
13 you know, almost 100 percent of the government  
14 in the '13 time frame.

15 CHAIR ZIENTS: That's fantastic.  
16 Jeff, to take on your right question this is  
17 great because it'll get done and it won't get  
18 replaced.

19 MEMBER KINDLER: It's embedded  
20 now.

21 CHAIR ZIENTS: It's embedded.  
22 However, that's an important step. The real

1 value will be in managers taking these  
2 seriously by putting in the time and energy.  
3 So it's the right base for it and it's a hell  
4 of an accomplishment. But then off that base  
5 -

6 MEMBER LEE: The quality of the -

7 CHAIR ZIENTS: - we've got to get  
8 people focused on this to make it a priority.

9 MEMBER KINDLER: Well, but they  
10 see the value, right, presumably?

11 CHAIR ZIENTS: Yep.

12 MEMBER KINDLER: So that becomes a  
13 virtuous circle.

14 CHAIR ZIENTS: Yes. But we've got  
15 to get that humming. But to get this  
16 foundation in place -

17 MEMBER KINDLER: Great  
18 accomplishment.

19 CHAIR ZIENTS: - that is great.  
20 Right answer, and no one's going to undo that.  
21 No one's going to say, I want to undo -

22 MEMBER LEE: Management.

1 MR. BERRY: That's our hope. You  
2 know, with things like just going to something  
3 like the resume, I mean, you know, anybody who  
4 comes in who wants to go back to knowledge,  
5 skills and ability essay questions would be  
6 nuts, you know, so -

7 CHAIR ZIENTS: We just has to  
8 (simultaneous speaking) John's move to a  
9 resume basis for recruiting, which is so right  
10 answer.

11 MEMBER MCGOVERN: Well, moving to  
12 consistency, I think also it's a signal to the  
13 SESs that they have a career in government and  
14 it doesn't start all over again in another  
15 branch of government.

16 So it's just a way that is telling  
17 them you care about them, I think. So -

18 MR. BERRY: But the movement that  
19 - you know, one of the things we discussed at  
20 a previous meeting and it - you know, I have  
21 tried this now in three different positions in  
22 my government career over the past 25 years.

1           It is real hard to, you know, to  
2           get the movement of the people that you really  
3           want to move.

4           You want to be able to move your  
5           best. But because what you have at the top of  
6           agencies is so few people who are there that  
7           you can - you know, who have the depth and  
8           that you can really lean on and count on and  
9           there's a tendency of I can't spare - you  
10          know, I'm here for 18 months, two years. I  
11          can't spare this person for a nine-month  
12          rotation type of thing, even though we know  
13          the importance of it.

14          We know the criticality of it. So  
15          one of the things we've been working with the  
16          DepSecs on is, you know, it may not work in  
17          our case at the SES because what you don't  
18          want is people saying well, I have this SES  
19          that I'd love to move and, you know, would but  
20          that's the exact wrong person we want to be  
21          shifting that boat. So -

22                    CHAIR ZIENTS: What we have done

1 is we've hard wired in rotation before SES.

2 MR. BERRY: And so that's where  
3 that focus has been to take sort of pre - at  
4 the - what we call our 13, 14, 15 level and  
5 are now focusing that rotational effort at  
6 that level and we're finding better -

7 MEMBER MCGOVERN: That's when  
8 you've got to move then.

9 MR. BERRY: We're finding better  
10 success there than -

11 CHAIR ZIENTS: (Simultaneous  
12 speaking) at the right agency.

13 MEMBER MCGOVERN: Exactly.

14 CHAIR ZIENTS: John, any questions  
15 or a request for counsel from this group?

16 MR. BERRY: No. And I also want  
17 to thank you. I asked for your help on some  
18 of our - and it was - you gave me very  
19 important feedback on our employee viewpoint  
20 survey and how you approach what actual tools  
21 and mechanisms you use.

22 And we're actually going to be



1 coming out to a couple of the firms and  
2 kicking the tires more directly with - our  
3 detail people with your detail people.

4 But even just the initial input  
5 you gave us since the last meeting I think  
6 helped us to recraft a direction we were  
7 moving.

8 So very, very helpful and grateful  
9 for that.

10 CHAIR ZIENTS: Dan, do you want to  
11 jump in here?

12 MR. PONEMAN: Yeah. I might just  
13 add that that conversation we had at the last  
14 meeting about the painfulness of going through  
15 a real review really informed what we were  
16 doing because what we found, as Jeff and John  
17 just said, you're not going to see people go  
18 back to, you know, these old-fashioned ways of  
19 doing business.

20 We, in the implementation of this  
21 reform, had to really overcome deep  
22 institutional biases in two - at least two

1 dimensions.

2           Number one, we are par excellence  
3 a technical agency. So the already present  
4 predilection to sort of take SES away from its  
5 roots to thinking about areas of expertise as  
6 opposed to your top veneer of leaders.

7           We had gone pretty far down that  
8 road, and working very closely with John we  
9 came up with other approaches. What we found  
10 you really had institutional concerns to begin  
11 with.

12           People were not comfortable, first  
13 of all, making a shift to a leadership  
14 orientation and, secondly, being candid with  
15 themselves, with their superiors - this is the  
16 conversation we had last time - and with the  
17 people they're evaluating.

18           This goes together with the phrase  
19 - I think you just used it - peanut buttering.  
20 There tend to be this sort of homogenization  
21 of evaluations which became sort of empty,  
22 relatively speaking.

1                   And so actually when we went from  
2                   the four levels to the five levels it  
3                   facilitated moving away from that because you  
4                   were able to acknowledge specific nuances that  
5                   otherwise you're making a pretty draconian  
6                   choice and people don't want to fall, you  
7                   know, too far into the next bin.

8                   So what it took in our case was  
9                   really going to workshops. We had to make  
10                  training in the initial instances mandatory  
11                  because there was a lot of inertia against  
12                  these kinds of things.

13                  And then people, as they were  
14                  forced to face - and I guess Greg was making  
15                  the point about specific examples, with the  
16                  names removed to protect the innocent -  
17                  specific personnel challenges that had been  
18                  faced and how people dealt with them, then the  
19                  people - our SESs came back and says, you know  
20                  what, this actually - this actually is  
21                  helpful.

22                  And I think it facilitated the

1 whole integration and I think we're all of us  
2 preoccupied by how you leave something into  
3 the culture and DNA of the organization beyond  
4 changing the forms, which was absolutely  
5 indispensable.

6 I would call that a necessary but  
7 not sufficient condition. So I think that it  
8 is now well launched. It's going to take a  
9 couple of cycles to get there but then you  
10 will ideally end up with this kind of cadre  
11 where you can take this that - there and put  
12 her over there and so forth and really get the  
13 benefits of management.

14 I guess the only other thing I  
15 would say is we had already been starting to  
16 move in that direction because we recognized  
17 in interviewing our own people about their  
18 level of job satisfaction that although they  
19 had become sort of inured to this rating  
20 system that was pretty undifferentiated and  
21 not really sort of painful wire scrubbing we  
22 have found that now that people are going

1 through this process they are actually getting  
2 the cultural acceptance.

3 It didn't take as long as I  
4 feared. People, especially SESs, are used to  
5 being head of the class and when you do it  
6 from the top with them then it's easier for  
7 them to carry out down through their  
8 organization.

9 So I think that it's going to  
10 continue to be a static process. We'll  
11 continue to - we should take feedback and push  
12 that through. If it doesn't, it gets stale,  
13 I think.

14 But I really think that we have  
15 now turned a corner in terms of moving SES  
16 back toward the original conception that John  
17 has been working so hard for the last couple  
18 of years to do.

19 CHAIR ZIENTS: Anything before we  
20 switch gears?

21 MEMBER KINDLER: I just would make  
22 the comment this is fantastic how far this has

1       come. I mean, when we started talking about  
2       this whenever it was, a year or so ago, this  
3       was an area I personally have some passion for  
4       and I just congratulate you guys on great  
5       achievements.

6                   MR. BERRY: Well, we're really  
7       going to - we're already - looking forward in  
8       terms of building the great - the framework of  
9       work that we believe will help regardless of  
10      what happens in November.

11                   Going forward, what do we need in  
12      the civil service, in the work force of the  
13      21st century, and one of the key questions  
14      we've started to wrestle with I was out in  
15      Portland, Oregon, last week with Nike and were  
16      looking at the classification system which has  
17      - you know, it was developed in the, you know,  
18      19th century and it governs, you know, issues  
19      of great complexity in the federal government.

20                   But one of the things that - you  
21      know, and it gets into very sensitive areas  
22      of, you know, how do you - how do you achieve

1 equal pay for equal work and but still have  
2 enough flexibility to achieve some of the  
3 hybridization that Interior was talking about  
4 and recognizing, you know, people need  
5 multiple skill sets in the 21st century, not  
6 silos.

7 And, you know, so we're started  
8 working on that program looking forward and,  
9 again, I think this is one where each of you  
10 have - there is no right magic answer here  
11 and, you know, wanting to learn from your  
12 experience and how you've wrestled with these  
13 very interesting and difficult topic is going  
14 to be, I think, critical for us going forward.

15 So I'll be working with Jeff on -  
16 we'll have to figure out how we tee this up in  
17 such a way that we don't waste your time but  
18 yet I think it would be a next one where your  
19 input early on could revolutionize this  
20 approach.

21 CHAIR ZIENTS: All right. So  
22 let's - thank you, John. Let's take a ten-

1 minute break. Restrooms, BlackBerrys, naps,  
2 whatever.

3 (Whereupon, the above-entitled  
4 meeting went off the record at 10:12 a.m. and  
5 resumed at 10:25 a.m.)

6 CHAIR ZIENTS: Let's spend about  
7 20 to 25 minutes on strategic sourcing.

8 MR. JORDAN: Okay.

9 CHAIR ZIENTS: I think we're  
10 making good progress.

11 MR. JORDAN: Yes.

12 CHAIR ZIENTS: And then improper  
13 payments. We're going to give this to Joe.  
14 I just got called across the street. I  
15 shouldn't be more than 20 minutes.

16 Danny, you want to make sure that  
17 you keep this moving and on pace for 20, 25  
18 minutes on each and, Joe, I'd love to get  
19 conversation going within five to seven  
20 minutes.

21 MR. JORDAN: Great.

22 CHAIR ZIENTS: - for counsel and



1 strategic -

2 MR. JORDAN: Yeah. And we'll have  
3 some interstitial questions too.

4 CHAIR ZIENTS: I should be right  
5 back.

6 MR. JORDAN: Thanks, Jeff, and  
7 thanks again to everyone for coming. Most  
8 importantly, thanks to you and your teams for  
9 all your help.

10 It's really made a difference.  
11 We've gotten some really great progress since  
12 we last talked.

13 The site visits were really  
14 informative and I'm excited to go through and  
15 kind of get your feedback on some of the  
16 things that we've done.

17 So we're on slide 14 in the  
18 binder. Flipping to the next slide,  
19 basically, what I wanted to go through today  
20 is briefly recap the recommendations here and  
21 before I begin I'd be remiss not to introduce  
22 Dan Tangherlini, the acting administrator from

1 GSA who's partnering with us on all of this  
2 and will be helping to walk through some of  
3 this as well.

4 We have three kind of strategic  
5 and tactical elements that we want to talk to  
6 around strategic sourcing. The first is the  
7 key components of our focused federal effort  
8 that we've stood up around strategic sourcing  
9 for the last few months.

10 The second is some tactical  
11 potential targets for commodity areas for this  
12 year based on a lot of feedback and  
13 conversation we had at the last full board  
14 meeting, looking at kind of the combination of  
15 dollar amounts, which higher is better.

16 Complexity and commodity kind of  
17 move towards the lower end of the value chain,  
18 and where the sweet spots to start as we build  
19 inertia and go forward.

20 And then, lastly, I wanted to talk  
21 a little about a prices-paid information  
22 sharing tool, prices-paid portal that we want

1 to create based on one of the best practices  
2 we heard over and over around price  
3 transparency, and you guys gave us some  
4 feedback about how we can move forward there  
5 on dealing with vendors and others.

6 So the recommendations that you  
7 all gave us and formally adopted on the call  
8 fell into these three buckets.

9 Make sure that we have government-  
10 wide policy directives and that those mandate  
11 the use of these vehicles where appropriate  
12 and have a senior accountable official at each  
13 agency on the hook for results.

14 Secondly, we've got to step up our  
15 data collection our utilization of that data  
16 both through request of the vendors themselves  
17 and then also some internal standardization  
18 around the way we track and measure  
19 performance here.

20 Lastly, we have to make sure we're  
21 communicating these things. Top down  
22 communications and the importance here - all

1 of you talked about the importance, the  
2 critical nature to the success of your  
3 endeavors when the head of the organization  
4 said, this is important to me - this is not an  
5 ancillary function - this is core to us  
6 capturing savings, driving value into our  
7 mission - as well as once you had successes  
8 highlighting those and syndicating those  
9 around, lifting them up.

10 So the point is, and I was  
11 watching the previous segments on the White  
12 House website and, Gail, you and Jeff had the  
13 whole conversation about the carrot is you  
14 save these dollars you can invest a number of  
15 them in the mission.

16 Now there's a huge carrot for the  
17 taxpayer because we're going to put a bunch of  
18 this back into the Treasury and towards  
19 deficit reduction.

20 But it's really a win-win on that  
21 point and we need to create the framework that  
22 I'm hesitant to say stick but creates, you

1 know, very limited room for leakage and those  
2 types of things and encourage best practices.

3 So moving to slide 16, here are  
4 the key components of our effort right now.  
5 We're really targeting three areas as we  
6 implement this.

7 The first is, and this slide lays  
8 out, standing up some commodity teams in  
9 targeted categories which will create  
10 additional government-wide vehicles and is  
11 populated by senior leaders from the key  
12 agencies like the folks at this table who  
13 commit their spend-through.

14 So it's - you know, looking at the  
15 slide it's a focused effort.

16 Instead of boiling the ocean,  
17 addressing every agency, focusing on the top-  
18 spending agencies, partnering with GSA as a  
19 lead agency on a number of these cost savings  
20 initiatives.

21 Secondly, having this body both at  
22 a senior level but across functional level.

1 You know, Steve VanRoekel talked about  
2 Portfolio Stat in the first session.

3 Well, IT is an \$80 billion segment  
4 of the government procurement pie so we  
5 absolutely need to partner with the CIOs.

6 Danny's going to talk about  
7 improper payment and all of his great work  
8 with the CFOs. We need those CFOs, those  
9 purse string holders, in the room too.

10 So we've populated not just with  
11 acquisition professionals. Those contacts,  
12 first of all, CFOs, CIOs and other senior  
13 leaders.

14 Lastly, you under Jeff's clear  
15 direction we're setting very aggressive goals.  
16 You know, we're looking for transformational  
17 change here, not just baby steps.

18 So the way that we're kind of  
19 creating the framework is, first, set up these  
20 commodity teams governed by these core  
21 components.

22 Secondly, let's capture some

1 initial wins. Let's get some quick wins both  
2 through some targeted commodity areas and  
3 improved demand management, and Dan's actually  
4 going to walk through a bunch of that in just  
5 a minute.

6                   And then lastly, again, this  
7 prices-paid portal to increase the internal  
8 government transparency of the price we paid.  
9 We've just seen huge impacts from even the  
10 incremental steps we've made there already.

11                   So when we meet early next year,  
12 what I'm hoping is that we'll be discussing  
13 new vehicles we've stood up, the increased  
14 adoption of the vehicles we already have and  
15 all the increased savings that we'll be  
16 driving.

17                   You know, that's kind of the path  
18 as you help us kind of give us more input as  
19 we mature down this road.

20                   So slide 17 talks a little bit  
21 about - when I say we're targeting, we're  
22 being focused, what does that mean?

1 Well, of the \$535 billion that  
2 government spends we can't just look at  
3 everything. You know, we're not going to  
4 strategically source necessarily fighter  
5 planes, tanks, war ships - all of these types  
6 of things.

7 So let's look at what's really  
8 addressable and that's where we come up with  
9 about \$150 billion pie, a big sizeable meaty  
10 chunk where we can drive significant savings.

11 Okay. So that's being focused in  
12 what segments and industries we look at. We  
13 also need to be focused in the buyers.

14 So we took the big seven agencies.  
15 DoD, in any conversation about buying, is the  
16 big dog in the room. They're about 70 percent  
17 of all procurement.

18 But then the next six agencies  
19 represent an additional 20 percent. So by  
20 just focusing on the seven agencies we cover  
21 90 percent of this kind of classic, you know,  
22 Pareto model right there and we feel that that



1 can both cut through any bureaucracy in  
2 decision making.

3           Again, spend commitment is huge,  
4 and also cover the vast majority of the  
5 spending and we're still absolutely opening up  
6 these vehicles to the smaller agencies so they  
7 can leverage the great prices we'll get  
8 predicated on DoD and other agency spending.

9           So the go forward plan, and I know  
10 I'm going a little bit quickly given Jeff's  
11 time but I want to ask a few questions here is  
12 as I transition to Dan we've got a few  
13 solutions that we've stood up on the right  
14 hand side of this page.

15           We've got to - we've got about  
16 five more that we're ready to sprint on right  
17 now and then, again, I'm convening this  
18 Strategic Sourcing Leadership Council group to  
19 stand up even more all in this year.

20           But I wanted to get kind of a few  
21 perspectives from you on the savings  
22 themselves. You know, Debbie, you - something

1 that resonated with me and that we've kind of  
2 used as an operating mantra is put the dollars  
3 on the screen.

4 This exactly translates to all of  
5 our agencies have different missions. You  
6 know, Rafael is trying to protect and secure  
7 the homeland.

8 NASA is trying to do things. You  
9 know, everybody's got a different mission but  
10 they all jointly can - you know, that message  
11 resonates. Put the dollars to the mission,  
12 put the dollars to the screen.

13 When we do things like this at  
14 cost saving we want to put most of those  
15 dollars back into the coffers, you know, for  
16 the taxpayer.

17 But some of it we want to - we  
18 want to reinvest. How do you guys make that  
19 decision when you think about you do a cost  
20 savings initiative - how much do you give back  
21 to the shareholders or the donors and how much  
22 do you reinvest in programs that you think

1 will still be - accrue to the value but make  
2 that trade-off?

3 MEMBER SMITH: I think you said  
4 it. It's the value of the programs. Don't  
5 spend the money just for the sake of spending  
6 the money.

7 The compelling part - the  
8 compelling items on the table that you can't  
9 do that you need to find the money to do will  
10 drive it and some years you don't have a good  
11 idea to spend it against and you should drop  
12 it all and other years you really need to make  
13 an investment to move something forward.

14 So I would - I would not be in  
15 favor of the percentage spend-back approach  
16 because I do think that's a peanut butter  
17 thing.

18 You need to invest to the idea,  
19 okay, and some years there's just not any  
20 things on the table that need to be done that  
21 should take priority over returning those  
22 dollars.

1                   Other years, you need the whole  
2 thing because you have a really important  
3 initiative.

4                   MR. JORDAN: So match the  
5 individual and potential investments against  
6 the savings -

7                   MEMBER SMITH: Yeah, and it's a  
8 good (simultaneous speaking).

9                   MR. JORDAN: - as opposed to  
10 saying a percent automatically gets - okay.

11                  MEMBER SMITH: I've never liked  
12 the percent status for that exact reason  
13 because it's not - what you find is that funds  
14 are spent on projects that aren't worthy of it  
15 just because they're being turned back.

16                  MEMBER MCGOVERN: You could just  
17 create a war chest. So if you're trying to  
18 save 10 percent and you want - you have in  
19 your head you're going to give 5 percent back  
20 to the taxpayers, you take that other 5  
21 percent and you have people construct project  
22 asks against it.

1                   MEMBER SMITH: Right. If they're  
2 worthy they're worthy.

3                   MEMBER MCGOVERN: And I've done  
4 that in the for profit space and the nonprofit  
5 space where you look at the return on  
6 investment for each of these projects and, you  
7 know, if it's worthy you dole out the money  
8 and if not then more goes back to the  
9 taxpayers.

10                   But in terms of incenting people  
11 for it, you know, you could have a blend of  
12 examples of projects that are funded because  
13 we were able to cut the money and the  
14 interesting thing is so much of this is other  
15 people's money.

16                   It's not - it's not their money.  
17 So it's all goodness. So part of it is  
18 examples of projects that are going to get  
19 funded as a result because then more people  
20 want to save more money and then other parts  
21 of it is there just some way to translate what  
22 it means to the taxpayer.

1                   And even if it's, you know, like a  
2 half of one cent it somehow becomes more real.  
3 You know, if every department did this it  
4 could be, you know, because that's a really  
5 good way to talk to people that work in  
6 government, I mean, because they really do  
7 care about the citizens in this country.

8                   MR. JORDAN: No, I think that's  
9 right. I mean, that's a constant messaging  
10 challenge that I have is the first sense hey,  
11 I want to talk to you about procurement.

12                   People's eyes might glaze over a  
13 little bit. But when I talk about the dollars  
14 that we can really save here people get really  
15 excited because these are - this is real  
16 money.

17                   MEMBER MCGOVERN: I like to do it  
18 in terms of meals, blankets - you know, the  
19 things that the Red Cross does every day and  
20 there's got to be some translation here.

21                   MEMBER LEE: What's the  
22 equivalent. What's the equivalent.

1                   MR. JORDAN: Absolutely, and I  
2 think that's great. And then one other  
3 question before we transition to Dan to walk  
4 through some of this is we've culled from some  
5 of your teams especially who are doing this  
6 every day some of the ways that you  
7 incentivize your folks to really focus on this  
8 - you know, making - again, that top down  
9 approach is big but then making them feel like  
10 this is part of my job. I know I'm a mission-  
11 oriented - you know, my primary duty is to  
12 care for these people who have been displaced  
13 by a disaster or to put on a great show but  
14 this is important to the boss. This is  
15 something I want to do and it translates.

16                   And how do you do that without any  
17 monetary incentives - you know, some of the  
18 challenges that we have there? I was thinking  
19 things like, you know, just Jeff sending  
20 emails or, you know, congratulatory notes or  
21 we highlight these things in newsletters.

22                   We put a blog on whitehouse.com.

1 You know, there's some of the things that we  
2 have in our tool box for sure and we've got a  
3 great bully pulpit but I don't know if you had  
4 best practices there that you'd maybe already  
5 seen or thought of.

6 MEMBER SMITH: Is it - is it in  
7 their performance goals?

8 MR. JORDAN: We are - that's -

9 MEMBER SMITH: Because if it's not  
10 -

11 MR. JORDAN: Yes.

12 MEMBER SMITH: - you know, you got  
13 to start with it's in their performance goals  
14 and so at least they'll be recognized for -  
15 you know, for playing ball and exceeding the,  
16 you know -

17 MR. JORDAN: And that's one of the  
18 ways that we intersect with John Berry's team.  
19 We talked about the SES evaluations. That's  
20 one of those areas as well.

21 And then I've actually - next week  
22 is my last one - I mean, with every single



1 agency one on one and similar to Portfolio  
2 Stat we like the stat title there. So it's  
3 AcqStat, Acquisition Status updates, and this  
4 has been in every single one where they give  
5 us all of the data for what they've done to  
6 date.

7 They've heard the priorities and  
8 have obviously seen the conversation we've  
9 been having and your recommendations and so  
10 that's fostered a good conversation too.  
11 That's another -

12 MEMBER LEE: Another way to do it  
13 - because we just had a presentation on IT and  
14 how much different agencies had already  
15 pinpointed - is to make it competitive and -

16 MEMBER SMITH: I agree.

17 MEMBER LEE: - have people that  
18 uncover the most savings be recognized by Jeff  
19 or in a productive way.

20 MEMBER SMITH: And also don't  
21 underestimate. I think Debra's point is  
22 great because we've done that.

1                   We've set up contests among our  
2 five divisions and five contests and people  
3 take it personally. You don't get money at  
4 the end of the say but it is about, you know,  
5 who pulled - and you have all the visuals.

6                   You know, you have the pot being  
7 filled and the temperature and, you know,  
8 let's face it. We're all wanting to do that  
9 and do well and that works. You know, people  
10 want to succeed in a common mission.

11                   MEMBER BROWN: I think that's a  
12 huge point and we've always heard since we've  
13 been on PMAB, you know, the limitations on  
14 compensation and the difference between the  
15 public and private sector. I totally think  
16 that's a great idea. If you can't pay them,  
17 a plaque.

18                   MEMBER SMITH: We set up as a  
19 horse race where we literally, like in an  
20 arcade you have the horses moving forward.  
21 Believe it or not, people were obsessed.

22                   The first thing they did was stop

1 and see where the horse was at the end of that  
2 month, like where are we at the end of the  
3 month towards - it was called, you know, Race  
4 for the Dollars and, you know, and then they  
5 could have fun against it, like, you know,  
6 it's like you pulled up lame, you know, et  
7 cetera.

8 MEMBER MCGOVERN: This  
9 conversation is reminding me when I was a  
10 branch manager - God, I was so young. But I  
11 had a hundred sales people working for me and  
12 they were highly compensated if they made good  
13 sales.

14 And just almost like a throwaway  
15 thing I said if every one of you makes your  
16 objectives we'll have a huge pizza party -you  
17 know, pizza on me.

18 And this was more motivational  
19 than the huge paychecks they were going to get  
20 from the company. But it was like this spirit  
21 of teamwork and, you know, they started poking  
22 at each other - how come you didn't make it -

1 I'll go makes calls if you - if you can't make  
2 it. It's that psychic gratification.

3 MEMBER SMITH: I'm fine with it.

4 MEMBER MCGOVERN: Yeah, exactly.

5 MEMBER LEE: I'll give you another  
6 example. I'm on a not-for-profit board and we  
7 have a gala every year in D.C. and it had kind  
8 of stagnated in terms of fundraising.

9 Well, I got a whole new group of  
10 vice chairs and just on the email system  
11 people started shouting out when they got a  
12 donation. And it kind of really jumped - you  
13 know, he had sold a \$10,000 table and everyone  
14 said congratulations and then someone came  
15 back five minutes later, I sold a five to -  
16 and then, you know, just that excitement of  
17 immediate recognition of having done -

18 MEMBER SMITH: At the end of the  
19 day - you're right. Everyone wants the star  
20 from the teacher - the gold star from the  
21 teacher we're now getting that we were when we  
22 were seven or eight.

1 MEMBER LEE: Right. Yeah.

2 MR. JORDAN: And (simultaneous  
3 speaking) way to simultaneously do the -  
4 foster the competition but build camaraderie  
5 simultaneously.

6 MEMBER MCGOVERN: Yeah.

7 MEMBER LEE: Because everyone's  
8 pulling for the same thing and I think you had  
9 said this before. There never is enough  
10 budget and I see it all the time in my  
11 company.

12 One year we'll focus on  
13 programming because that's important. But you  
14 get the programming to where it needs to be  
15 and it's like oh, we don't have enough money  
16 for marketing.

17 So, you know, when executives see  
18 that and they know each year you set  
19 priorities and that there's a limited pot, if  
20 you can grow that pot by saving on things that  
21 you don't really, you know, necessarily need  
22 or are done -

1 MR. JORDAN: And the same thing  
2 for a little price.

3 MEMBER LEE: Right. Right.  
4 Another example, we had - you know, when  
5 BlackBerrys came out for a while we had  
6 executives - everyone was carrying a cell  
7 phone and a BlackBerry and no one liked to use  
8 BlackBerrys for calls.

9 So they're walking around with two  
10 devices. Then iPhones come out and then the  
11 phone gets better. So one day our IT  
12 department just said the new rule is you can't  
13 have two devices.

14 You have to have a BlackBerry.  
15 You have to use the phone on the BlackBerry  
16 and we're only - you can't have unlimited  
17 calls. I mean, you can have unlimited calls  
18 but we're just going to reimburse you \$100 a  
19 month for the calls you make.

20 So those family calls that we know  
21 everyone makes or calls, you're going to have  
22 to pay for them.

1 MR. JORDAN: You're on your own  
2 dime.

3 MEMBER LEE: And it just amazing  
4 the amount of savings we got from that simple  
5 rule.

6 MR. JORDAN: And I think that's a  
7 perfect transition because we're going to -  
8 Dan's going to walk through some of the  
9 potential commodities but also the demand  
10 management that you just - you just outlined.

11 MEMBER LEE: Yeah.

12 MR. TANGHERLINI: So thanks, Joe,  
13 and I'd have to say I'm not one of those  
14 people whose eyes glaze over when you start  
15 talking -

16 MR. JORDAN: I can appreciate  
17 that. Thank you.

18 MR. TANGHERLINI: And I'm really  
19 glad that this group isn't either and now I  
20 want to start where you started.

21 Frankly, having the leadership of  
22 this group, having the support of Jeff and

1 having the support of Joe, really sends a  
2 signal in to the entire organization that we  
3 take this very seriously, we're committed to  
4 these outcomes and it really gets people to  
5 come to the table and really cooperate.

6 You can't underestimate the power  
7 of executive leadership in any organization  
8 but certainly in government.

9 What we've been doing is working  
10 collectively with Joe, OMB and the entire  
11 agency portfolio as really exploring the  
12 places where we think we can continue to push  
13 and make progress within strategic sourcing.

14 We've identified five commodity  
15 areas that we want to pursue in FY '13.  
16 Desktop software - that's the - that's the  
17 office supply equivalent of computer software.

18 Wireless - looking just along the  
19 exact point you make, thinking about ways that  
20 we can better manage our wireless  
21 communication.

22 Janitorial and sanitation products



1 - so that is, you know, again thinking about  
2 the office supply model, thinking about those  
3 commodities, where there are places where we  
4 can very easily and without really - you know,  
5 without really getting into anyone's  
6 particular line of business that we deeply  
7 care about find places for savings.

8 Maintenance repair and operations  
9 - that's spare parts, that's filters, spare -  
10 you know, spare parts for heating systems.  
11 And then rental cars for official government  
12 travel.

13 What we've done is let's think  
14 about kind of three dimensions by which we can  
15 explore the entire field of commodities that  
16 we could go and look and see where there are  
17 opportunities.

18 And we've set a kind of a list of  
19 parameters - value, complexity, strategic  
20 impact. Value - the potential for reducing  
21 total cost of ownership in the near term;  
22 complexity - just difficulty to develop and

1       implement; and strategic impact - does this  
2       align the solution with other OMB and  
3       administration priorities.

4               And I would like to stop for a  
5       second and say is that the right approach for  
6       us to pursue.

7               MEMBER SALEM: Well, I like - I  
8       like the value complexity total cost. But the  
9       question I ask is how would you select - how  
10      did you select these five? Because one that  
11      we had talked about which seems to go hand in  
12      hand with rental cars is hotel rooms.

13              MR. TANGHERLINI: We actually -

14              MEMBER SALEM: Airfare, travel.

15              MR. TANGHERLINI: Airfare is  
16      actually one of the things we already  
17      strategically source with our City Pairs  
18      Program.

19              We just announced a new travel  
20      program, a single combined travel system by  
21      which reservations will be made. And on hotel  
22      rooms, that's a broader policy issue that I'm

1 going to be working with these guys on.  
2 There's some actual legal limitations on our  
3 ability to compete with hotel rooms.

4 We do have something called  
5 FedRooms, which is a competition within the  
6 limitations we have. We would think that  
7 there's some savings and we're working with  
8 OMB and with the agencies to see if we can  
9 move more business towards it.

10 MEMBER SALEM: And just to pick up  
11 on a comment Deb made, when she - when she  
12 talked about a switch to, you know, using  
13 BlackBerrys that was a bit of a vision. You  
14 know, like somebody had a vision - this is how  
15 we're going to do things.

16 A similar question is are we also  
17 thinking about how we become not just doing  
18 what we used to do and doing it more cost  
19 effective.

20 So the great example is on - you  
21 have an example about printing. You know, get  
22 rid of printing. I mean, most people are

1 getting some form of tablet device.

2 I mean, we could PDF this whole  
3 presentation and not have to reproduce it.

4 So who's got the - who's thinking  
5 about the vision of not just getting better  
6 pricing but also -

7 MEMBER MCGOVERN: Changing.

8 MEMBER SALEM: - changing?

9 MR. JORDAN: I think that's a  
10 great point and it's something that we're  
11 working on collectively and why this group  
12 isn't just a bunch of acquisition folks. It's  
13 the CIOs who are thinking about where is this  
14 going, one-device policy stuff that Steve's  
15 team is working on.

16 You know, GSA has actually been a  
17 leader in the use of tablets and so how do you  
18 do that sort of thing.

19 And then having folks like Rafael  
20 from Homeland Security and other leaders in  
21 this community who have a broad management  
22 portfolio at the user perspective, the agency

1 perspective, to say what's really working -  
2 how can we do things better. That whole kind  
3 of, you know, save award.

4 And somebody raises their hand and  
5 says look, I don't know if anybody's going to  
6 hear me but I've got a better way to do this,  
7 and then quickly grabbing that and circulating  
8 it, syndicating it to all the other agencies.

9 MEMBER SALEM: See, that's what -  
10 see, you say you know how much everybody is  
11 printing, for example, and make it a contest.

12 As you said earlier, to say, you  
13 know, you print less, you get the prize. I  
14 mean, it's not - it's not just - I think you  
15 should do the print saving as you're  
16 describing. Don't get me wrong.

17 MR. TANGHERLINI: You're exactly  
18 right.

19 MEMBER SMITH: The whole war on  
20 waste thing goes very well in organizations.  
21 You know, it's a source - because also you  
22 have a whole - the whole sustainability issue.

1                   It's not just cost. It's like  
2                   stop using - you know, we got to - we got to  
3                   address the paper issue and we relate - we  
4                   know how to hoard our money.

5                   MR. WERFEL: (Simultaneous  
6                   speaking) a good thing to cut waste and we've  
7                   made printing part of that and we talk about  
8                   double sided and we talk about number of  
9                   printers per employees, trying to get to a  
10                  ratio that makes sense.

11                  But, Enrique, you said no  
12                  printing. I'm wondering is there a corporate  
13                  best practice here.

14                  We're not at that point but if  
15                  there's a way to get down to a very de minimis  
16                  level of printing that's something that we  
17                  would like to track with.

18                  MEMBER SALEM: Go there. I mean,  
19                  it's - invent it pretty quickly. Make it a  
20                  contest.

21                  MR. TANGHERLINI: I think actually  
22                  we have a good example. My last assignment

1 over at Treasury, the adopting one of our  
2 high-priority goals - a paperless Treasury.

3 And that actually freed us up for  
4 an opportunity to look at policy and ask  
5 ourselves do we really have to mail benefit  
6 checks to everyone, and we actually have a  
7 proposal. At the end of - middle of next year  
8 we'll be eliminating paper benefit checks.

9 It's actually a better result for  
10 the customer, a huge cost reduction for the  
11 organization.

12 And so that's the trick is finding  
13 those places where we actually have within the  
14 organization thought the next dimension,  
15 support it with the strategic sourcing.

16 MEMBER SALEM: Absolutely.

17 MR. TANGHERLINI: Support it, but  
18 I think you get me to my next slide which is,  
19 is this idea of demand management places where  
20 we can explore best practices in agencies and  
21 best practices in the private sector - setting  
22 rules, sharing best practices and experiences.

1                   We've set up this print-wise  
2 activity which is not just a strategic  
3 sourcing mechanism for buying stuff. It's  
4 actually a strategically-sourced mechanism for  
5 people to share best practices and  
6 information.

7                   Knowing what this industry  
8 standard ratio of desktop printers are per  
9 person, six to 12, and then beginning to get  
10 agencies to see where they stand against that  
11 benchmark has a very powerful impact on the  
12 agency.

13                   I know where I am. I'm at 6.5. I  
14 want to be up at 12. I want to be at 12.5.  
15 I want to be better than the industry  
16 standard.

17                   And I think to your point,  
18 everyone who takes one of these jobs and is in  
19 a leadership position they want to be the best  
20 at what they're doing.

21                   We just need to give them some  
22 scorecard by which they can measure.



1                   MEMBER MCGOVERN: Yeah. A random  
2 thought on the printer side, that we were  
3 having to table two-sided, less coloring, the  
4 whole routine, and this grass roots group of,  
5 you know, millennial types -

6                   MEMBER SMITH: Yeah.  
7 Sustainability.

8                   MEMBER MCGOVERN: - pulled  
9 together and basically shamed us into doing  
10 this across the board. Everything from how  
11 many paper towels you use in a - I mean, if  
12 you just aim them at this and there's nothing  
13 more compelling than a group of over  
14 passionate, and they're in the government.  
15 There is no doubt in my mind you've got a  
16 handful of them.

17                   So it's a - you know, you've got a  
18 lot of do-gooders in your organization and if  
19 you do this in terms of not just dollars saved  
20 but carbon footprint or whatever, you know,  
21 it's going to make a difference.

22                   MR. TANGHERLINI: Are there other

1 ways we can, you know, monetize it or measure?

2 MEMBER MCGOVERN: Absolutely.

3 MR. TANGHERLINI: And that  
4 actually gets to slide 20.

5 MR. JORDAN: I was going to say,  
6 quickly, on your print-wise website, you know,  
7 for the internal folks it shows agencies okay,  
8 if you've done this how many dollars you  
9 saved.

10 That's great, but also how many  
11 trees you've saved and I know, you know,  
12 that's somewhat made light of at times but it  
13 resonates with them. That's a big deal.  
14 That's a great number.

15 MEMBER SMITH: The one thing I  
16 would just, you know, say is that it's very  
17 hard to take stuff away, right.

18 So, you know, just taking a page  
19 from, you know - as you launch new programs  
20 launch them without a printing option, okay,  
21 because it is very difficult to take it away.

22 So I'm just thinking about an

1 example like, you know, we have that brochure  
2 180 pages and so when they launched in Finland  
3 they - the brochure was only available online  
4 type of thing.

5 So taking it away is very  
6 difficult but everything you do from now on  
7 make it electronic only so that you never have  
8 that era of how do I transition this, and it's  
9 amazing that people figure it out.

10 MR. TANGHERLINI: No, that's fine  
11 and I think that gets us actually to my last  
12 slide, which is slide 20, which is really in  
13 order to do it we need to be smarter about  
14 having the data, really understanding where  
15 our spend is and how much we spend.

16 So one of the things we're working  
17 on is developing a prices-paid portal.

18 It's the old adage, you know, if  
19 we only knew what we knew or we know, and  
20 that's the idea is to try to figure out what  
21 agencies are paying, even in janitorial and  
22 sanitation, without a strategically-sourced

1 initiative.

2 We know that the GSA vehicle saves  
3 agencies about 17 percent over the average  
4 that they're already paying.

5 So if we could move people just to  
6 the existing system while we then bring that  
7 scale together and then push that scale into  
8 the marketplace we think that there's actually  
9 savings to be reaped immediately and then  
10 savings that could be built on.

11 MEMBER SALEM: You know, we went  
12 back to the original value ownership  
13 complexity. Transparency and visibility may  
14 be something they care about because that's  
15 what you actually just described. You said  
16 this is about visibility and transparency in  
17 what people are doing.

18 MR. TANGHERLINI: Yes, absolutely.  
19 And we think that actually what we need to do  
20 is have visibility into how each of us are  
21 acting because, again, it gets to this contest  
22 nature.

1 I know DHS doesn't want to pay  
2 more than HHS and I think that that's the  
3 trick is if - and particularly if we have a  
4 vehicle where we have a price point that's  
5 better than what any other agency has, when  
6 the agency has permission in a way to not  
7 spend the time, effort and energy in building  
8 their own structure for going out and making  
9 that purchase it backs people into strategic  
10 sourcing in a way that they're actually moving  
11 towards the goal in a measurable way and then  
12 they can bring those results to their  
13 leadership and say hey, look what I found - it  
14 was sitting on the street in the form of  
15 savings.

16 MR. JORDAN: So I know we're up at  
17 our -

18 MR. WINSLOW: Any last questions,  
19 Enrique?

20 MEMBER SALEM: Well, one comment I  
21 had - so we talked about \$150 billion  
22 estimated -

1 MR. JORDAN: Yes.

2 MEMBER SALEM: - and we're talking  
3 about incrementing \$9 billion.

4 MR. JORDAN: So there are - there  
5 are about 20 different categories. So we put  
6 together this group of cost functional  
7 leadership.

8 They met about a month ago. Then  
9 in two weeks after that they set up  
10 implementation case to build a bunch of kind  
11 of profiles of other commodity areas.

12 We've got about 20 of those that  
13 they're going to present back to the  
14 leadership group next week and that'll be all  
15 - on top of those, the three we've already  
16 started and the five that GSA is running with,  
17 so that we can keep going after them.

18 But we just wanted to show you  
19 kind of that first tranche of quick wins and  
20 things stood up and then the second piece, you  
21 know, is still in its leadership phase.

22 So they'll be getting the other,

1 you know, just a few days now..

2 MEMBER SALEM: This feels like  
3 sort of -

4 MR. JORDAN: Yeah.

5 MEMBER SALEM: It seems like  
6 there's a lot more.

7 MR. JORDAN: Yeah. It was like to  
8 the point - and Jeff made this point at the  
9 last meeting is hey, start down here - move up  
10 the complexity chain and that's exactly what  
11 we're trying to do because we'll get - we'll  
12 build that - cause inertia.

13 MEMBER SALEM: Well done.

14 MR. TANGHERLINI: Thanks. I do  
15 want to - just a quick plug. I've got our  
16 acting commissioner of Federal Acquisition  
17 Service, Mary Davie, here.

18 We've got our chief acquisition  
19 officer, Ann Rung, and we got Rick Miller,  
20 who's been helping out a lot too. So I wanted  
21 them to have a chance to -

22 MEMBER MCGOVERN: Well done.

1 MEMBER KINDLER: Good job, guys.

2 MR. WINSLOW: Why don't you just  
3 go ahead and swap out, slap hands with the  
4 strategic sourcing folks (simultaneous  
5 speaking).

6 MR. WINSLOW: Great. Why don't we  
7 get - why don't we go ahead and get started  
8 again? Apologies for a quick out and back in,  
9 swapping out some of the folks.

10 I just wanted to make sure that we  
11 recognize all the folks who have just joined  
12 us. Obviously, we have Danny Werfel, the  
13 federal comptroller who's been leading our  
14 efforts.

15 We are also joined by Seth Harris,  
16 the deputy secretary of the Department of  
17 Labor, and Dick Gregg, who has joined us from  
18 the Treasury Department.

19 MR. GREGG: Hi.

20 MR. WINSLOW: Why don't you go  
21 ahead and take us -

22 MR. WERFEL: We're on the next



1 page over from strategic sourcing, the  
2 improper payment section. Let me - let me  
3 start with a little bit of context and  
4 background before I get into the specifics?

5 I didn't want to spend a lot of  
6 time detailing the slides, the history of  
7 improper payments. We've been through that  
8 before. Just recall that the - there's a  
9 broad spectrum of root causes of errors that  
10 we make.

11 At one end of the spectrum we  
12 still continue to make the more basic errors.  
13 Someone is ineligible because they've been  
14 suspended or debarred.

15 Someone owes a delinquent - has a  
16 tax delinquency or other technical  
17 delinquency. They might be imprisoned.

18 They might be dead. And we make  
19 those payments and those are what we sometimes  
20 call the more basic errors that we make.

21 And then at the other end of the  
22 spectrum is a much more complex scenario in

1 terms of validating eligibility, like is the  
2 person back at work - what is the person's  
3 household size or their adjusted gross income  
4 for their family.

5           These are relevant elements to  
6 determine whether a benefit should be paid out  
7 and we don't always have a trusted available  
8 source to tap in to know as soon as someone is  
9 back at work and then Seth knows okay, stop  
10 the UI, the unemployment payment. We don't  
11 have that real-time information often.

12           So what we have learned over time  
13 is that there are ways to use data  
14 strategically to build risk profiles and  
15 analysis that can help us make more informed  
16 decisions because we don't have perfect  
17 information.

18           Now, the other point I wanted to  
19 make is we've had a variety of different  
20 interactions with the working group and  
21 they've ranged from driving principles, which  
22 we'll talk a little bit about here.

1                   We've been given specific tools.  
2                   For example, Motorola provided us a primer on  
3                   how to provide different risk judgments and  
4                   looking at data anomalies and that was  
5                   helpful.

6                   I think that a lot of the work of  
7                   the PMAB really synthesized the improper  
8                   payments team when we did a site visit to  
9                   Aetna and met with their - they spent an  
10                  entire day with us and gave us a fantastic  
11                  presentation on how they use data and  
12                  analytics to look for error trends and fraud  
13                  and drive their mission and their service  
14                  delivery.

15                  And there was a lot to take from  
16                  that - from that presentation. It blended  
17                  some of the guiding principles. It blended  
18                  the specifics.

19                  What impacted me was seeing how  
20                  many different parts of the organization came  
21                  together. It was - there was no sense at all  
22                  that the data analytics team was out on an

1 island.

2 It was very clear to me that the  
3 data analytics team was very integrated into  
4 the business lines and so there was a common  
5 understanding of what the customer needed.

6 And that was important. But I  
7 think the biggest thing that I took away from  
8 it was how clear the bottom line was for  
9 everyone involved.

10 They all - and some of them  
11 weren't in the room at the same time but when  
12 they came in the room to give their  
13 presentation they were on the same exact song  
14 sheet in terms of what the bottom line thing  
15 that the business was trying to achieve and  
16 how the metrics were helping inform that  
17 bottom line.

18 And that is something that we want  
19 to make sure that we're embracing within the  
20 federal context and having this combination of  
21 greater integration to the people that are  
22 actually in charge of making the payment and

1 determining eligibility with the folks that  
2 can help them do the data analytics and that  
3 we all have a common understanding of what  
4 we're trying to achieve, which has not proven  
5 easy to have that type of clarity sometimes in  
6 government and in particular in federal  
7 financial management.

8 So with that, turning to the  
9 slide, which my slide isn't numbered but it's  
10 slide 22, you know, at the top, you know, just  
11 the overarching questions.

12 We wanted to learn best practices  
13 from corporate organizations and using data to  
14 drive down error and we wanted to make sure  
15 that we were getting feedback based on you  
16 learning about our challenges, what you think  
17 we should be doing to more effectively tackle  
18 this issue.

19 At the bottom here you see kind of  
20 a relationship that we're starting to see  
21 between various input that you've given and  
22 the actions that we've taken.

1                   You know, there was a big push  
2                   from the Working Group on prioritization so we  
3                   have focused our efforts over the past several  
4                   months on unemployment insurance and our  
5                   government-wide Do Not Pay solution that we'll  
6                   talk about in a bit.

7                   Another thing that came across  
8                   from the PMAB was to try new things, to pilot  
9                   game-changing approaches and to, you know,  
10                  kind of break the glass ceiling, so to speak,  
11                  on things that we've been doing and in doing  
12                  so there was an undercurrent of thinking about  
13                  incentives and governments and piloting those  
14                  game-changing approaches.

15                  So one of the things that Seth is  
16                  going to talk about is that we are launching  
17                  a new state-led model for addressing UI  
18                  errors, which is trying to encapsulate  
19                  innovation with kind of a different government  
20                  model because this is going to be owned by the  
21                  states who we need to feel more ownership of  
22                  the errors because these are programs that are

1 essentially administered by the states.

2           There was a - from almost the  
3 first meeting, in particular when you handed  
4 us off to some of the folks on your staffs, it  
5 was a lot about standardization -  
6 standardization of data, standardization of  
7 business processes - that, in other words,  
8 data analytics will hum more freely if you  
9 enable the foundation to be standardized in  
10 terms of systems and data.

11           Treasury is initiating an effort  
12 to standardize all disbursement data which we  
13 think, obviously, is going to be - we're not  
14 going to - we're not going to wait until  
15 that's done because we're already working on  
16 the issue.

17           But we believe that will free up a  
18 whole host of new analytics that will help us  
19 be more effective.

20           And then - and then, obviously, I  
21 mentioned the site visit and other types of  
22 discussions that are providing us more input

1 on how to do data analytics - how to evaluate  
2 risks differently - how to determine the top  
3 ROI opportunities.

4 As I transition into Seth's  
5 presentation, I think the key for us is, in  
6 particular, the way the department works with  
7 New York State, who's going to shepherd in  
8 this new data center, is how do we make sure  
9 that we take what we learned at Aetna, for  
10 example, and make sure that there isn't a  
11 barrier between those learnings and what's  
12 going to happen with the UI new Integrity  
13 Center.

14 So with that, let me turn it over  
15 to Seth.

16 MR. HARRIS: Great. Thanks,  
17 Danny. Thanks to all of you. Happy to  
18 provide an update on how we're doing with UI  
19 improper payments and I want to pick up on  
20 Danny's point that we are doing our very best  
21 to follow your advice, which is very good  
22 advice.



1           So one of the pieces of advice you  
2 gave us was prioritize. So Danny talked about  
3 the government prioritizing UI, which they  
4 most certainly have, and it's become a  
5 priority in my life as a result.

6           But even within UI we are  
7 prioritizing. So we have been able - I think  
8 we gave you this description last time - we  
9 have been able to identify what are the root  
10 causes of the improper payment and we've taken  
11 on three of the top four causes because we  
12 think that's where we're going to get the  
13 biggest yield.

14           Interestingly, we didn't - we've  
15 taken on root causes one, two and four. We've  
16 skipped over number three because - which is,  
17 by the way, work search because it's just - we  
18 don't know what the right thing to do is yet.

19           We don't really have a solution.  
20 So we're focusing on those places where we  
21 think we can actually get a yield quickly.

22           You also told us to pilot some

1 game-changing approaches and to invest in data  
2 analytics and data mining and so, as Danny  
3 mentioned, stepping on my big announcement -

4 MR. WERFEL: I'm sorry.

5 MR. HARRIS: - because my notes  
6 say I'm pleased to announce but now it's  
7 announced already.

8 (Laughter.)

9 MR. HARRIS: But the Labor  
10 Department ran a competition in which six  
11 states competed to run the new UI Center for -  
12 UI Integrity Center for Excellence.

13 New York State won that  
14 competition and will be receiving \$15 million  
15 for - over the course of two years to be the  
16 lead organization in running a UI Integrity  
17 Center.

18 MEMBER KINDLER: Seth, what was  
19 the basis of the competition? On what basis  
20 were the -

21 MR. HARRIS: You know, we had - we  
22 had a number of criteria for the states.

1 First of all, have they already demonstrated  
2 a leadership role. Two was did we think that  
3 they had a grasp of their own situation.

4 The other was their proposal with  
5 respect to how they were going to go after  
6 this big problem of data analytics and data  
7 mining.

8 Interestingly, I won't - I won't  
9 point any fingers but there was one state that  
10 competed that has one of the worst UI improper  
11 payment rates in the country.

12 That was relevant to the decision.  
13 They don't yet have a grasp of what's going on  
14 in their own state and how to solve those  
15 problems.

16 So we - here's what we're going to  
17 ask this Integrity Center to do or Integrity -  
18 we got to come up with another name.  
19 Integrity Center of Excellence maybe is too -  
20 a little too loaded.

21 So what are they going to do?  
22 They're going to identify building on their

1 own experience, picking up on your question.

2 They're going to identify what  
3 they think are promising techniques and tools  
4 that deter - not only deter fraud but identify  
5 fraud.

6 That is going to be done in  
7 partnership with Treasury's Do Not Pay  
8 initiatives. Since we have these two things  
9 running in parallel we're going to bring them  
10 together through this initiative.

11 It's going to be a clearinghouse  
12 for best practices and I'll talk about how  
13 they're going to get other states involved in  
14 just a second.

15 Technology is going to be a very  
16 important issue. UI - the UI system or the 53  
17 UI systems that we have in our country are at  
18 varying levels of technological  
19 sophistication.

20 Some of them are using COBOL.  
21 Some of them are using the most sophisticated  
22 2012 data. It's just a matter of resources,

1 what they have available to them.

2 So they're going to identify  
3 technology tools that are readily deployable  
4 across all the states and they're going to  
5 focus a good bit on training.

6 Since most of this work is done by  
7 live human beings, once you have the requisite  
8 data they're going to focus on training staffs  
9 on fraud solutions and integrity strategies.

10 I just want to spend one second on  
11 why we did a state-led initiative. We are -  
12 the UI system looks more like Medicaid than it  
13 does like Medicare in this regard.

14 Medicare, the checks are cut at  
15 the federal level. Medicaid, they're cut by  
16 the states. In UI, we don't cut the checks at  
17 the federal level.

18 Fifty-three different state  
19 systems using 53 different sets of rules cut  
20 the checks for varied reasons at varied levels  
21 according to different caps. It's very  
22 complicated.

1                   So the idea of putting a fraud  
2 center in the Labor Department sort of dealing  
3 with - addressing Danny's question of data  
4 analytics being integrated with the actual  
5 decision making - the claims processing system  
6 - it made no sense because we don't do any of  
7 the claims processing. It's all done at the  
8 states.

9                   MEMBER KINDLER: I'm sorry to  
10 interrupt but -

11                  MR. HARRIS: Sure. Go ahead.

12                  MEMBER KINDLER: - but to use your  
13 own analogy, you do have CMS doing Medicaid  
14 fraud in HHS.

15                  MR. HARRIS: Well, but they are  
16 focusing on how to get the states involved and  
17 how to address that fraud problem.

18                  Having a - having a centralized  
19 system would have required them and us to have  
20 data taken out of the existing systems that  
21 operate in processing in the states and sent  
22 to us for analysis.

1                   It's not only inefficient. It  
2 wouldn't have worked.

3                   MEMBER KINDLER: Right.

4                   MR. HARRIS: It wouldn't have  
5 worked.

6                   MEMBER KINDLER: Right.

7                   MR. HARRIS: You would have to  
8 build a whole new system in order for - to  
9 help. It wouldn't have been very helpful.

10                   So the idea was and, again, rather  
11 than having a - having 53 states try to do it  
12 or having an organization that tried to  
13 organize all 53 states, we want to have a  
14 vanguard state working with a small number of  
15 other states and then working with the  
16 National Association of State Workforce  
17 Agencies, which is the trade association of  
18 the state government agencies that do this  
19 work, be responsible for proliferating the  
20 knowledge out through the various states.

21                   So that will be the mechanism by  
22 which we get it into each of the states.

1                   MEMBER KINDLER: But the end state  
2 is each state will have its own center?

3                   MR. HARRIS: No, no, no. We're  
4 going to have the one center that is going to  
5 be a knowledge center, essentially, and a  
6 testing ground, and New York will be the  
7 guinea pig for a lot of the work that we're  
8 going to do.

9                   And then that - and those  
10 decisions will be made - I'll talk about the  
11 Steering Committee in just a little bit - with  
12 a small group of other states and us  
13 participating in the decision making.

14                   And then the National Association  
15 of State Workforce Agencies and the Steering  
16 Committee will be responsible for getting that  
17 knowledge out to everyone.

18                   So rather than having - spending  
19 \$750 million on 53 of these, we're going to  
20 have one and they're going to share the  
21 knowledge that they develop and they'll be the  
22 guinea pig. They'll be the testing ground.



1 MR. WERFEL: So I've got two  
2 points in response to that. One is, you know,  
3 Seth referenced earlier a state that applied  
4 but had a high error rate.

5 The notion is because New York's a  
6 leader they're going to help that state and  
7 then hopefully all their centers can  
8 proliferate but not 50 or 53, and we'll have  
9 to figure out what the amount is.

10 MR. HARRIS: Right.

11 MR. WERFEL: But back to - I think  
12 you had raised a really good question about,  
13 you know, the Medicaid analogy because I think  
14 there is an open question for the, you know,  
15 looking at my work very closely with the Labor  
16 Department is what is the right thing that  
17 they should be doing with the states to ensure  
18 that the analytics program is thriving.

19 MR. HARRIS: Right.

20 MR. WERFEL: Now, CMS has this -  
21 has a certain, even different or unique role  
22 than Labor because they're doing all this

1 Medicare work.

2           They're learning about doctors  
3 that are stealing licenses or, you know, the  
4 types of services that occur in which, you  
5 know, they reimburse.

6           And I was at a presentation  
7 recently and they were showing that one of the  
8 fraud things that comes up is they reimburse  
9 for ambulatory services.

10           But they were able to find that  
11 some of these ambulatory services aren't  
12 connected with an actual visit to a doctor.

13           So someone's overbilling on the  
14 ambulatory services. That has relevance to  
15 the states in their Medicaid efforts and so it  
16 all feeds in.

17           MR. HARRIS: So we don't have a -  
18 we don't have a Medicare analogue although the  
19 reason that we're integrating this process  
20 with Treasury's Do Not Pay is that's the  
21 closest analogy.

22           That's the closest analogy. So

1 when there's information that we gather from  
2 the Do Not Pay process that will be fed  
3 through the Integrity Center to provide that  
4 information.

5 MEMBER KINDLER: Makes good sense.  
6 Great.

7 MR. HARRIS: So let me talk -  
8 actually, since we just picked up on it let me  
9 talk a little bit about the governance  
10 structure. We're going to have a Steering  
11 Committee that will include, obviously, New  
12 York State, which will be the lead.

13 They will also do the staffing. We  
14 will be on the Steering Committee. The  
15 National Association of State Workforce  
16 Agencies will both sit on the Steering  
17 Committee and they will help to identify a  
18 small number of additional states to sit on  
19 the Steering Committee to help New York in its  
20 decision making and to help to disperse the  
21 learning.

22 So they'll be involved in things

1       like RFPs and they'll be involved in  
2       development of metrics. They'll be involved  
3       in assessing the progress in New York State.

4                 We will be involved in that  
5       process and I'm going to talk a little bit  
6       about how we want you - are hoping that you  
7       will be involved in that process.

8                 So what's the center going to do  
9       and what are our priorities for what the  
10       center is going to do? Again, following onto  
11       your advice, we're not going to try and boil  
12       the ocean.

13                We're going to try and focus on  
14       the biggest priorities first and the places  
15       that we think are going to yield to effort  
16       most quickly and that's going to be our big  
17       push, the Labor Department's big push.

18                So one of the requirements is that  
19       they're going to establish the strategic plan  
20       that's going to identify project deliverables  
21       for every priority that we identify - that the  
22       Steering Committee identifies with our advice

1 - and then we're going to have time lines and  
2 we're going to have metrics for each of those  
3 pieces of the project.

4 Standardizing data elements and  
5 related process to facilitate efficient data  
6 use - this is one of the biggest problems in  
7 UI. As I said, 53 systems, 53 different  
8 technology applications.

9 There is - it understates the case  
10 to say that standardization of data is a big  
11 issue in this area. So we're going to try and  
12 go after that.

13 That's going to be a very  
14 complicated problem. The goal - we need to  
15 get to a data analytics and data mining model  
16 that can proliferate across all the states  
17 using whatever technology they have.

18 So what we want to there is  
19 identify fraud but we also want to identify  
20 those folks who are likely to do something  
21 that may not be fraud, just inadvertently  
22 continuing to receive UI benefits, for

1 example, after they go back to work.

2 And then we want to be deeply  
3 involved in getting the states to clearly  
4 identify responsibility in organizational -  
5 set up systems of organizational  
6 accountability.

7 We have succeeded in getting every  
8 state to establish a UI integrity task force  
9 in the state that brings together all the  
10 folks - the state tax agency, the state  
11 workforce agency.

12 They are now all working together  
13 but we want to make sure that you don't end up  
14 defusing responsibility by bringing everybody  
15 together but making sure that you clearly  
16 define responsibility and held each part of  
17 the organization accountable.

18 And also we have to take our share  
19 of the responsibility. As Danny was saying,  
20 we're not out of the loop here. We have to  
21 take responsibility for the system as a whole  
22 and Danny holds us accountable for the numbers

1 that we produce every year on the UI rate.

2 So that was slide number - I  
3 should have said that was slide number 23 that  
4 I just walked you through.

5 Slide number 24 - let me talk  
6 about where we're going and where I'm hoping  
7 you're going to be - continue to be involved.

8 So we have to finalize the  
9 organizational structure for both the Steering  
10 Committee and the center itself. The  
11 cooperative agreement, which is the mechanism  
12 that we're using here between U.S. DOL and New  
13 York DOL, will be executed, we think, in the  
14 next - sometime in the next six weeks. It's  
15 a fairly complicated drafting exercise  
16 whenever we do business.

17 And we have to have a charter for  
18 the Steering Committee that's going to govern  
19 membership and responsibilities for the  
20 Steering Committee.

21 So we're hoping that will be done  
22 in the next six weeks. We're going to conduct

1 an organizational staff assessment for the  
2 center. The deadline for that is March 31st  
3 of 2013.

4 Then we want to identify  
5 strategies that will engage all the states.  
6 I mentioned that NASWA will play a role and  
7 that the Steering Committee will play a role.

8 That's the level of depth that we  
9 have on exactly how we're going to proliferate  
10 this knowledge.

11 We have to have a plan that's  
12 going to get the knowledge out, sharing  
13 products, deliverables and knowledge with the  
14 other states. So that's going to be  
15 developed.

16 So let me talk about how we're  
17 hoping that you will remain involved in this  
18 process.

19 MEMBER MCGOVERN: Seth, can I ask  
20 a question?

21 MR. HARRIS: Gail, please. Yeah.  
22 Go ahead.



1                   MEMBER MCGOVERN: Is your end  
2 state that the - that you stand up a few of  
3 these centers for excellence for each type of  
4 improper payment and they become the  
5 centralized location that states use and they  
6 share the resource or how does it look at the  
7 end? Does every state stop doing everything  
8 they were doing or -

9                   MR. HARRIS: That's a terrific  
10 question and the answer is we don't know yet.

11                  MEMBER MCGOVERN: Okay.

12                  MR. HARRIS: I think we need to  
13 stand up this center and see how much they can  
14 bite off before we decide whether we  
15 proliferate centers and if so how many we need  
16 and what their focus is going to be.

17                  I don't want to be too glib about  
18 this because this is a big complicated problem  
19 and we are - and I'm going to tell you a  
20 little bit about how we're seeing a response  
21 to effort, we're seeing in ROI so far. But we  
22 don't know yet what the barriers are so -

1                   MEMBER MCGOVERN: And the states  
2 don't mind ceding all this responsibility to  
3 another state? Are they going to pay?

4                   MR. HARRIS: Well, they haven't -  
5 the other states know that we competed this  
6 and they know that we're making a big push on  
7 improper payments. What we're offering is  
8 lots and lots of help.

9                   MEMBER MCGOVERN: Got it.

10                  MR. HARRIS: So it - what this  
11 does is this will reduce - for the other  
12 states this will significantly reduce  
13 transaction costs and knowledge acquisition  
14 costs and maybe technology testing and  
15 identification costs.

16                  So what we're hoping is that we're  
17 going to get - this is all voluntary on their  
18 part - we're hoping we're going to get them  
19 involved because it's the path of least  
20 resistance.

21                  MEMBER MCGOVERN: Got it.

22                  MR. WERFEL: So let me add - let

1 me add to that. I think we - our assumption  
2 and we've got to recognize that we don't need  
3 a lot of centers for geographic purposes.

4 MR. HARRIS: Right.

5 MR. WERFEL: We don't need a - the  
6 world has changed to the point that California  
7 could just as easily leverage New York. They  
8 don't need a West Coast version.

9 What I'm hoping to see is that the  
10 centers, to the extent we need more than one,  
11 develop expertise around solutions that are  
12 proving effective. So I'll give you one  
13 example.

14 Right now, on a separate work  
15 stream, we're piloting an approach with a few  
16 states where they're partnering with financial  
17 institutions, banks, to figure out whether  
18 they can get information on hey, this is now  
19 a direct deposit for this individual.

20 This individual is receiving  
21 direct deposits and wasn't three weeks ago.  
22 This is an indicator they might be back at

1 work. That might be helpful information to  
2 the state.

3 Now, maybe the New York center  
4 develops expertise in that. Maybe we need a  
5 center in Florida or something like that to  
6 develop that type of expertise. And so I'm  
7 California and I'm interested in knowing this  
8 - about this bank thing.

9 I go to that center and they give  
10 me the corporate cookbook on how to deal with  
11 it. So that could be a model that we're -  
12 that we are interested in.

13 MEMBER SALEM: Can I ask question  
14 on that? I mean, I completely agree with  
15 using financial services but the employer  
16 knows.

17 So you're catching it at the bank  
18 level. Why aren't employers more involved in  
19 helping this whole process?

20 MR. HARRIS: That's an excellent  
21 point. There are two different employers  
22 involved, right.

1                   There's the employer who is the  
2                   payor of the UI benefit, which is paid through  
3                   taxes directly to the state. Then there's the  
4                   new employer.

5                   MEMBER SALEM: That's what I'm -

6                   MR. HARRIS: So there's - right.  
7                   So there is a system that we, through our  
8                   grant-making process, have incentivized and  
9                   really required every state to adopt called  
10                  SIDES, which is exactly about - it's about the  
11                  reason for separation and then there's a  
12                  second system called the NDNH, which tells you  
13                  when people are newly employed.

14                  The problem is there's a  
15                  significant data lag. You don't get that  
16                  information immediately. Usually -

17                  MEMBER SALEM: Sorry. What I'm  
18                  trying to figure out is the bank knows. The  
19                  bank knows because the employer, the person  
20                  making the payment, has actually contacted the  
21                  bank.

22                  MR. HARRIS: Right. But the

1 employer then - the employer then at some  
2 later point, not right away, notifies the  
3 NDNH, which is the National Directory of New  
4 Hires. So -

5 CHAIR ZIENTS: So one hour prior  
6 to the (simultaneous speaking) sooner. What  
7 I'm saying - what I'm saying there's a  
8 process. So what happens in direct payment,  
9 right, is the employee says, I want direct  
10 deposit.

11 The company that's actually going  
12 to issue the check says okay, I'll set that  
13 up. Isn't that - I mean -

14 MR. WERFEL: Yeah, so here's - the  
15 answers to that question is the same reason  
16 why we have a much bigger overpayment problem  
17 than an underpayment problem because, you  
18 know, if we - if we underpay an employee's  
19 salary we learn that really quick, okay - not  
20 in a year, okay.

21 So going to your point, I'm an  
22 employer and I have - I have paperwork to do.

1 I have to do the SIDES thing or this national  
2 - I get to it but I might not get to it  
3 eventually.

4 But the notion that the employee  
5 is starting and the paperwork is going to be  
6 done on exactly how they're going to get paid  
7 and how that pay is going to transfer, that  
8 typically happens very consistently and very  
9 quickly.

10 So for the UI issue it really is  
11 that time line and we get - we get burned on  
12 that and (simultaneous speaking) to us.

13 CHAIR ZIENTS: And there's no way  
14 to force employers to do it.

15 MEMBER SMITH: Yeah. I was going  
16 to say why don't you put the - why don't - why  
17 don't you put the burden on the employers? I  
18 mean, because -

19 MR. HARRIS: Well, right now - the  
20 only thing we have the authority to do right  
21 now is to work - let me tell you where we are.  
22 That's the ideal state. Most states were not

1 using the NDNH at all.

2 So we're trying to get every state  
3 now to use the NDNH and we're close. We're  
4 getting there. Then we have to get employers  
5 to give their information more quickly.

6 We would have to have a law that  
7 required them to file within a certain period  
8 of time. I'll just give you my own political  
9 assessment. That law will never pass. So -

10 CHAIR ZIENTS: Whereas you can go  
11 to the banks and get the data.

12 MR. HARRIS: You can get -

13 CHAIR ZIENTS: You can do that  
14 administratively (simultaneous speaking)  
15 lawsuits.

16 MR. HARRIS: - administratively  
17 where you don't have - now, we don't - what  
18 will -

19 MR. WERFEL: Because human  
20 behavior dictates that that -

21 CHAIR ZIENTS: But you can get  
22 access to that data without a law and an



1 order.

2 MR. HARRIS: Right. But let me  
3 also say it's a pilot in three states.

4 CHAIR ZIENTS: Right.

5 MR. HARRIS: We don't know yet  
6 whether or not it's going to work and also  
7 we're hearing from worker advocates that they  
8 have concerns about privacy.

9 So there's still a lot to work  
10 through. What Danny's point is there's a lot  
11 of different pieces of this and we want to  
12 learn a lot about each of the pieces and so  
13 the idea of having several different centers  
14 which we're not - we're not there yet but  
15 we're thinking about it - where you have  
16 different places that are expert like three -  
17 the three pilot states, if it works in one and  
18 it works really great they may now be the new  
19 Center for Excellence with respect to workers  
20 and financial services.

21 CHAIR ZIENTS: (Simultaneous  
22 speaking) still have to fill out this little

1 thing for the Treasury? Fill out this thing  
2 for the Treasury that's like a W-2? You don't  
3 have to actually go out and say as I become  
4 employed -

5 MEMBER LEE: Taxes come out.

6 CHAIR ZIENTS: - right, and so -

7 MR. WERFEL: That information is  
8 protected in some way.

9 MR. HARRIS: Right. I'm legally  
10 prohibited from collecting that -

11 CHAIR ZIENTS: That would require  
12 - I know that requires law, right?

13 MR. HARRIS: Also not going to  
14 pass.

15 MR. WERFEL: We have no - you  
16 don't have the ability to ask us that  
17 information.

18 CHAIR ZIENTS: So it's like a lot  
19 - that's a bigger issue. If you said  
20 suddenly, and this violates all sorts of  
21 privacy things and all the rest, but just as  
22 a government we could have access to all the

1 government information, we would have a huge  
2 ability to impact this situation.

3 MR. HARRIS: Yes.

4 MEMBER SALEM: But all I'm saying  
5 is the W-2 - all you - I fill out a W-2. This  
6 is what's going to happen. Taxes - Treasury  
7 knows I'm employed.

8 MEMBER SMITH: But they can't talk  
9 to -

10 MR. WERFEL: They can't tell the  
11 Labor Department -

12 MEMBER SMITH: They can't tell the  
13 Labor Department.

14 (Simultaneous speaking.)

15 CHAIR ZIENTS: I don't want -  
16 Enrique's employed.

17 MR. HARRIS: Yes.

18 MR. WERFEL: That's protected  
19 under Section 6103 of the tax code.

20 CHAIR ZIENTS: Now, some of that  
21 stuff (simultaneous speaking) we tried. We're  
22 not giving up on that.

1 (Simultaneous speaking.)

2 CHAIR ZIENTS: And, Enrique, you  
3 are really not employed right now. You've  
4 bragged about that over and over again so we  
5 know your status.

6 MEMBER SALEM: Exactly.

7 MEMBER LEE: So why can the bank -  
8 I mean, are you asking the bank to volunteer  
9 to give you that information and why would  
10 that success rate be better than asking  
11 employers to give you that information?

12 MEMBER SALEM: It's one smaller  
13 group of people you got to talk to.

14 MR. WERFEL: It's a - we've,  
15 historically, across government - and again,  
16 this is still being tested in many different  
17 ways but as success in having banks report to  
18 us information that's relevant to our payments  
19 we have a whole program.

20 The Social Security Department is  
21 an example where they have partnered with  
22 financial institutions to figure out whether

1 individuals are not reporting all the assets.

2 So, for example, asset total is  
3 relevant to when you get a Social Security  
4 benefit, and let's say you reported a \$5,000  
5 savings account at Bank of America and so  
6 that's relevant.

7 Well, what happens is is that Bank  
8 of America can network with SunTrust and  
9 figure out if that same individual had another  
10 account that he didn't report on.

11 And it just so happens that so far  
12 this bank reporting relationship, the banks  
13 tend to be more sophisticated. They use XTRL.  
14 They have -

15 MEMBER SMITH: They have much  
16 more. They have so much regulation. They  
17 have so many regulations that they -

18 MR. WERFEL: Yeah. They have -  
19 they have an unbelievable data network that's  
20 very modern versus the states' (simultaneous  
21 speaking).

22 MR. HARRIS: Liz, one other point.

1 The end is a lot smaller, right. For  
2 employers you have to have every employer  
3 involved. For banks, it's a much smaller  
4 number of institutions. So it's just easier  
5 to deal with them.

6 MEMBER SALEM: If we learn to pay  
7 - so if we paid less improper unemployment  
8 insurance don't businesses benefit? Wasn't  
9 that one of the discussions we had in one of  
10 our strategic meetings?

11 MR. HARRIS: Yeah, it was. We  
12 talked about it last time, yeah.

13 MEMBER SALEM: Right. That's what  
14 you said to us.

15 MR. HARRIS: Right.

16 MEMBER SALEM: So businesses are  
17 incented to make this accurate because they  
18 will pay less in -

19 MR. WERFEL: It's a pay forward  
20 because if you - if you do a good job as a new  
21 employer you're helping out the previous  
22 employer.

1                   MR. HARRIS: But it's more -  
2                   you're right at a very high level of  
3                   abstraction. But in any individual case, the  
4                   connection is more attenuated.

5                   So individual employers pay taxes  
6                   into the trust fund. The trust fund then pays  
7                   benefits out to the beneficiaries. That's the  
8                   connection.

9                   Now, different employers have  
10                  different rates depending upon what their -  
11                  what their experience is.

12                  If you lay off a lot of folks  
13                  you're at a higher rate than if you lay off  
14                  very few folks. That's the nature of every  
15                  unemployment system in the country.

16                  So your saying more quickly to the  
17                  NDNH, I just hired Danny Werfel, NDNH - don't  
18                  give him any more benefits - that will  
19                  generally benefit the trust fund. But it  
20                  doesn't necessarily directly benefit you in  
21                  that particular case.

22                  So there's an attenuated incentive

1 structure. That's a big part of why we're in  
2 this problem in the first place is states  
3 don't really have an incentive - a direct  
4 incentive, an obvious incentive, and  
5 individual employers don't have an incentive.

6 And let me just say the UI  
7 beneficiaries don't have much of an incentive  
8 to say hey, I'm working now - stop paying me.

9 CHAIR ZIENTS: But Danny and Seth,  
10 we've got to get to the Do Not Pay, right, and  
11 you've got to navigate us to the ten-minute  
12 close.

13 MR. WERFEL: Right. So I think  
14 the - I wanted to make one additional point  
15 about PMAB's role -

16 MR. HARRIS: Yes, that's where I  
17 was going. That's where I was going. So we  
18 have this Steering Committee.

19 Role number one that we're hoping  
20 you're going to agree to is to continue to  
21 advise the Labor Department in its capacity as  
22 a member of the Steering Committee and we will



1 be the conduit for you in providing that  
2 private sector knowledge into the Steering  
3 Committee.

4 Second is if you're willing, and  
5 for whoever would like to participate, we  
6 would like to engineer a very early meeting  
7 between the Steering Committee and the  
8 leadership of the center once it's identified  
9 and the members of PMAB so that you can  
10 directly convey your (simultaneous speaking)  
11 information.

12 CHAIR ZIENTS: For members of your  
13 team.

14 MR. HARRIS: Right. Who would  
15 ever like to participate. So those are the  
16 two next steps that we'd particularly like you  
17 to agree to if you're willing.

18 CHAIR ZIENTS: Any questions or  
19 concerns before we - all right.

20 MEMBER BROWN: And get a better  
21 word for integrity.

22 MEMBER SALEM: Just call it - just

1 call it ICE. Call ICE - call the Integrity  
2 Center of Excellence ICE. Put them on ICE.

3 (Simultaneous speaking.)

4 CHAIR ZIENTS: All right. Do Not  
5 Pay. Ten-minute run. Take it, Danny.

6 MR. WERFEL: So Do Not Pay is  
7 pretty straightforward. Dick, do you want to  
8 -

9 MR. GREGG: Yeah.

10 CHAIR ZIENTS: Did you get hear  
11 this, Dick?

12 MR. WERFEL: Yes.

13 MR. GREGG: First of all, thanks  
14 to the Advisory Board for all your help on  
15 this. I went with Danny up to Aetna and that  
16 was an incredible day.

17 One of the things, when you get  
18 into this, was that you got to remember what  
19 you don't know and there's a lot that we don't  
20 know.

21 But I think from the private  
22 sector we have learned a lot. I also

1 participated in the briefing from someone who  
2 used to work at eBay but set up their  
3 processes and that too was an incredible  
4 briefing.

5 And the thing that I came away  
6 from there is that what they were dealing with  
7 and what they're dealing with in eBay is more  
8 difficult than the payments world.

9 So but the processes, the  
10 sophisticated software, and he said it's all  
11 math, and then the people behind it were the  
12 keys of making this work.

13 He also said that his CFO said  
14 well, you know, what can you get my losses  
15 down to. He said, anything you want, which  
16 goes to the point of how much do you want to  
17 invest and that's the return on investment to  
18 make this worthwhile.

19 And that's something that, you  
20 know, as we go through this how many resources  
21 we put into this versus what the return on on  
22 stopping improper payments.

1                   On Page - on slide 25, Do Not Pay  
2                   is, of course, a centralized data matching  
3                   service and the key is centralized.

4                   I think that way too often in the  
5                   federal government we have set up each agency  
6                   as having their own thing and I think that the  
7                   Do Not Pay is really a key to assisting  
8                   agencies like Labor and others to help them do  
9                   a better job.

10                  We have access within Treasury to  
11                  numerous databases that are listed on that  
12                  slide.

13                  There are some others that we  
14                  don't have access to yet and it's one of those  
15                  things that Danny and Seth alluded to is that  
16                  there's pretty strict laws on what you can  
17                  obtain and what you can't obtain.

18                  And so for one part of my  
19                  organization we have access to the new hire  
20                  database. We don't have access to it for this  
21                  purpose so and that just gives you an extreme  
22                  example of the challenge.

1                   We also - since we're Treasury we  
2                   make 85 percent of the payments. So we have,  
3                   you know, the databases for excluded parties,  
4                   for Death Master File, and we have the  
5                   information on 85 percent of the government  
6                   payments.

7                   So we have access to a lot of  
8                   information that we can match and help  
9                   determine whether or not payments should be  
10                  going out the door.

11                  The Do Not Pay, in some ways, is  
12                  similar to what we've done in Treasury on debt  
13                  collection. Ten, 12 years ago, a little bit  
14                  longer than that, the law was passed where it  
15                  gave Treasury responsibility for collecting  
16                  delinquent debt. And you say well, why would  
17                  you do that.

18                  Well, the reason is is that  
19                  because we make 85 percent of the payments and  
20                  so what we do today is we run all of our  
21                  payments through - just before they go out the  
22                  door through the Death Master File and if

1       there's a hit we take - assuming, you know,  
2       every agency has a little bit different rule  
3       but we may take - we may take all of a tax  
4       refund payment. We may take part of the  
5       Social Security payment, and that works very  
6       well.

7                   What we want to do is get Do Not  
8       Pay where we can identify payments that are  
9       questionable or we're quite certain are  
10      erroneous before they go out the door.

11                   We know what it's like to chase  
12      people after the payment's out the door and  
13      how difficult that is. But we really want to  
14      be able to help agencies identify these are  
15      potential payments that you need to look at  
16      further, and maybe in some cases we can stop  
17      them ourselves, depending on the type of  
18      payment.

19                   MEMBER SALEM: You know, just a  
20      quick question. You make 85 percent of the  
21      federal government payments but not related to  
22      what Seth was saying on the UI side of your

1 space.

2 MR. GREGG: Right.

3 MEMBER SALEM: And what's the  
4 linkage if any between what you're doing at  
5 the federal level on all this and what's  
6 happening at the state level?

7 MR. WERFEL: There's a couple of  
8 things. There's - when we announced Do Not  
9 Pay the states were very interested because  
10 when we - what the Do Not - when the president  
11 directed us to create the Do Not Pay list that  
12 came about because we were getting these  
13 different IG reports or GAO reports saying  
14 payments are going to prisoners incarcerated.

15 Payments are going to dead people.  
16 Payments are going to people - states have  
17 the same challenge, you know, because a UI  
18 payment to someone who's dead -

19 MEMBER SALEM: So I'm just asking  
20 about the sharing between what's -

21 MR. WERFEL: So the key for us is  
22 and having it figured out, because all of this

1 is in motion, is how do we establish a Do Not  
2 Pay center at the federal level so that the  
3 Department of Health and Human Services knows  
4 to who they're paying.

5 At the same time, that benefits  
6 states and that's a relationship that  
7 potentially the UI center can help us explore  
8 for a particular segment of state payments.

9 MEMBER SALEM: I mean, I'm just -  
10 all I'm saying is Neal's got - I think he's  
11 describing here - maybe I'm wrong - why is it  
12 as simple as the state of New York saying I  
13 want to be able to match my payment name - I'm  
14 paying Enrique Salem. I'm going to match it  
15 to Neal's database. Is that a privacy issue?

16 MR. GREGG: I think - I think the  
17 potential is there because in the debt  
18 collection area that I mentioned we do a lot  
19 of work for the states in collecting state tax  
20 debt. We collect child support payments and  
21 so I wouldn't rule out the potential of some -  
22



1                   CHAIR ZIENTS: I think it's  
2 absolutely a quick second generation. Right  
3 now, we get Do Not Pay for the 85 percent  
4 that's federal while we're piloting how do we  
5 work better with the states.

6                   But I think you're right. As soon  
7 as possible we should bring those two  
8 together.

9                   MR. WERFEL: But I hate - I hate  
10 to always have the bureaucratic answer but the  
11 answer is is that there are constraints.

12                   So, for example, for HUD to tap  
13 into Do Not Pay they - and they don't  
14 automatically get all the data in Do Not Pay.

15                   The more data they want that's in  
16 Do Not Pay they have to sign memorandums of  
17 understanding to the federal -

18                   CHAIR ZIENTS: You're doing HUD at  
19 the federal level.

20                   MR. WERFEL: HUD at the federal  
21 level.

22                   CHAIR ZIENTS: Right.

1 MR. WERFEL: So we have to  
2 establish to get - to comply with relevant  
3 privacy and data security issues state to  
4 federal -

5 CHAIR ZIENTS: But I think what  
6 you're saying is pure logic. I mean, it's the  
7 -

8 MR. WERFEL: Yeah. It's an  
9 overcomeable barrier but it's not something  
10 that can happen overnight.

11 MR. HARRIS: These are all linked  
12 together because, as Seth mentioned, the New  
13 York State example they were one of the early  
14 states that partnered with us on the debt  
15 collection and we've collected quite a bit of  
16 money from fraudulent unemployment payments  
17 that went out the door.

18 Now, we want to stop them before  
19 they go out but it's all - it is all linked  
20 together. Let me just quickly jump to slide  
21 26.

22 One of the things that we're also

1 going to be doing is doing some pilots because  
2 I think it's important for us to kind of test  
3 some of these areas quickly and see what the  
4 potential return is.

5 You know, we have really  
6 appreciated PMAB's emphasis on risk analysis  
7 and we have - we're in the process of  
8 developing some new data analytics approaches  
9 and to measure the risk.

10 I think that's key and going back  
11 to the return on investments, and we've also  
12 been working to have well under way an effort  
13 to standardize the data so we could do  
14 computer matching a lot more readily.

15 So there's a lot going on. This  
16 is a new program for us. We've stood it up  
17 quickly but we also are at a point now where  
18 I want to kind of step back a little bit and  
19 say all right, do we have it right - what can  
20 we add - what kind of analytic tools do we  
21 need - what kind of people do we need to make  
22 sure that we're really hitting this and

1 hitting it hard.

2 MR. WERFEL: And let me - and let  
3 me just summarize the bottom line on Do Not  
4 Pay from my perspective.

5 I think there were - there were  
6 two critical key input points that are being  
7 integrated into the work - the emphasis on  
8 standardization and then the primer on risk  
9 analytics that we're using to build the  
10 analytic base.

11 Going forward, I think one of the  
12 big challenges that we have is figuring out  
13 the type of information to provide to the  
14 agency that is most helpful and relevant.

15 You know, so if I was back at  
16 Aetna, as an example, where I'd want to pull  
17 back some additional onion layers is around  
18 the trade of information between the analytic  
19 center that they have and the business line.

20 How has that information been  
21 presented? Because we're not confident that  
22 if we just give them - Dave down the hall the

1 blow-up material that that's going to have an  
2 impact. Yet if it's too summary level as well  
3 we're trying to kind of figure out what that  
4 right report that Treasury sends back to the  
5 agencies that has the largest impact.

6 So there's some - I think there's  
7 some additional corporate best practices that  
8 we want implemented.

9 MEMBER SALEM: So the first thing  
10 that we do is we are very anti copies of data.

11 We really work hard to not have  
12 this central repository get replicated out for  
13 everybody to use, create a synchronization  
14 link there. And that's something that we're  
15 very focused on.

16 Then we say what are the  
17 interfaces that all the data users are going  
18 to need. But it's centralized data with the  
19 appropriate interfaces. Once you start making  
20 replicas -

21 MEMBER SMITH: Yeah. One data  
22 warehouse.

1 MEMBER SALEM: - one data

2 warehouse -

3 MEMBER SMITH: Not many.

4 MEMBER SALEM: - with the

5 interfaces that are needed and the interfaces

6 don't proliferate it's - you have a process

7 for adding new interfaces, meaning you can't

8 just say we'll add whatever anybody wants

9 because that becomes uncontrollable.

10 MR. GREGG: And with the

11 improvement of the electronic payment system,

12 the ACH, you know, those are easily next day -

13 you know, you can make payments the following

14 day.

15 So we can build in some time to

16 have maybe a day to do analysis and really put

17 the red flag up on those where we think that

18 they shouldn't be making payments and maybe

19 the yellow for them to - for either us to

20 review initially and then for the agency.

21 MEMBER MCGOVERN: It also seems to

22 me like there's some 80/20 rule in here

1 someplace, you know, and if you go all the way  
2 back to the chart on Page 18 there's - yeah,  
3 the strategic sourcing chart - I'm not in the  
4 improper payments group so this is a little  
5 bit out of my bailiwick.

6 But if there was a snapshot of  
7 something that looked like Chart 18 by the  
8 categories of improper payments by state you'd  
9 know what to go after. Do -

10 MR. WERFEL: We do - it has that.

11 MEMBER MCGOVERN: Okay.

12 MR. WERFEL: And, particular, in  
13 UI we're trying to do - you know, turning back  
14 to the metrics discussion during strategic  
15 sourcing -

16 MR. HARRIS: And I'll send - I'll  
17 send you that streamlined.

18 MEMBER MCGOVERN: Yeah. No, that-

19 MR. WERFEL: But we also had the  
20 state by state, like we can tell you the  
21 (simultaneous speaking) rate versus the  
22 Michigan rate.

1                   MEMBER MCGOVERN: Because if by  
2 state - and that's the key. You want the  
3 worst state with the biggest opportunity and  
4 start with that first. But I'm sure you  
5 figured that out already. Okay.

6                   MR. HARRIS: We already have that  
7 posted on our website by state by cause and  
8 whether or not they have taken the ten steps  
9 that we think are necessary to bring down  
10 their improper payments.

11                   So practically shaming is one of  
12 our most important tools in this area and that  
13 was the goal there.

14                   MEMBER MCGOVERN: Dunce cap is a  
15 powerful thing.

16                   CHAIR ZIENTS: So when you think  
17 about it's a \$10 million or so investment,  
18 the ROI initial investment - the ROI here is  
19 hugely off the charts.

20                   So what - I mean, you guys are  
21 already on this but what you need is some  
22 early wins. When you start showing states and



1 agencies the return on investment here this  
2 thing is going to - you're going to have  
3 people giving you money because they're going  
4 to see a dollar spent has a 20, 30, 40 to 1 in  
5 return.

6 So you just need to make sure that  
7 you move to quick pilot and early results and  
8 I think you'll have a -

9 MR. WERFEL: Absolutely.

10 CHAIR ZIENTS: Yeah. Anything  
11 before we leave improper payments? Sorry to  
12 have missed part of that.

13 MEMBER BROWN: Because you're on  
14 the right - the right theme.

15 MEMBER MCGOVERN: Definitely on  
16 the right theme.

17 (Simultaneous speaking.)

18 MR. WERFEL: Thank you.

19 CHAIR ZIENTS: Scott, do you want  
20 to close the meeting just as to what's  
21 happening the next couple of months? You're  
22 on page what?

1 MR. WINSLOW: Exactly. Page 27.

2 We'd just like to (simultaneous speaking).

3 Please. That's fine.

4 What I was going to say is let me  
5 just go ahead and kind of take us to the end  
6 of the open portion of the meeting.

7 PMAB Page 27 inside the slides -  
8 we'll have a meeting in early 2013. I think  
9 we've already alluded to the fact that we'll  
10 put it the calendar. We just haven't picked  
11 a date as of yet.

12 MEMBER KINDLER: Are we talking  
13 the first quarter?

14 CHAIR ZIENTS: Yes.

15 MR. WINSLOW: First quarter,  
16 definitely. And what we'll be doing is both  
17 updating and discussing the initiatives that  
18 we've been talking about as well as looking at  
19 and choosing focus areas to focus our common  
20 effort on across the course of 2013 and  
21 really looking for continued engagement  
22 between now and then and then on into 2013.

1                   As you heard, both the folks on  
2                   the improper payment side as well as strategic  
3                   sourcing as well as the 2011 initiatives would  
4                   greatly benefit from your continued  
5                   involvement and your continued engagement both  
6                   personally as well as your teams.

7                   Provide some guidance to the Labor  
8                   Department and the states that - New York  
9                   State in particular and provide some guidance  
10                  to Joe and the Strategic Sourcing Leadership  
11                  Council.

12                  With that, we will close this  
13                  meeting. So thank you very much. I think we  
14                  can go ahead and wrap up the meeting for the  
15                  day.

16                  CHAIR ZIENTS: Great.

17                  MR. WINSLOW: Thank you.

18                  CHAIR ZIENTS: Thank you. Let's  
19                  take a five-minute break.

20                  (Whereupon, the above-entitled  
21                  matter concluded at 11:41 a.m.)

22

<b>A</b>				
<b>abandon</b> 49:18	<b>acting</b> 81:22 116:21 119:16	129:1 140:11,22	148:14 160:20 161:17	130:7 167:8
<b>abilities</b> 40:9	<b>actions</b> 125:22	<b>advise</b> 160:21	<b>agreement</b> 143:11	<b>announcement</b> 40:1 130:3
<b>ability</b> 33:22 45:22 70:5 107:3 154:16 155:2	<b>activities</b> 30:11 40:4,5	<b>Advisory</b> 1:4,13 3:18 5:2 6:1 162:14	<b>ahead</b> 6:16 36:1 120:3,7,21 134:11 144:22 178:5 179:14	<b>announcing</b> 25:18 <b>anomalies</b> 123:4 <b>answer</b> 46:2 66:4 69:20 70:10 79:10 145:10 169:10,11
<b>able</b> 32:15 52:2 60:21 61:3 64:2,3 71:4 75:4 93:13 129:7,9 138:10 166:14 168:13	<b>activity</b> 112:2	<b>advocates</b> 153:7	<b>aim</b> 113:12	<b>answers</b> 150:15
<b>above-entitled</b> 80:3 179:20	<b>actual</b> 36:22 72:20 107:2 134:4 138:12	<b>Aetna</b> 123:9 128:9 162:15 172:16	<b>aiming</b> 63:13	<b>anti</b> 173:10
<b>absolutely</b> 28:18 64:5 76:4 86:5 89:5 95:1 111:16 114:2 116:18 169:2 177:9	<b>acumen</b> 63:5	<b>afford</b> 49:16	<b>Airfare</b> 106:14,15	<b>antiquated</b> 52:2
<b>absorb</b> 32:15	<b>adage</b> 115:18	<b>affordability</b> 51:16	<b>align</b> 43:19 106:2	<b>anybody</b> 70:3 174:8
<b>abstraction</b> 159:3	<b>add</b> 28:19 51:21 64:22 73:13 146:22 147:1 171:20 174:8	<b>age</b> 63:13	<b>aligns</b> 25:12	<b>anybody's</b> 109:5
<b>abuse</b> 33:10	<b>adding</b> 174:7	<b>agencies</b> 14:9 15:4 15:10 16:1 18:6 20:15 21:18,19 22:2 23:21 24:13 54:9 56:5 63:12 63:21 67:8 71:6 85:12,18 88:14,18 88:20 89:6 90:5 97:14 107:8 109:8 111:20 112:10 114:7 115:21 116:3 135:17,18 136:15 139:16 164:8 166:14 173:5 177:1	<b>alive</b> 58:6	<b>anyone's</b> 4:4 105:5
<b>acceptance</b> 77:2	<b>additional</b> 44:3 85:10 88:19 139:18 160:14 172:17 173:7	<b>agency</b> 9:16,19 11:3,7 14:20 17:4 24:5 29:12 47:10 50:17,20 51:1 63:10 72:12 74:3 83:13 85:17,19 89:8 97:1 104:11 108:22 112:12 117:5,6 142:10,11 164:5 166:2 172:14 174:20	<b>allergic</b> 38:15	<b>apologies</b> 4:18 120:8
<b>access</b> 152:22 154:22 164:10,14 164:19,20 165:7	<b>address</b> 110:3 134:17	<b>agenda</b> 2:4 3:4 15:22	<b>allow</b> 42:15	<b>apologize</b> 59:9
<b>accomplish</b> 41:8,11	<b>addressable</b> 88:8	<b>aggregate</b> 44:9	<b>alluded</b> 164:15 178:9	<b>app</b> 17:16
<b>accomplishment</b> 69:4,18	<b>addressing</b> 85:17 126:17 134:3	<b>aggressive</b> 86:15	<b>amazing</b> 12:5,10 16:9,10 51:13 103:3 115:9	<b>apparent</b> 8:17 19:19
<b>account</b> 157:5,10	<b>add-backs</b> 44:1	<b>ago</b> 12:9 14:3 21:12 22:12,17 24:2,20 78:2 118:8 147:21 165:13	<b>ambulatory</b> 138:9 138:11,14	<b>appeal</b> 49:19,22 50:10 51:10
<b>accountability</b> 142:6	<b>Adjournment</b> 2:22	<b>agree</b> 36:6 97:16	<b>America</b> 157:5,8	<b>applaud</b> 19:8
<b>accountable</b> 83:12 142:17,22	<b>adjusted</b> 122:3		<b>American</b> 50:9 51:13	<b>Applause</b> 59:20
<b>accrue</b> 91:1	<b>administered</b> 127:1 126:17 134:3		<b>amidst</b> 62:14	<b>applicability</b> 8:1
<b>accurate</b> 158:17	<b>administration</b> 9:5 9:10 12:9 24:1 26:5 62:16 106:3		<b>amount</b> 52:18 103:4 137:9	<b>application</b> 40:8
<b>ACH</b> 174:12	<b>administrative</b> 37:7 39:8		<b>amounts</b> 82:15	<b>applications</b> 29:15 141:8
<b>achieve</b> 42:13,16 57:6 68:12 78:22 79:2 124:15 125:4	<b>administratively</b> 37:6 152:14,16		<b>analogue</b> 138:18	<b>applied</b> 54:17 137:3
<b>achievement</b> 61:18	<b>administrator</b> 81:22		<b>analogy</b> 134:13 137:13 138:21,22	<b>applying</b> 54:22
<b>achievements</b> 78:5	<b>Adobe</b> 20:13		<b>analysis</b> 122:15 134:22 171:6 174:16	<b>appraisal</b> 2:9 67:6
<b>acknowledge</b> 75:4	<b>adopt</b> 149:9		<b>analytic</b> 171:20 172:10,18	<b>appreciate</b> 103:16
<b>AcqStat</b> 97:3	<b>adopted</b> 26:20 83:7		<b>analytics</b> 123:12,22 124:3 125:2 127:8 127:18 128:1 130:2 131:6 134:4 137:18 141:15 171:8 172:9	<b>appreciated</b> 171:6
<b>acquisition</b> 15:21 86:11 97:3 108:12 119:16,18 146:13	<b>adopting</b> 111:1		<b>Ann</b> 119:19	<b>approach</b> 16:19,20 57:5 72:20 79:20 91:15 95:9 106:5 147:15
<b>Act</b> 24:3	<b>adoption</b> 87:14		<b>ancillary</b> 84:5	<b>approaches</b> 74:9 126:9,14 130:1 171:8
	<b>advantage</b> 27:9		<b>announce</b> 130:6	<b>appropriate</b> 83:11 173:19
	<b>advice</b> 128:21,22		<b>announced</b> 106:19	<b>appropriately</b> 27:2
				<b>approval</b> 36:18,21 38:1 48:3,7

<b>approved</b> 5:9	<b>assures</b> 39:7	13:4 66:6	<b>BERNARD</b> 1:23	<b>billion</b> 86:3 88:1,9
<b>apps</b> 18:10 32:17	<b>attendance</b> 59:1	<b>bailiwick</b> 175:5	<b>Bernie</b> 22:6,11	117:21 118:3
<b>arcade</b> 98:20	<b>attenuated</b> 159:4	<b>balance</b> 12:16	28:19 34:10 45:17	<b>bin</b> 75:7
<b>architects</b> 29:8	159:22	<b>ball</b> 96:15	<b>Bernies</b> 46:1,10	<b>binder</b> 81:18
<b>architectural</b> 41:6	<b>attitude</b> 41:2	<b>bang</b> 56:8	<b>Bernie's</b> 55:19	<b>bit</b> 4:16,18 6:14
43:20	<b>attract</b> 46:8	<b>bank</b> 148:8,17	<b>Berry</b> 1:21 56:15	7:15 12:22 42:20
<b>architecture</b> 16:20	<b>at-home</b> 27:18	149:18,19,21	56:17 58:13 59:8	45:11 46:15 87:20
26:17,21 27:2,17	32:10	156:7,8 157:5,7	59:21 60:5,10,12	89:10 94:13
29:1,4,17 36:10	<b>auditing</b> 12:17	157:12	60:17 63:10,17	107:13 121:3
40:5	<b>August</b> 16:15	<b>banks</b> 147:17	64:5,8 67:4 70:1	122:22 126:6
<b>architect's</b> 29:14	<b>authorities</b> 25:1	152:11 156:17	70:18 72:2,9,16	133:5 136:11
<b>area</b> 47:2,4 78:3	<b>authority</b> 21:4,6,21	157:12 158:3	78:6	139:9 140:5
141:11 168:18	151:20	<b>barrier</b> 128:11	<b>Berry's</b> 96:18	145:20 165:13
176:12	<b>automatically</b>	170:9	<b>best</b> 10:3 23:19	166:2 170:15
<b>areas</b> 5:4,4 6:5 61:8	43:15 92:10	<b>barriers</b> 145:22	46:8 71:5 83:1	171:18 175:5
74:5 78:21 82:11	169:14	<b>base</b> 69:3,4 172:10	85:2 96:4 110:13	<b>bite</b> 145:14
85:5 87:2 96:20	<b>autonomy</b> 48:4	<b>based</b> 16:2 40:18	111:20,21,22	<b>BlackBerry</b> 102:7
104:15 118:11	<b>available</b> 115:3	44:9 82:12 83:1	112:5,19 125:12	102:14,15
171:3 178:19	122:7 133:1	125:15	128:20 132:12	<b>BlackBerrys</b> 80:1
<b>arrived</b> 52:22	<b>avant</b> 9:17	<b>basic</b> 121:12,20	173:7	102:5,8 107:13
<b>art</b> 27:5	<b>Avenue</b> 1:12	<b>basically</b> 14:8 43:7	<b>BET</b> 66:18	<b>blankets</b> 94:18
<b>asked</b> 14:8,20 43:3	<b>average</b> 116:3	44:15 81:19 113:9	<b>better</b> 11:16 24:15	<b>blend</b> 93:11
43:22 66:1 72:17	<b>award</b> 61:14,15,17	<b>basis</b> 70:9 130:19	25:12 32:9 52:6,8	<b>blended</b> 123:16,17
<b>asking</b> 156:8,10	109:3	130:19	64:13 65:8,15	<b>blog</b> 95:22
167:19	<b>a.m</b> 1:12 3:2 80:4,5	<b>batter's</b> 62:7	72:6,9 82:15	<b>blow-up</b> 173:1
<b>asks</b> 92:22	179:21	<b>bear</b> 65:13	102:11 104:20	<b>board</b> 1:4,10,13
<b>aspect</b> 39:11		<b>beginning</b> 112:9	108:5 109:2,6	3:19 5:2 6:1 15:4
<b>aspects</b> 8:14 28:22	<b>B</b>	<b>begins</b> 24:5	111:9 112:15	16:2 21:7 33:6,21
30:17	<b>baby</b> 86:17	<b>behavior</b> 12:11	117:5 156:10	54:10 82:13 100:6
<b>assessing</b> 140:3	<b>back</b> 5:3,8 43:16	13:4,5 19:6 21:16	161:20 164:9	113:10 162:14
<b>assessment</b> 144:1	50:19 51:1,5,19	44:15 54:18	169:5	<b>boarding</b> 57:2
152:9	51:22 70:4 73:18	152:20	<b>beyond</b> 45:4 53:1	<b>Boards</b> 13:8 19:4
<b>asset</b> 30:2 32:5	75:19 77:16 81:5	<b>beings</b> 133:7	54:3 76:3	19:20,21,22 31:10
157:2	84:18 90:15,20	<b>believe</b> 32:12 46:2	<b>biases</b> 73:22	<b>boat</b> 71:21
<b>assets</b> 157:1	92:15,19 93:8	67:12 78:9 98:21	<b>big</b> 48:8,22 88:9,14	<b>body</b> 85:21
<b>assignment</b> 110:22	100:15 116:12	127:17	88:16 95:9 114:13	<b>boil</b> 140:11
<b>assistant</b> 7:16 37:7	118:13 120:8	<b>benchmark</b> 112:11	126:1 130:3 131:6	<b>boiling</b> 85:16
<b>assisting</b> 52:14	122:2,9 137:11	<b>beneficiaries</b> 159:7	140:16,17 141:10	<b>boss</b> 95:14
164:7	142:1 147:22	160:7	145:18 146:6	<b>bottom</b> 10:6 35:20
<b>association</b> 135:16	171:10,18 172:15	<b>benefit</b> 111:5,8	160:1 172:12	54:12 124:8,14,17
135:17 136:14	172:17 173:4	122:6 149:2 157:4	<b>bigger</b> 28:10	125:19 172:3
139:15	175:2,13	158:8 159:19,20	150:16 154:19	<b>bought</b> 22:10 34:12
<b>assume</b> 48:19	<b>background</b> 121:4	179:4	<b>biggest</b> 27:3 34:2	<b>box</b> 33:2 39:6 62:7
<b>assumed</b> 58:9	<b>backgrounds</b> 66:13	<b>benefits</b> 33:5 76:13	124:7 129:13	96:2
<b>assuming</b> 55:10	<b>backs</b> 117:9	141:22 159:7,18	140:14 141:6	<b>bragged</b> 156:4
166:1	<b>back-up</b> 64:17	168:5	176:3	<b>branch</b> 70:15 99:10
<b>assumption</b> 147:1	<b>bad</b> 10:2 12:11	<b>benefitted</b> 48:13	<b>Bill</b> 7:16	<b>break</b> 2:10 3:10

60:22 61:10 80:1 126:10 179:19 <b>briefing</b> 2:13,17 163:1,4 <b>briefings</b> 57:5 <b>briefly</b> 81:20 <b>brightest</b> 46:8 <b>bring</b> 27:15 62:4 116:6 117:12 132:9 169:7 176:9 <b>bringing</b> 10:9 65:13 142:14 <b>brings</b> 142:9 <b>broad</b> 108:21 121:9 <b>broadcast</b> 4:1 <b>broader</b> 106:22 <b>brochure</b> 115:1,3 <b>Brother</b> 48:22 <b>BROWN</b> 1:15 47:15 48:2,9,14 98:11 161:20 177:13 <b>buckets</b> 83:8 <b>budget</b> 8:8 13:18 21:20 25:13 31:12 31:16 35:8,13 40:15 42:6,22 43:6 44:12 45:15 49:9,15 51:11 54:6 101:10 <b>budgeting</b> 45:4 <b>build</b> 3:10 82:18 101:4 118:10 119:12 122:14 135:8 172:9 174:15 <b>building</b> 1:11 63:6 78:8 117:7 131:22 <b>built</b> 42:5,11 116:10 <b>bulk</b> 55:17,18 <b>bully</b> 96:3 <b>bunch</b> 14:9 84:17 87:4 108:12 118:10 <b>burden</b> 151:17 <b>burdensome</b> 37:6	<b>bureau</b> 23:3 <b>bureaucracy</b> 89:1 <b>bureaucratic</b> 169:10 <b>bureaus</b> 38:5 <b>burned</b> 151:11 <b>business</b> 8:15 29:6 29:8 35:18 47:11 59:17 63:5 73:19 105:6 107:9 124:4 124:15 127:7 143:16 172:19 <b>businesses</b> 158:8 158:16 <b>butter</b> 31:17 91:16 <b>buttering</b> 74:19 <b>buyers</b> 88:13 <b>buying</b> 17:8 88:15 112:3 <b>buy-up</b> 67:18 <hr/> <b>C</b> <hr/> <b>C</b> 36:19 <b>cadre</b> 63:20 76:10 <b>calendar</b> 178:10 <b>California</b> 147:6 148:7 <b>call</b> 40:4,9 47:13 68:4 72:4 76:6 83:7 121:20 161:22 162:1,1,1 <b>called</b> 57:11 80:14 99:3 107:4 149:9 149:12 <b>calling</b> 34:4 <b>calls</b> 100:1 102:8 102:17,17,19,20 102:21 <b>camaraderie</b> 101:4 <b>candid</b> 74:14 <b>candidates</b> 40:2,3 40:21 <b>cap</b> 176:14 <b>capability</b> 35:4 <b>capacity</b> 160:21 <b>CapEx</b> 13:16 54:15 <b>capital</b> 15:21 20:2	28:11 40:4 <b>capped</b> 51:2 <b>caps</b> 133:21 <b>capture</b> 86:22 <b>captured</b> 11:14 <b>capturing</b> 84:6 <b>carbon</b> 113:20 <b>cards</b> 34:7,7 <b>care</b> 51:18 70:17 94:7 95:12 105:7 116:14 <b>career</b> 57:12 58:15 70:13,22 <b>Carolina</b> 65:5 <b>carrot</b> 51:10,21 84:13,16 <b>carry</b> 77:7 <b>carrying</b> 102:6 <b>cars</b> 105:11 106:12 <b>cascade</b> 14:18 19:7 <b>cascading</b> 33:5 43:8 <b>case</b> 4:11 34:8 59:17 71:17 75:8 118:10 141:9 159:3,21 <b>cases</b> 15:20 166:16 <b>catching</b> 148:17 <b>categories</b> 43:11,17 85:9 118:5 175:8 <b>cattle</b> 29:13 <b>cause</b> 34:22 35:1 119:12 176:7 <b>causes</b> 121:9 129:10,11,15 <b>caution</b> 46:12 <b>ceding</b> 146:2 <b>ceiling</b> 126:10 <b>cell</b> 102:6 <b>cent</b> 94:2 <b>center</b> 30:19 32:19 34:11 128:8,13 130:11,12,17 131:17,19 134:2 136:2,4,5 139:3 140:8,10 143:10 144:2 145:13	148:3,5,9 153:19 161:8 162:2 168:2 168:7 172:19 <b>centers</b> 137:7 145:3 145:15 147:3,10 153:13 <b>central</b> 31:14 35:13 173:12 <b>centralize</b> 50:12 51:15,15 <b>centralized</b> 32:4 134:18 145:5 164:2,3 173:18 <b>century</b> 78:13,18 79:5 <b>CEO</b> 45:19 62:3,3 <b>certain</b> 14:17 137:21 152:7 166:9 <b>certainly</b> 24:19 38:17 104:8 129:4 <b>cetera</b> 63:22 99:7 <b>CFO</b> 163:13 <b>CFOs</b> 15:20 86:8,8 86:12 <b>chain</b> 82:17 119:10 <b>Chair</b> 1:12,14 3:3 4:7 6:6,10,13,21 7:4,13 13:10 15:13 21:17 22:11 23:3,7,15,18 45:10,14 46:19 48:1,6 50:15,19 51:2 52:16 53:14 54:1 55:2,5,13 56:4,8,11,15 59:2 59:5 63:15 64:2,6 66:15 68:15,21 69:7,11,14,19 70:7 71:22 72:11 72:14 73:10 77:19 79:21 80:6,9,12 80:22 81:4 150:5 151:13 152:10,13 152:21 153:4,21 154:6,11,18 155:15,20 156:2	160:9 161:12,18 162:4,10 169:1,18 169:22 170:5 176:16 177:10,19 178:14 179:16,18 <b>chairs</b> 100:10 <b>challenge</b> 94:10 164:22 167:17 <b>challenges</b> 75:17 95:18 125:16 172:12 <b>chance</b> 119:21 <b>change</b> 35:15 44:22 62:13,14 86:17 <b>changed</b> 147:6 <b>changing</b> 27:5 76:4 108:7,8 <b>chapter</b> 51:14 <b>characterized</b> 46:15 <b>charge</b> 124:22 <b>chart</b> 21:22 175:2,3 175:7 <b>charter</b> 143:17 <b>charts</b> 176:19 <b>chase</b> 166:11 <b>cheaper</b> 24:15 <b>check</b> 150:12 <b>checks</b> 111:6,8 133:14,16,20 <b>chest</b> 92:17 <b>chief</b> 23:11 119:18 <b>child</b> 168:20 <b>choice</b> 75:6 <b>choices</b> 18:1 <b>choose</b> 18:2 <b>choosing</b> 178:19 <b>chose</b> 5:3 <b>chunk</b> 88:10 <b>CIO</b> 21:4,5 22:5,17 23:11 24:4,22 33:20 45:17,18 <b>CIOs</b> 15:20,21 21:17 22:7 23:4,6 86:5,12 108:13 <b>CIO's</b> 38:1 <b>circle</b> 42:19 69:13
---	--	--	---	---

<b>circulating</b> 109:7	<b>column</b> 13:16,16 43:9 54:14,15	178:19	153:8 161:19	<b>continuity</b> 68:1
<b>citizens</b> 94:7	<b>combination</b> 49:8 82:14 124:20	<b>communicating</b> 83:21	<b>concluded</b> 179:21	<b>continuous</b> 11:14 25:8
<b>citizen-facing</b> 43:18	<b>combined</b> 106:20	<b>communication</b> 104:21	<b>condition</b> 76:7	<b>contract</b> 40:6
<b>City</b> 106:17	<b>come</b> 5:18 10:18 32:8 53:18 57:2	<b>communications</b> 9:14 83:22	<b>conduct</b> 143:22	<b>contracting</b> 16:21 39:15 40:14
<b>civil</b> 78:12	62:4 65:19 67:20	<b>community</b> 108:21	<b>conduit</b> 161:1	<b>contraction</b> 48:17
<b>claims</b> 134:5,7	78:1 88:8 99:22	<b>companies</b> 16:5	<b>confident</b> 172:21	<b>contrast</b> 22:11
<b>clarity</b> 125:5	102:10 104:5	<b>company</b> 7:12 8:16 66:19 99:20	<b>congratulate</b> 78:4	<b>Contrasted</b> 22:16
<b>class</b> 77:5	131:18 154:5	101:11 150:11	<b>congratulations</b> 100:14	<b>control</b> 36:4,15 42:21 46:16 47:17 49:5
<b>classic</b> 35:7 38:22 88:21	<b>comes</b> 17:15 34:11 37:2 55:15,16	<b>compelling</b> 91:7,8 113:13	<b>congratulatory</b> 95:20	<b>convening</b> 89:17
<b>classification</b> 78:16	70:4 138:8	<b>compensated</b> 99:12	<b>connect</b> 24:16	<b>conversation</b> 4:1 47:6 73:13 74:16 80:19 82:13 84:13 88:15 97:8,10 99:9
<b>clear</b> 86:14 124:2,8	<b>comfortable</b> 74:12	<b>compensation</b> 98:14	<b>connected</b> 138:12	<b>conversations</b> 16:9 31:7
<b>clearinghouse</b> 132:11	<b>coming</b> 10:7 16:13 44:18 52:4 57:20	<b>compete</b> 107:3	<b>connection</b> 159:4,8	<b>convey</b> 161:10
<b>clearly</b> 142:3,15	62:5 73:1 81:7	<b>competed</b> 130:11 131:10 146:5	<b>consecutive</b> 7:21	<b>conveying</b> 62:1
<b>Clinger-Cohen</b> 24:3	<b>command</b> 42:21 46:15 47:17 49:4	<b>competition</b> 101:4 107:5 130:10,14 130:19	<b>consistency</b> 68:1,8 70:12	<b>convinced</b> 45:20
<b>close</b> 152:3 160:12 177:20 179:12	<b>comment</b> 41:13,14 49:4 53:22 62:9	<b>competitive</b> 97:15	<b>consistently</b> 151:8	<b>COO</b> 45:19
<b>closely</b> 74:8 137:15	77:22 107:11	<b>completely</b> 148:14	<b>consolidate</b> 18:8	<b>cookbook</b> 148:10
<b>closer</b> 4:16	117:20	<b>complex</b> 38:19 121:22	<b>consolidating</b> 33:8	<b>cooperate</b> 104:5
<b>closest</b> 138:21,22	<b>comments</b> 58:21 61:9	<b>complexity</b> 78:19 82:16 105:19,22 106:8 116:13 119:10	<b>consolidation</b> 14:22 15:1 30:19 30:21	<b>cooperative</b> 143:11
<b>cloud</b> 17:13 29:18 30:13 31:2 32:22	<b>Commission</b> 9:14	<b>comply</b> 170:2	<b>constant</b> 11:10 47:21 94:9	<b>copies</b> 173:10
<b>CMS</b> 134:13 137:20	<b>commissioner</b> 119:16	<b>component</b> 15:20 21:2,20 22:7	<b>constituents</b> 24:16 30:6	<b>core</b> 57:5 84:5 86:20
<b>coalitions</b> 63:6	<b>commit</b> 85:13	<b>components</b> 56:21 82:7 85:4 86:21	<b>constrained</b> 53:16	<b>corner</b> 17:15 34:13 77:15
<b>Coast</b> 7:11 147:8	<b>commitment</b> 65:8 66:9 89:3	<b>complicated</b> 133:22 141:14 143:15 145:18	<b>constraints</b> 169:11	<b>corners</b> 14:16 21:6 34:1
<b>COBOL</b> 132:20	<b>committed</b> 104:3	<b>comply</b> 170:2	<b>construct</b> 92:21	<b>corporate</b> 47:22 110:12 125:13 148:10 173:7
<b>code</b> 155:19	<b>Committee</b> 136:11 136:16 139:11,14	<b>component</b> 15:20 21:2,20 22:7	<b>consumerization</b> 54:21	<b>cost</b> 8:2 13:19 16:16 28:12,12,16 28:16 30:22 32:16 48:17 85:19 90:14 90:19 105:21 106:8 107:18 110:1 111:10 118:6
<b>coffee</b> 4:12,13	139:17,19 140:22	<b>components</b> 56:21 82:7 85:4 86:21	<b>contacted</b> 149:20	<b>costs</b> 28:7 32:22 33:2 146:13,14,15
<b>coffers</b> 90:15	143:10,18,20	<b>comptroller</b> 120:13	<b>contacts</b> 86:11	
<b>cognizant</b> 6:11	144:7 160:18,22	<b>computer</b> 26:6,16 26:21 39:5 104:17 171:14	<b>contest</b> 109:11 110:20 116:21	
<b>Cole</b> 22:13	161:3,7	<b>computing</b> 17:13	<b>contests</b> 98:1,2	
<b>collateral</b> 43:1	<b>commodities</b> 103:9 105:3,15	<b>concept</b> 45:22	<b>context</b> 13:17 65:20 121:3 124:20	
<b>colleague</b> 57:8	<b>commodity</b> 15:1 18:8 82:11,16	<b>conception</b> 77:16	<b>continued</b> 178:21 179:4,5	
<b>collect</b> 168:20	85:8 86:20 87:2	<b>concerns</b> 74:10	<b>continues</b> 54:3	
<b>collected</b> 170:15	104:14 118:11		<b>continuing</b> 141:22	
<b>collecting</b> 154:10 165:15 168:19	<b>common</b> 20:10 98:10 124:4 125:3			
<b>collection</b> 29:12 83:15 165:13				
168:18 170:15				
<b>collectively</b> 104:10 108:11				
<b>coloring</b> 113:3				

<b>Council</b> 89:18 179:11	43:8,12 89:1 93:13 110:6	<b>database</b> 164:20 168:15	<b>deficit</b> 84:19	<b>deposits</b> 147:21
<b>counsel</b> 72:15 80:22	133:14,15,16,19	<b>databases</b> 164:11 165:3	<b>define</b> 142:16	<b>depreciate</b> 31:20
<b>count</b> 64:9 71:8	<b>cyber</b> 54:20	<b>date</b> 6:7,9 97:6 178:11	<b>definitely</b> 177:15 178:16	<b>depreciation</b> 12:15 54:12
<b>country</b> 65:14 94:7 131:11 132:17 159:15	<b>cycle</b> 48:17 55:9 64:7	<b>Dave</b> 172:22	<b>defusing</b> 142:14	<b>DepSecs</b> 71:16
<b>couple</b> 3:11 4:3 24:2 33:16 52:18 65:19 73:1 76:9 77:17 167:7 177:21	<b>cycles</b> 25:13 29:20 76:9	<b>Davie</b> 119:17	<b>delegated</b> 37:6	<b>depth</b> 71:7 144:8
<b>course</b> 62:11 130:15 164:2 178:20	<b>C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S</b> 2:1	<b>day</b> 11:15 22:14 63:22 66:7 94:19 95:6 100:19 102:11 123:10 162:16 174:12,14 174:16 179:15	<b>delinquency</b> 121:16,17	<b>deputy</b> 15:10,14,19 55:15 57:9 120:16
<b>cover</b> 88:20 89:4	<b>D</b>	<b>days</b> 119:1	<b>delinquent</b> 121:15 165:16	<b>described</b> 116:15
<b>crawl</b> 45:1	<b>D</b> 1:22 36:19	<b>de</b> 110:15	<b>deliver</b> 12:19 19:10 31:21 42:6	<b>describing</b> 42:14 109:16 168:11
<b>crawl-walk-run</b> 44:21	<b>Dan</b> 1:24 15:14 45:18 57:8 73:10 81:22 89:12 95:3	<b>dead</b> 121:18 167:15 167:18	<b>deliverables</b> 140:20 144:13	<b>description</b> 129:8
<b>create</b> 29:8 83:1 84:21 85:9 92:17 167:11 173:13	<b>DANIEL</b> 1:23	<b>deadline</b> 144:2	<b>delivered</b> 5:19	<b>design</b> 36:4
<b>created</b> 38:20 64:15	<b>Danny</b> 1:25 80:16 120:12 128:17 129:2 130:2 142:19,22 159:17 160:9 162:5,15 164:15	<b>deal</b> 35:6 114:13 148:10 158:5	<b>delivering</b> 20:14 31:2	<b>desire</b> 41:17 65:8 65:15
<b>creates</b> 24:4 35:21 84:22	<b>Danny's</b> 86:6 128:20 134:3 153:10	<b>dealing</b> 83:5 134:2 163:6,7	<b>delivery</b> 22:20,21 28:1 30:4 31:8 32:3,5 35:20 123:14	<b>desk</b> 10:1 34:11 37:2
<b>creating</b> 86:19	<b>Dan's</b> 87:3 103:8	<b>dealt</b> 75:18	<b>demand</b> 64:3 87:3 103:9 111:19	<b>desktop</b> 104:16 112:8
<b>credit</b> 34:7	<b>dark</b> 14:15 21:5 34:1	<b>Death</b> 165:4,22	<b>demonstrated</b> 131:1	<b>detail</b> 73:3,3
<b>criteria</b> 130:22	<b>data</b> 14:9 15:8 25:6 30:7,19 32:18 34:11 83:15,15 97:5 115:14 122:13 123:4,11 123:22 124:3 125:2,13 127:6,8 127:10,12 128:1,8 130:1,2 131:6,6 132:22 133:8 134:3,20 141:4,5 141:10,15,15 149:15 152:11,22 157:19 164:2 169:14,15 170:3 171:8,13 173:10 173:17,18,21 174:1	<b>Deb</b> 107:11	<b>department</b> 14:21 17:5 22:4,6,18 29:7 30:6 37:12 37:21 38:21 94:3 102:12 120:16,18 128:6 130:10 134:2 137:16 155:11,13 156:20 160:21 168:3 179:8	<b>detailing</b> 121:6
<b>critical</b> 57:15 59:14 79:14 84:2 172:6		<b>debarred</b> 121:14	<b>demand</b> 64:3 87:3 103:9 111:19	<b>deter</b> 132:4,4
<b>criticality</b> 71:14		<b>Debra</b> 1:16 55:7,21 58:19 59:2,6,19 64:22	<b>demonstrated</b> 131:1	<b>determine</b> 122:6 128:2 165:9
<b>Cross</b> 49:7 50:9 51:13 94:19		<b>Debra's</b> 59:12 97:21	<b>determined</b> 131:1	<b>determined</b> 47:10
<b>culled</b> 95:4		<b>debt</b> 165:12,16 168:17,20 170:14	<b>department</b> 14:21 17:5 22:4,6,18 29:7 30:6 37:12 37:21 38:21 94:3 102:12 120:16,18 128:6 130:10 134:2 137:16 155:11,13 156:20 160:21 168:3 179:8	<b>determining</b> 125:1
<b>cultural</b> 77:2		<b>decade</b> 9:22	<b>departments</b> 15:3 18:6 25:15 43:8 43:22 50:8	<b>develop</b> 14:21,21 17:16 105:22 136:21 147:11 148:6
<b>culture</b> 10:9 44:22 45:5 54:8 76:3		<b>decades</b> 7:10	<b>departmental</b> 18:17	<b>developed</b> 78:17 144:15
<b>current</b> 26:20		<b>decide</b> 145:14	<b>departments</b> 15:3 18:6 25:15 43:8 43:22 50:8	<b>developing</b> 115:17 171:8
<b>customer</b> 111:10 124:5		<b>decision</b> 19:7 89:2 90:19 131:12 134:5 136:13 139:20	<b>Department's</b> 140:17	<b>development</b> 2:9 17:17 57:12 140:2
<b>customers</b> 30:5		<b>decisions</b> 122:16 136:10	<b>depending</b> 159:10 166:17	<b>develops</b> 148:4
<b>customization</b> 36:14 41:17 42:15 47:8 49:10,12		<b>declining</b> 13:18	<b>deployable</b> 133:3	<b>device</b> 17:9 27:15 108:1
<b>cut</b> 31:15 32:22		<b>deep</b> 73:21	<b>deposit</b> 147:19 150:10	<b>devices</b> 102:10,13



113:21	<b>discussions</b> 127:22 158:9	<b>dovetailed</b> 16:18 43:5	<b>effort</b> 9:1 29:2 72:5 82:7 85:4,15 117:7 127:11 140:15 145:21 171:12 178:20	149:1,4,19 150:1 150:1,22 158:2,21 158:22
<b>different</b> 17:9 20:11 22:8,8 23:22 50:8 59:13 63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>disperse</b> 139:20	<b>do-gooders</b> 113:18	<b>efforts</b> 16:19 120:14 126:3 138:15	<b>employers</b> 148:18 148:21 151:14,17 152:4 156:11 158:2 159:5,9 160:5
23:22 50:8 59:13 63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>displaced</b> 95:12	<b>draconian</b> 75:5	<b>either</b> 103:19 174:19	<b>empower</b> 57:20
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>Distinguished</b> 61:15	<b>drafting</b> 143:15	<b>electronic</b> 115:7 174:11	<b>empowerment</b> 48:3
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>divergence</b> 61:11	<b>draw</b> 20:21 48:20	<b>element</b> 23:13	<b>empty</b> 74:21
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>diversity</b> 66:12	<b>drive</b> 9:2 19:6 21:15 54:18 88:10 91:10 123:13 125:14	<b>elements</b> 18:19 19:2 25:3 82:5 122:5 141:4	<b>enable</b> 127:9
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>Division</b> 7:18	<b>driven</b> 23:14 35:2	<b>eligibility</b> 122:1 125:1	<b>encapsulate</b> 126:18
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>divisions</b> 98:2	<b>driver</b> 23:2	<b>eliminating</b> 111:8	<b>encourage</b> 25:13 85:2
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>DNA</b> 76:3	<b>drivers</b> 29:12	<b>email</b> 17:10 33:2 61:4 100:10	<b>encouraged</b> 9:13
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>doctor</b> 138:12	<b>driving</b> 63:7 84:6 87:16 122:21	<b>emails</b> 95:20	<b>encouraging</b> 38:4
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>doctors</b> 138:2	<b>drop</b> 91:11	<b>embarking</b> 30:10	<b>endeavors</b> 84:3
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>DoD</b> 88:15 89:8	<b>drumbeat</b> 11:10	<b>embedded</b> 42:22 58:7 68:19,21	<b>ended</b> 64:9
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>dog</b> 88:16	<b>ducks</b> 27:22	<b>embracing</b> 17:13 32:9 124:19	<b>endless</b> 38:9
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>doing</b> 16:17 17:7 18:13 19:2 22:3 25:9 26:5 35:14 37:12 38:8,11,17 41:1 42:16 43:21 48:16 51:12 56:17 59:15 61:10 73:16 73:19 95:5 104:9 107:17,18 112:20 113:9 116:17 125:17 126:11,11 128:18,20 134:13 137:17,22 145:7,8 167:4 169:18 171:1,1 178:16	<b>Dunce</b> 176:14	<b>emergence</b> 17:11	<b>energy</b> 15:14 62:2 62:20 69:2 117:7
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>dollar</b> 48:6 82:15 177:4	<b>duty</b> 95:11	<b>emergency</b> 38:2 64:15	<b>engage</b> 34:1,16 144:5
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>DOL</b> 143:12,13	<b>D.C</b> 1:12 100:7	<b>emerging</b> 40:3	<b>engaged</b> 60:12
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>dole</b> 93:7	<hr/> <b>E</b> <hr/>	<b>emphasis</b> 171:6 172:7	<b>engagement</b> 178:21 179:5
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>dollars</b> 50:2,5 84:14 90:2,11,12 90:15 91:22 94:13 99:4 113:19 114:8	<b>E</b> 36:19	<b>employ</b> 34:16	<b>engineer</b> 161:6
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>domains</b> 29:8	<b>earlier</b> 18:21 25:11 27:2 45:16 51:22 109:12 137:3	<b>employed</b> 149:13 154:4 155:7,16 156:3	<b>Enrique</b> 1:17 36:7 110:11 117:19 156:2 168:14
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>donation</b> 100:12	<b>early</b> 5:18 6:7 25:17 26:3 79:19 87:11 161:6 170:13 176:22 177:7 178:8	<b>employee</b> 10:11 33:3 72:19 150:9 151:4	<b>Enrique's</b> 41:19 155:16
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>donors</b> 50:5 90:21	<b>easier</b> 77:6 158:4	<b>employees</b> 11:19 24:18 49:6,7,8 61:19 110:9	<b>ensure</b> 42:1 137:17
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>door</b> 4:5 57:3,20 165:10,22 166:10 166:12 170:17	<b>easily</b> 105:4 147:7 174:12	<b>employer</b> 148:15	<b>ensures</b> 42:17
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>double</b> 110:8	<b>easy</b> 125:5		<b>enterprise</b> 8:14 16:19 20:10 26:5 27:17 29:7 33:9 33:22 40:5 41:9
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>double-digit</b> 7:22	<b>eBay</b> 163:2,7		<b>enterprise-wide</b> 19:22
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13	<b>doubt</b> 42:21 113:15	<b>Edge</b> 57:11		<b>entertainment</b> 66:19
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13		<b>effect</b> 60:20		<b>entire</b> 15:9 104:2 104:10 105:15 123:10
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13		<b>effective</b> 58:1 64:21 107:19 127:19 147:12		<b>environment</b> 17:17
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13		<b>effectively</b> 42:3 125:17		
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13		<b>efficiencies</b> 35:14		
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13		<b>efficiency</b> 10:9		
63:9 70:21 90:5,9 97:14 118:5 122:19 123:3,20 126:19 133:18,19 133:21 137:21 141:7 148:21 153:11,13,16 156:16 159:9,10 166:2 167:13		<b>efficient</b> 51:5 141:5		

17:19	<b>example</b> 22:4 28:20	<b>experiences</b> 65:11	82:7 119:16	<b>fine</b> 4:19 16:12
<b>equal</b> 79:1,1	33:7 36:17 39:1	111:22	120:13 124:20	100:3 115:10
<b>equipment</b> 29:22	100:6 102:4	<b>expert</b> 153:16	125:6 133:15,17	178:3
<b>equivalent</b> 94:22	107:20,21 109:11	<b>expertise</b> 74:5	164:5 166:21	<b>fingers</b> 131:9
94:22 104:17	110:22 115:1	147:11 148:4,6	167:5 168:2 169:4	<b>Finland</b> 115:2
<b>era</b> 115:8	123:2 128:10	<b>explore</b> 105:15	169:17,19,20	<b>firms</b> 73:1
<b>erroneous</b> 166:10	142:1 147:13	111:20 168:7	170:4	<b>first</b> 5:7 9:15 14:9
<b>error</b> 123:12	156:21 157:2	<b>exploring</b> 104:11	<b>FedRooms</b> 107:5	21:13 25:2 29:18
125:14 137:4	164:22 169:12	<b>extent</b> 9:18 42:3,10	<b>feedback</b> 33:18	37:1 39:22 43:4
<b>errors</b> 121:9,12,20	170:13 172:16	147:10	72:19 77:11 81:15	52:17 57:21 63:19
126:18,22	<b>examples</b> 33:13	<b>extraordinarily</b>	82:12 83:4 125:15	64:4 74:12 82:6
<b>especially</b> 16:4	47:20 48:10,11	37:22	<b>feeds</b> 138:16	85:7 86:2,12,19
77:4 95:5	75:15 93:12,18	<b>extreme</b> 17:5,11	<b>feel</b> 25:1 65:7 88:22	94:10 98:22
<b>essay</b> 70:5	<b>exceed</b> 26:2	18:5,13 164:21	95:9 126:21	118:19 127:3
<b>essential</b> 10:12	<b>exceeding</b> 96:15	<b>extremely</b> 58:4	<b>feeling</b> 35:2 49:6	131:1 140:14
19:1,14 20:8	<b>excellence</b> 74:2	<b>extremes</b> 23:22	<b>feels</b> 119:2	160:2 162:13
<b>essentially</b> 127:1	130:12 131:19	<b>eyes</b> 94:12 103:14	<b>fell</b> 83:8	173:9 176:4
136:5	145:3 153:19		<b>fiefdoms</b> 17:5 34:8	178:13,15
<b>establish</b> 20:16	162:2	<b>F</b>	<b>field</b> 34:13 105:15	<b>fiscal</b> 54:20
42:12 140:19	<b>excellent</b> 6:12	<b>face</b> 15:10,10,18,18	<b>Fifty-three</b> 133:18	<b>five</b> 29:21 53:6
142:8 168:1 170:2	148:20	75:14 98:8	<b>fighter</b> 88:4	61:13 75:2 80:19
<b>establishment</b> 19:3	<b>excited</b> 57:19 65:12	<b>faced</b> 75:18	<b>figure</b> 21:15 31:16	89:16 98:2,2
<b>estimated</b> 117:22	81:14 94:15	<b>facilitate</b> 141:5	79:16 115:9,20	100:15,15 104:14
<b>et</b> 63:22 99:6	<b>excitement</b> 100:16	<b>facilitated</b> 75:3,22	137:9 147:17	106:10 118:16
<b>evaluate</b> 128:1	<b>excluded</b> 165:3	<b>fact</b> 37:16 178:9	149:18 156:22	<b>five-hour</b> 58:18
<b>evaluating</b> 74:17	<b>execs</b> 61:13	<b>fair</b> 52:18	157:9 173:3	<b>five-minute</b> 179:19
<b>evaluation</b> 20:9	<b>executed</b> 42:2,18	<b>fairly</b> 143:15	<b>figured</b> 167:22	<b>flag</b> 174:17
<b>evaluations</b> 74:21	143:13	<b>fall</b> 75:6	176:5	<b>flat</b> 13:18
96:19	<b>executive</b> 1:1,11	<b>familiar</b> 5:12 37:9	<b>figuring</b> 172:12	<b>flexibility</b> 79:2
<b>eventually</b> 55:17	2:8 58:8 104:7	<b>family</b> 102:20	<b>file</b> 152:7 165:4,22	<b>flip</b> 48:9,10
151:3	<b>executives</b> 56:21	122:4	<b>fill</b> 64:3 153:22	<b>Flipping</b> 81:18
<b>everybody</b> 15:13	57:13 58:22 63:12	<b>fantastic</b> 56:7	154:1 155:5	<b>Florida</b> 148:5
17:6,7 50:11	63:18 65:3 68:6	68:15 77:22	<b>filled</b> 98:7	<b>focus</b> 5:4 6:4 14:15
67:20 109:10	101:17 102:6	123:10	<b>filters</b> 105:9	14:22 18:17 40:8
142:14 173:13	<b>exemptions</b> 38:2	<b>far</b> 12:4 17:5 18:12	<b>final</b> 16:16 63:6	57:18 61:8 62:19
<b>everybody's</b> 90:9	<b>exercise</b> 43:2	34:13 66:20 74:7	64:9	62:21 72:3 95:7
<b>everyone's</b> 66:9	143:15	75:7 77:22 145:21	<b>finalize</b> 143:8	101:12 133:5,8
101:7	<b>exhaustive</b> 9:6	157:11	<b>financial</b> 10:19,19	140:13 145:16
<b>exact</b> 71:20 92:12	<b>existing</b> 35:3 116:6	<b>fast</b> 54:19	29:9 35:19 40:16	178:19,19
104:19 124:13	134:20	<b>faster</b> 24:15	42:4 49:20 125:7	<b>focused</b> 47:11 69:8
<b>exactly</b> 36:5 37:17	<b>exists</b> 34:21	<b>favor</b> 91:15	147:16 148:15	82:7 85:15 87:22
41:19 48:12 50:18	<b>expensive</b> 49:12	<b>FCC</b> 7:6 11:1	153:20 156:22	88:11,13 126:3
50:22 72:13 90:4	<b>experience</b> 7:6,7,19	<b>feared</b> 77:4	<b>find</b> 5:11 34:10	173:15
100:4 109:17	16:3 41:16 47:2	<b>fed</b> 139:2	91:9 92:13 105:7	<b>focuses</b> 39:6
119:10 144:9	61:12 65:13 79:12	<b>federal</b> 9:14 12:12	138:10	<b>focusing</b> 57:11 72:5
149:10 151:6	132:1 159:11	30:15 44:12 58:7	<b>finding</b> 64:14 72:6	85:17 88:20
156:6 178:1	<b>experienced</b> 47:18	61:19 68:4 78:19	72:9 111:12	129:20 134:16

<b>folks</b> 7:5 52:4 85:12 95:7 108:12,19 114:7 120:4,9,11 125:1 127:4 141:20 142:10 159:12,14 179:1	<b>free</b> 127:17 <b>freed</b> 111:3 <b>freelancing</b> 42:15 <b>freely</b> 127:8 <b>FRIDAY</b> 1:8 <b>friend</b> 9:12 <b>friends</b> 4:19 <b>froze</b> 12:20 <b>fruit</b> 15:3 52:20 <b>fulfil</b> 51:17 <b>full</b> 28:4 82:13 <b>fully</b> 56:20 <b>fun</b> 67:3 99:5 <b>function</b> 38:20 55:1 84:5 <b>functional</b> 85:22 118:6 <b>fund</b> 159:6,6,19 <b>funded</b> 93:12,19 <b>funding</b> 8:13 <b>fundraising</b> 100:8 <b>funds</b> 92:13 <b>further</b> 166:16 <b>future</b> 25:4,19 <b>FY</b> 104:15	24:7 25:16 35:3 36:8 43:14 44:7 52:19 64:12 77:1 100:21 105:5 108:1,5 118:22 125:15 136:16 142:3,7 152:4 167:12 <b>give</b> 7:7 13:16 17:22 38:5 43:15 44:1 54:13,13,14 80:13 87:18 90:20 92:19 97:4 100:5 112:21 124:12 147:12 148:9 152:5,8 156:9,11 159:18 172:22 <b>given</b> 9:17 57:3 89:10 123:1 125:21 <b>gives</b> 23:10,11 44:4 164:21 <b>give-take</b> 32:1 <b>giving</b> 155:22 177:3 <b>glad</b> 43:3 66:14 103:19 <b>glass</b> 126:10 <b>glaze</b> 94:12 103:14 <b>glib</b> 145:17 <b>glimmers</b> 14:6 18:5 <b>go</b> 6:15 10:8 11:21 28:8,10 35:9 36:1 37:8 41:20 42:8 46:21 49:10 53:1 53:17 59:2 63:9 70:4 73:17 81:14 81:19 82:19 89:9 100:1 105:16 106:11 110:18 120:3,7,20 131:5 134:11 141:12 142:1 144:22 148:9 152:10 154:3 163:20 165:21 166:10 170:19 175:1,9	178:5 179:14 <b>goal</b> 18:16 64:3 68:12 117:11 141:14 176:13 <b>goals</b> 2:4 42:4,13 42:17 86:15 96:7 96:13 111:2 <b>God</b> 99:10 <b>goes</b> 29:17 41:22 48:3 55:17 62:9 74:18 93:8 109:20 163:16 <b>going</b> 3:20,22 5:14 6:17 8:3,19,20,21 10:2 11:1,22 13:20,21 14:13 25:6,7,7,9,11,18 25:20 26:1,22 32:8,11,18 33:4 33:10,14 35:9 40:21 41:14,20 43:13,14,15 46:3 46:5,8,10 49:4 53:16,18,20 58:10 60:22 62:21,22 67:11 69:20,21 70:2 72:22 73:14 73:17 75:9 76:8 76:22 77:9 78:7 78:11 79:13,14 80:13,19 84:17 86:6 87:4 88:3 89:10 92:19 93:18 99:19 102:18,21 103:7,8 107:1,15 108:14 109:5 113:21 114:5 117:8 118:13,17 126:16,20 127:13 127:14,14 128:7 128:12 129:12 131:5,13,16,21,22 132:2,6,9,11,13 132:15 133:2,4,8 136:4,4,8,19,20 137:6 139:10 140:5,8,10,11,13	140:15,16,19,20 141:1,2,11,13 143:6,7,18,22 144:9,12,14 145:16,19 146:3 146:17,18 150:11 150:21 151:5,6,7 151:15 153:6 154:13 155:6 160:17,17,20 165:10 167:14,15 167:16 168:14 171:1,10,15 172:11 173:1,17 177:2,2,3 178:4 <b>gold</b> 100:20 <b>good</b> 13:5 15:16 17:21 18:3 50:1,4 51:4 56:11,18 60:9,16 64:11 66:4,10 80:10 91:10 92:8 94:5 97:10 99:12 110:6 110:22 120:1 128:21 133:5 137:12 139:5 158:20 <b>goodness</b> 93:17 <b>gotten</b> 55:9 67:6 81:11 <b>Gould</b> 57:9 <b>govern</b> 143:18 <b>governance</b> 139:9 <b>governed</b> 86:20 <b>government</b> 8:1,17 9:9 10:4,18 12:3 17:3 18:6,14 21:3 24:4 27:14 29:1 31:11 38:15 39:4 44:6,17 46:1 48:8 53:5 54:17 55:1 61:17 65:14 66:4 66:21 67:8 68:4 68:13 70:13,15,22 78:19 83:9 86:4 87:8 88:2 94:6 104:8 105:11
	<b>G</b>			
<b>for-profit</b> 49:7 <b>foster</b> 101:4 <b>fostered</b> 97:10 <b>found</b> 9:12,16,19 10:22 73:16 74:9 76:22 117:13 <b>foundation</b> 69:16 127:9 <b>four</b> 22:12,16 53:19 75:2 129:11,15 <b>frame</b> 68:14 <b>framework</b> 78:8 84:21 86:19 <b>Frankly</b> 103:21 <b>fraud</b> 33:9 123:12 132:4,5 133:9 134:1,14,17 138:8 141:19,21 <b>fraudulent</b> 170:16	<b>G</b> 1:22 <b>Gail</b> 1:17 84:12 144:21 <b>gains</b> 32:7,14 <b>gala</b> 100:7 <b>game-changing</b> 126:9,14 130:1 <b>GAO</b> 167:13 <b>garde</b> 9:17 <b>gate</b> 39:22 <b>Gates</b> 7:16 <b>gather</b> 14:9 25:6 139:1 <b>gathering</b> 15:8 <b>gear</b> 46:21 <b>gears</b> 77:20 <b>generally</b> 159:19 <b>generation</b> 169:2 <b>geographic</b> 147:3 <b>getting</b> 6:13 19:14			

113:14 125:6 126:19 129:3 135:18 154:22 155:1 156:15 164:5 165:5 166:21 <b>governments</b> 126:13 <b>government's</b> 25:8 <b>government-wide</b> 3:6 85:10 126:5 <b>governs</b> 78:18 <b>grabbing</b> 109:7 <b>grand</b> 27:13 <b>grant</b> 20:20 <b>grant-making</b> 149:8 <b>graphics</b> 59:6 <b>grasp</b> 131:3,13 <b>grass</b> 113:4 <b>grateful</b> 58:4 59:22 62:6 73:8 <b>gratification</b> 100:2 <b>gratitude</b> 38:10 <b>grazing</b> 29:13 <b>great</b> 7:9,19,19 17:16 22:3,4 32:6 45:2 54:4 55:14 56:2,3,10,12,17 58:12 67:4,4 68:17 69:17,19 78:4,8,19 80:21 81:11 86:7 89:7 95:2,13 96:3 97:22 98:16 107:20 108:10 114:10,14 120:6 128:16 139:6 153:18 179:16 <b>greater</b> 8:7 68:8 124:21 <b>greatly</b> 26:1 179:4 <b>green</b> 36:22 37:18 <b>Greg</b> 1:15 75:14 <b>Gregg</b> 1:21 120:17 120:19 162:9,13 167:2 168:16	174:10 <b>gross</b> 122:3 <b>ground</b> 136:6,22 <b>group</b> 53:7 60:2,13 60:16 63:13 66:12 66:12 68:2 72:15 89:18 100:9 103:19,22 108:11 113:4,13 118:6,14 122:20 126:2 136:12 156:13 175:4 <b>grow</b> 101:20 <b>growing</b> 12:13,18 <b>growth</b> 7:22 <b>GSA</b> 82:1 85:18 108:16 116:2 118:16 <b>guardrail</b> 23:8 <b>guess</b> 46:13 75:14 76:14 <b>guidance</b> 27:15 30:11 43:6 179:7 179:9 <b>guiding</b> 123:17 <b>guinea</b> 136:7,22 <b>guy</b> 22:5 <b>guys</b> 23:7 38:10 44:7 56:14 78:4 83:3 90:18 107:1 120:1 176:20 <hr/> <b>H</b> <hr/> <b>half</b> 94:2 <b>hall</b> 172:22 <b>hallway</b> 4:6 <b>hand</b> 89:14 106:11 106:12 109:4 <b>handed</b> 127:3 <b>handful</b> 113:16 <b>handing</b> 3:13 <b>hands</b> 11:18 120:3 <b>hanging</b> 52:20 <b>happen</b> 26:3 28:7 128:12 155:6 170:10 <b>happened</b> 53:4,8	61:7 <b>happening</b> 8:16 26:10 167:6 177:21 <b>happens</b> 78:10 150:8 151:8 157:7 157:11 <b>Happy</b> 128:17 <b>hard</b> 13:1 21:15 45:14 46:11 53:1 53:6 56:1,5,9 57:22 67:12 71:1 72:1 77:17 114:17 172:1 173:11 <b>hard-earned</b> 50:5 <b>Harris</b> 1:22 120:15 128:16 130:5,9,21 134:11,15 135:4,7 136:3 137:10,19 138:17 139:7 144:21 145:9,12 146:4,10 147:4 148:20 149:6,22 151:19 152:12,16 153:2,5 154:9,13 155:3,17 157:22 158:11,15 159:1 160:16 161:14 170:11 175:16 176:6 <b>hate</b> 51:1 169:9,9 <b>head</b> 24:5 77:5 84:3 92:19 <b>headquarters</b> 14:16 <b>Health</b> 168:3 <b>hear</b> 48:4 109:6 162:10 <b>heard</b> 57:8 83:2 97:7 98:12 179:1 <b>hearing</b> 67:14 153:7 <b>heating</b> 105:10 <b>heels</b> 13:22 <b>held</b> 142:16 <b>hell</b> 69:3 <b>help</b> 19:8,10 24:17	35:5 49:2 61:7 72:17 78:9 81:9 87:18 122:15 125:2 127:18 135:9 137:6 139:17,19,20 146:8 162:14 164:8 165:8 166:14 168:7 <b>helped</b> 19:10 57:10 73:6 <b>helpful</b> 73:8 75:21 123:5 135:9 148:1 172:14 <b>helping</b> 41:10 52:14 65:13 82:2 119:20 124:16 148:19 158:21 <b>hesitant</b> 84:22 <b>hey</b> 6:21 46:12 94:10 117:13 119:9 147:18 160:8 <b>HHS</b> 117:2 134:14 <b>Hi</b> 120:19 <b>high</b> 60:21 137:4 159:2 <b>higher</b> 82:15 159:13 <b>highlight</b> 58:20 95:21 <b>highlighting</b> 84:8 <b>highly</b> 17:6 99:12 <b>high-priority</b> 111:2 <b>hire</b> 164:19 <b>hired</b> 159:17 <b>Hires</b> 150:4 <b>historically</b> 156:15 <b>history</b> 121:6 <b>hit</b> 57:21 61:8 64:9 166:1 <b>hitting</b> 63:2 171:22 172:1 <b>hoard</b> 110:4 <b>holders</b> 86:9 <b>holding</b> 68:8 <b>holds</b> 142:22	<b>holistically</b> 35:12 <b>homage</b> 38:9 <b>home</b> 59:18 66:7 <b>homeland</b> 90:7 108:20 <b>homogenization</b> 74:20 <b>honest</b> 47:13 <b>hook</b> 83:13 <b>hope</b> 28:7 70:1 <b>hopefully</b> 55:16 137:7 <b>hoping</b> 87:12 140:6 143:6,21 144:17 146:16,18 147:9 160:19 <b>horizontal</b> 41:10 <b>horse</b> 98:19 99:1 <b>horses</b> 98:20 <b>host</b> 17:20 127:18 <b>hosting</b> 30:8 <b>hotel</b> 106:12,21 107:3 <b>hour</b> 150:5 <b>hours</b> 3:11 61:13 <b>House</b> 12:8 31:15 84:12 <b>household</b> 122:3 <b>housekeeping</b> 4:3 <b>HR</b> 51:16 58:3 <b>HUD</b> 169:12,18,20 <b>huge</b> 84:16 87:9 89:3 98:12 99:16 99:19 111:10 155:1 <b>hugely</b> 176:19 <b>hum</b> 127:8 <b>human</b> 15:21 20:2 23:12 29:10 133:7 152:19 168:3 <b>humming</b> 69:15 <b>hundred</b> 99:11 <b>hybridization</b> 39:12 79:3 <hr/> <b>I</b> <hr/> <b>ICE</b> 162:1,1,2,2
--	--	---	--	---

<b>idea</b> 91:11,18 98:16 111:19 115:20 134:1 135:10 153:13	<b>impressive</b> 66:13 <b>imprisoned</b> 121:17 <b>improper</b> 2:17 3:8 5:5 80:12 86:7 121:2,7 123:7 128:19 129:10 131:10 145:4 146:7 158:7 163:22 175:4,8 176:10 177:11 179:2	<b>indispensable</b> 76:5 <b>individual</b> 92:5 147:19,20 157:9 159:3,5 160:5 <b>individuals</b> 157:1 <b>industries</b> 88:12 <b>industry</b> 66:19 112:7,15 <b>inefficient</b> 135:1 <b>ineligible</b> 121:13 <b>inertia</b> 75:11 82:19 119:12 <b>inflection</b> 24:7,22 31:9 32:4 <b>inform</b> 124:16 <b>information</b> 6:18 14:18 24:6 30:7 82:21 112:6 122:11,17 139:1,4 147:18 148:1 149:16 152:5 154:7,17 155:1 156:9,11,18 161:11 165:5,8 172:13,18,20 <b>informative</b> 59:14 81:14 <b>informed</b> 73:15 122:15 <b>infrastructure</b> 20:3 <b>ingredient</b> 19:17 <b>initial</b> 38:14 73:4 75:10 87:1 176:18 <b>initially</b> 174:20 <b>initiating</b> 127:11 <b>initiative</b> 2:5 30:19 90:20 92:3 116:1 132:10 133:11 <b>initiatives</b> 2:6,8 3:6 3:7 6:4,19 85:20 132:8 178:17 179:3 <b>innocent</b> 75:16 <b>innovate</b> 13:14 <b>innovation</b> 126:19 <b>input</b> 53:4 73:4 79:19 87:18	125:21 127:22 172:6 <b>inside</b> 14:13 19:13 178:7 <b>insights</b> 40:19 59:14 <b>insignificant</b> 47:19 <b>inspire</b> 25:14 <b>inspiring</b> 59:12 <b>instances</b> 75:10 <b>instill</b> 45:5 <b>Institute</b> 58:8 61:21 <b>institutional</b> 25:3 73:22 74:10 <b>institutionalize</b> 21:10 54:11 <b>institutionalized</b> 14:1 <b>institutionalizes</b> 19:13 <b>institutionalizing</b> 19:5 <b>institutions</b> 147:17 156:22 158:4 <b>insular</b> 8:19 <b>insurance</b> 126:4 158:8 <b>integrated</b> 124:3 134:4 172:7 <b>integrating</b> 138:19 <b>integration</b> 76:1 124:21 <b>integrity</b> 128:12 130:12,16 131:17 131:17,19 133:9 139:3 142:8 161:21 162:1 <b>intensive</b> 58:18 <b>interactions</b> 122:20 <b>interested</b> 66:18 148:7,12 167:9 <b>interesting</b> 10:13 22:1 26:15 79:13 93:14 <b>Interestingly</b> 129:14 131:8	<b>interfaces</b> 173:17 173:19 174:5,5,7 <b>Interior</b> 22:4,18 24:12 29:7 30:7 38:21 48:14 79:3 <b>internal</b> 83:17 87:7 114:7 <b>Internet</b> 9:18 <b>interplay</b> 39:15 <b>interrupt</b> 134:10 <b>intersect</b> 96:18 <b>interstitial</b> 81:3 <b>interviewing</b> 76:17 <b>introduce</b> 81:21 <b>Introduction</b> 2:2 <b>inured</b> 76:19 <b>invent</b> 110:19 <b>invest</b> 13:2 20:22 21:1 84:14 91:18 130:1 163:17 <b>investment</b> 8:22 13:8 14:14 16:1 19:4,20,21,22 20:17,17,18 21:7 23:1 31:10 33:21 54:10 91:13 93:6 163:17 176:17,18 177:1 <b>investments</b> 20:11 92:5 171:11 <b>invited</b> 38:11 <b>involved</b> 124:9 132:13 134:16 139:22 140:1,2,4 140:7 142:3 143:7 144:17 146:19 148:18,22 158:3 <b>involvement</b> 179:5 <b>iPhones</b> 102:10 <b>IRBs</b> 20:8 24:22 <b>island</b> 124:1 <b>issue</b> 35:7,22 37:3 49:18 51:17 106:22 109:22 110:3 125:18 127:16 132:16 141:11 150:12
---	--	--	--	---

151:10 154:19 168:15 <b>issued</b> 21:14 <b>issues</b> 78:18 170:3 <b>items</b> 91:8 <b>it'll</b> 4:19 55:13 68:17	<b>July</b> 15:9 <b>jump</b> 73:11 170:20 <b>jumped</b> 100:12 <b>juncture</b> 57:16	136:1 139:5 178:12 <b>kinds</b> 75:12 <b>knew</b> 115:19,19 <b>know</b> 7:5 8:7,8,10 8:16,18,20 9:4 10:10,17 11:21 12:17 13:13,22 14:12,14,16 16:8 17:9 20:1,2 21:9 25:5 26:4,14,15 26:16 28:19,21 29:13 30:18 31:3 31:14,19 33:17,19 33:22 34:2,6,8,10 36:17 37:14 38:13 38:14 39:9 40:10 40:10,13,14,15,16 40:20 44:2,7,16 45:3,6 47:13 48:19 50:1,6 52:4 52:5,13,17 53:15 54:6,9,13,16,20 55:14 56:16 57:2 57:18 58:10,14,15 59:9 60:7,17,18 61:6,12,14,18 62:1,1,6,10,13,13 62:14,22 63:21 64:12 65:4,7,10 65:12,17,22 66:2 66:6,8,9,13,17,18 67:1,2,22,22 68:2 68:2,4,7,11,13 70:2,3,6,19,20 71:1,7,10,12,14 71:16,19 73:18 75:7,19 78:17,17 78:18,21,22 79:4 79:7,11 85:1,14 86:1,16 87:17 88:3,21 89:9,22 90:6,9,10,15 93:7 93:11 94:1,3,4,18 95:8,10,11,17,19 95:20 96:1,3,12 96:15,16 98:4,6,7	98:9,13 99:3,4,5,6 99:17,21 100:13 100:16 101:17,18 101:21 102:4,20 105:1,4,10 107:12 107:14,21 108:16 109:3,5,10,13,21 110:2,4 112:13 113:5,17,20 114:1 114:6,11,11,16,18 114:19 115:1,18 115:19 116:2,11 117:1,16 118:21 119:1 122:8 125:10,10 126:1,9 129:18 130:21 137:2,13,14 138:3 138:5 145:10,22 146:5,6 150:18 153:5 154:12 156:5 162:19,20 163:14,20 165:3 166:1,11,19 167:17 171:5 172:15 174:12,13 175:1,9,13 <b>knowing</b> 112:7 148:7 <b>knowledge</b> 70:4 135:20 136:5,17 136:21 144:10,12 144:13 146:13 161:2 <b>knows</b> 39:15,16,16 122:9 148:16 149:18,19 155:7 168:3	<b>lame</b> 99:6 <b>landscape</b> 39:17 <b>large</b> 7:11 <b>largest</b> 67:8 173:5 <b>lastly</b> 82:20 83:20 86:14 87:6 <b>last-minute</b> 64:13 <b>Laughter</b> 22:15 59:4,7 130:8 <b>launch</b> 114:19,20 <b>launched</b> 9:22 14:2 14:2 27:13 58:16 76:8 115:2 <b>launching</b> 27:13 126:16 <b>law</b> 52:5 152:6,9,22 154:12 165:14 <b>laws</b> 164:16 <b>lawsuits</b> 152:15 <b>lay</b> 159:12,13 <b>layers</b> 172:17 <b>laying</b> 52:5 <b>lays</b> 85:7 <b>lead</b> 85:19 130:16 139:12 <b>leader</b> 108:17 137:6 <b>leaders</b> 62:18 74:6 85:11 86:13 108:20 <b>leadership</b> 7:17 15:19 20:5 22:9 24:12,13 30:12 44:5 62:3 66:8 74:13 89:18 103:21 104:7 112:19 117:13 118:7,14,21 131:2 161:8 179:10 <b>leading</b> 57:11 58:17 62:13 120:13 <b>leakage</b> 85:1 <b>lean</b> 71:8 <b>learn</b> 79:11 125:12 150:19 153:12 158:6
<b>J</b>	<b>K</b>		<b>L</b>	
<b>janitorial</b> 104:22 115:21 <b>Jeff</b> 1:12,14,15 14:2 21:11 45:15 46:14 55:2 68:16 73:16 79:15 81:6 84:12 95:19 97:18 103:22 119:8 <b>Jeff's</b> 51:18 86:14 89:10 <b>job</b> 7:17 9:11,11 19:14 46:9 56:11 56:13 76:18 95:10 120:1 158:20 164:9 <b>jobs</b> 112:18 <b>Joe</b> 80:13,18 103:12 104:1,10 179:10 <b>John</b> 1:21 56:15,16 59:6 60:3 66:4 72:14 73:16 74:8 77:16 79:22 96:18 <b>John's</b> 70:8 <b>join</b> 9:13,13 <b>joined</b> 6:17 7:15 120:11,15,17 <b>jointly</b> 90:10 <b>JORDAN</b> 1:22 80:8,11,21 81:2,6 92:4,9 94:8 95:1 96:8,11,17 101:2 102:1 103:1,6,16 108:9 114:5 117:16 118:1,4 119:4,7 <b>JOSEPH</b> 1:22 <b>judgments</b> 123:3	<b>keep</b> 13:19,21 53:10 58:6,10 80:17 118:17 <b>keeping</b> 8:2,2 <b>key</b> 18:19 54:8 78:13 82:7 85:4 85:11 128:5 164:3 164:7 167:21 171:10 172:6 176:2 <b>keynote</b> 58:20 <b>keys</b> 57:4 163:12 <b>KGR</b> 12:14 <b>kicking</b> 73:2 <b>kind</b> 4:22 10:8 11:4 13:20 15:4 16:12 17:4 18:7,9 22:10 23:10 25:18 26:20 27:9 31:9 34:1,16 34:18 37:8 41:21 42:14 43:19 45:3 53:21 62:8 76:10 81:15 82:4,14,16 86:18 87:17,18 88:21 89:20 90:1 100:7,12 105:14 105:18 109:2 118:10,19 125:19 126:10,19 171:2 171:18,20,21 173:3 178:5 <b>KINDLER</b> 1:15 23:10 36:1 41:12 45:9,13 46:17 47:5 53:12,15 54:2 55:12 56:2,7 56:10,12 58:11 65:20 68:19 69:9 69:12,17 77:21 120:1 130:18 134:9,12 135:3,6		<b>labor</b> 41:1 120:17 130:9 134:2 137:15,22 140:17 155:11,13 160:21 164:8 179:7 <b>lack</b> 48:2 <b>lag</b> 149:15 <b>laid</b> 20:4	

<b>learned</b> 16:3 20:12 25:5 122:12 128:9 162:22	<b>lighting</b> 36:22 <b>liked</b> 92:11 102:7 <b>limitations</b> 98:13 107:2,6 <b>limited</b> 85:1 101:19 <b>line</b> 8:2,3,11 13:19 20:22 35:20 105:6 124:8,14,17 151:11 172:3,19 <b>lines</b> 124:4 141:1 <b>lining</b> 27:21 <b>link</b> 173:14 <b>linkage</b> 48:20 167:4 <b>linked</b> 170:11,19 <b>Lisa</b> 55:15 <b>list</b> 11:5 44:2 54:13 105:18 167:11 <b>listed</b> 11:7 164:11 <b>literally</b> 37:3 50:11 98:19 <b>little</b> 4:16,18 12:22 34:8 42:20 45:11 46:15 53:8 55:10 82:21 87:20 89:10 94:13 102:2 121:3 122:22 131:20 136:11 139:9 140:5 145:20 153:22 165:13 166:2 171:18 175:4 <b>live</b> 133:7 <b>Liz</b> 1:18 36:1 41:14 157:22 <b>loaded</b> 131:20 <b>location</b> 145:5 <b>logic</b> 170:6 <b>long</b> 12:5 55:16,20 77:3 <b>longer</b> 57:13 165:14 <b>look</b> 14:11,18 19:6 21:8 28:22 29:5 30:14,15 31:1 38:11 41:9 44:5 44:11 52:17 63:21	88:2,7,12 93:5 105:16 109:5 111:4 117:13 123:12 145:6 166:15 <b>looked</b> 175:7 <b>looking</b> 4:4 5:17,20 8:22 9:11 11:2 14:15 18:9,11 19:11 20:2 21:9 22:22 23:9 29:3 29:14,18,19 30:2 39:10 41:5 44:16 63:14,17,20 66:9 67:15 78:7,16 79:8 82:14 85:14 86:16 104:18 123:4 137:15 178:18,21 <b>looks</b> 133:12 <b>loop</b> 142:20 <b>losses</b> 163:14 <b>lot</b> 8:18 9:3 10:14 10:20 16:3 18:20 20:12 23:13 25:5 30:10,21 31:6 32:8 33:12 44:17 53:17 65:3 66:3 67:3 75:11 82:12 113:18 119:6,20 121:5 123:6,15 127:5 136:7 147:3 153:9,10,12 154:18 158:1 159:12 162:19,22 165:7 168:18 171:14,15 <b>lots</b> 46:10 60:8 146:8,8 <b>love</b> 34:17 41:1 71:19 80:18 <b>loved</b> 66:5 <b>low</b> 8:2 10:3 13:19 52:19 <b>lower</b> 82:17 <b>low-hanging</b> 15:2 <b>lunch</b> 9:12	<b>M</b>	<b>macro</b> 25:19 44:12 55:21 <b>magic</b> 10:10 79:10 <b>magnitude</b> 26:1 <b>mail</b> 111:5 <b>main</b> 63:1 <b>maintain</b> 62:19 <b>Maintenance</b> 105:8 <b>major</b> 45:14 62:3 <b>majority</b> 89:4 <b>making</b> 19:4,7 52:6 74:13 75:5,14 80:10 89:2 95:8,9 117:8 124:22 134:5 136:13 139:20 142:15 146:6 149:20 163:12 173:19 174:18 <b>manage</b> 104:20 <b>management</b> 1:4 1:13 2:6,7 3:18 5:2,22 13:9 16:6 29:9 31:14 32:5 38:20 39:2,21 40:17 66:22 67:1 69:22 76:13 87:3 103:10 108:21 111:19 125:7 <b>manager</b> 99:10 <b>managers</b> 69:1 <b>mandate</b> 83:10 <b>mandatory</b> 75:10 <b>maniacal</b> 8:4 <b>mantra</b> 90:2 <b>March</b> 5:3 63:4 144:2 <b>market</b> 30:15 <b>marketing</b> 51:15 101:16 <b>marketplace</b> 116:8 <b>Mary</b> 119:17 <b>massive</b> 8:10 <b>Master</b> 165:4,22 <b>match</b> 92:4 165:8 168:13,14	<b>matching</b> 164:2 171:14 <b>material</b> 173:1 <b>math</b> 163:11 <b>matter</b> 36:15 132:22 179:21 <b>mature</b> 87:19 <b>maturity</b> 25:15 <b>Mazer</b> 1:23 22:6,13 22:16 23:5,12 28:21 37:11,20 <b>McGOVERN</b> 1:17 49:3 50:18,22 51:9 70:11 72:7 72:13 92:16 93:3 94:17 99:8 100:4 101:6 108:7 113:1 113:8 114:2 119:22 144:19 145:1,11 146:1,9 146:21 174:21 175:11,18 176:1 176:14 177:15 <b>meals</b> 94:18 <b>mean</b> 23:15 26:8 47:1,5 50:3,13 59:10 65:1,9,17 70:3 78:1 87:22 94:6,9 96:22 102:17 107:22 108:2 109:14 110:18 113:11 148:14 150:13 151:18 156:8 168:9 170:6 176:20 <b>meaning</b> 23:11 174:7 <b>means</b> 93:22 <b>measurable</b> 117:11 <b>measure</b> 83:18 112:22 114:1 171:9 <b>measurements</b> 22:22 <b>meaty</b> 88:9 <b>mechanism</b> 112:3,4
--	---	---	----------	--	---

135:21 143:11	114:2,15 116:11	<b>Miller</b> 119:19	118:8	35:16 88:4 101:21
<b>mechanisms</b> 34:15	117:20 118:2	<b>million</b> 25:21 26:9	<b>months</b> 7:3,8 12:9	159:20
72:21	119:2,5,13,22	28:3 68:3 130:14	15:6 57:21 63:19	<b>necessary</b> 41:8
<b>Medicaid</b> 133:12	120:1 130:18	136:19 176:17	71:10 82:9 126:4	76:6 176:9
133:15 134:13	134:9,12 135:3,6	<b>mind</b> 8:4,18 9:3	177:21	<b>need</b> 17:16 29:21
137:13 138:15	136:1 139:5	35:15 113:15	<b>morning</b> 3:5 15:16	31:15 34:9,9 35:8
<b>Medicare</b> 133:13	144:19 145:1,11	146:2	59:9	35:10 36:13 39:14
133:14 138:1,18	146:1,9,21 148:13	<b>minimis</b> 110:15	<b>motion</b> 11:1 31:22	49:1 57:6 78:11
<b>meet</b> 87:11	149:5,17 151:15	<b>minimizes</b> 42:18	32:1 168:1	79:4 84:21 86:5,8
<b>meeting</b> 1:6 3:5,18	154:5 155:4,8,12	<b>mining</b> 130:2 131:7	<b>motivational</b> 99:18	88:13 91:9,12,18
3:21 5:21,22 14:4	156:6,7,12 157:15	141:15	<b>Motorola</b> 123:2	91:20 92:1 101:21
15:9,18 70:20	158:6,13,16	<b>minute</b> 7:7 80:1	<b>move</b> 25:14,15	112:21 115:13
73:5,14 80:4	160:22 161:20,22	87:5	30:13 32:21 36:18	116:19 126:21
82:14 119:9 127:3	166:19 167:3,19	<b>minutes</b> 80:7,15,18	37:5 70:8 71:3,4	141:14 145:12,15
161:6 177:20	168:9 173:9,21	80:20 100:15	71:19 72:8 76:16	147:2,5,8,10
178:6,8 179:13,14	174:1,3,4,21	<b>mirrors</b> 5:1	82:17 83:4 91:13	148:4 166:15
<b>meetings</b> 3:21 5:6	175:11,18 176:1	<b>missed</b> 177:12	107:9 116:5 119:9	171:21,21 173:18
10:15 14:3,3	176:14 177:13,15	<b>missing</b> 18:10	177:7	176:21 177:6
15:11 19:19	178:12	<b>mission</b> 23:1 29:11	<b>movement</b> 70:18	<b>needed</b> 14:18 124:5
158:10	<b>members</b> 1:13	41:8,11 50:16	71:2	174:5
<b>member</b> 23:10	161:9,12	51:7,11,18 84:7	<b>moving</b> 16:22 30:3	<b>needs</b> 35:21 46:18
26:11,14,19 27:7	<b>membership</b>	84:15 90:9,11	41:4 63:4 65:4	101:14
28:2,6,15 34:20	143:19	95:10 98:10	70:11 73:7 75:3	<b>net</b> 44:4
36:1,2 37:17	<b>memo</b> 21:11	123:13	77:15 80:17 85:3	<b>network</b> 157:8,19
41:12 45:9,13	<b>memorandums</b>	<b>missions</b> 90:5	98:20 117:10	<b>never</b> 8:9,10 39:13
46:17 47:1,5,15	169:16	<b>mixture</b> 10:10	<b>multiple</b> 39:3 79:5	92:11 101:9 115:7
48:2,9,12,14 49:3	<b>mentality</b> 30:3	<b>mobile</b> 8:15 9:18		152:9
50:18,22 51:9,20	<b>mentioned</b> 25:20	17:8,16 32:9	<b>N</b>	<b>new</b> 5:21 6:4 12:19
52:12 53:12,15	26:17 30:17	<b>model</b> 23:16 25:15	<b>name</b> 131:18	13:17 16:19,20
54:2 55:12 56:2,7	127:21 130:3	31:8 88:22 105:2	168:13	23:10,11 31:1
56:10,12 58:11	144:6 168:18	126:17,20 141:15	<b>names</b> 75:16	34:10 52:4 62:16
60:1,6,11,14 63:8	170:12	148:11	<b>naps</b> 80:1	62:17,18,18 65:3
63:16 65:2,20,22	<b>men's</b> 4:7	<b>models</b> 20:9,13	<b>NASA</b> 90:8	65:9 87:13 100:9
66:17 68:19 69:6	<b>mess</b> 11:11,12	31:1	<b>NASWA</b> 144:6	102:12 106:19
69:9,12,17,22	<b>message</b> 90:10	<b>modern</b> 157:20	<b>national</b> 61:21	114:19 126:8,17
70:11 72:7,13	<b>messaging</b> 94:9	<b>modular</b> 16:21	135:16 136:14	127:18 128:7,8,12
77:21 91:3 92:7	<b>met</b> 1:10 5:3 25:21	<b>monetary</b> 95:17	139:15 150:3	130:11,13 135:8
92:11,16 93:1,3	118:8 123:9	<b>monetize</b> 114:1	151:1	136:6 137:5
94:17,21 96:6,9	<b>metrics</b> 124:16	<b>money</b> 35:8,9,16	<b>nature</b> 84:2 116:22	139:11,19 140:3
96:12 97:12,16,17	140:2 141:2	41:2 51:3,6 66:3	159:14	143:12 147:7
97:20 98:11,18	175:14	91:5,6,9 93:7,13	<b>navigate</b> 160:11	148:3 149:4 150:3
99:8 100:3,4,5,18	<b>Michigan</b> 175:22	93:15,16,20 94:16	<b>NDNH</b> 149:12	153:18 158:20
101:1,6,7 102:3	<b>Microsoft</b> 7:13	98:3 101:15 110:4	150:3 152:1,3	164:19 168:12
103:3,11 106:7,14	<b>middle</b> 111:7	170:16 177:3	159:17,17	170:12 171:8,16
107:10 108:7,8	<b>midst</b> 15:7 30:18	<b>monitor</b> 34:1	<b>Neal's</b> 168:10,15	174:7 179:8
109:9,19 110:18	<b>mid-career</b> 65:10	<b>month</b> 15:9 40:20	<b>near</b> 25:19 105:21	<b>newly</b> 149:13
111:16 113:1,6,8	<b>millennial</b> 113:5	99:2,3 102:19	<b>necessarily</b> 26:22	<b>news</b> 56:18



**newsletters** 95:21  
**nice** 42:8  
**Night** 22:14  
**Nike** 78:15  
**nine-month** 71:11  
**nirvana** 18:12  
**Nobel** 61:18,20  
**nominated** 23:6  
**nonpolitical** 53:22  
**nonprofit** 93:4  
**normalize** 9:7  
 20:18  
**North** 65:5  
**notes** 95:20 130:5  
**notifies** 150:2  
**notion** 22:1 41:18  
 54:11 137:5 151:4  
**not-for-profit**  
 100:6  
**November** 62:12  
 63:2 78:10  
**nuances** 75:4  
**number** 5:6 28:11  
 74:2 84:14 85:19  
 110:8 114:14  
 129:16 130:22  
 135:14 139:18  
 143:2,3,5 158:4  
 160:19  
**numbered** 125:9  
**numbers** 48:8 61:3  
 142:22  
**numerous** 164:11  
**nuts** 70:6  
**NW** 1:12

---

**O**


---

**objections** 48:5  
**objectives** 11:5,7  
 99:16  
**obsessed** 98:21  
**obtain** 164:17,17  
**obvious** 160:4  
**obviously** 3:22 5:8  
 60:15 97:8 120:12  
 127:13,20 139:11  
**occur** 138:4

**ocean** 85:16 140:12  
**OCTOBER** 1:8  
**offering** 146:7  
**office** 1:1,11 4:15  
 21:11 34:13 39:2  
 39:21 50:20 51:1  
 51:5,19 57:4  
 104:17 105:2  
**officer** 39:2 119:19  
**offices** 38:5 40:14  
**official** 83:12  
 105:11  
**officially** 3:4  
**oftentimes** 36:9  
**oh** 101:15  
**oil** 29:13  
**okay** 17:14 31:14  
 39:8,20 53:21  
 63:16,21 80:8  
 88:11 91:19 92:10  
 114:7,20 122:9  
 145:11 150:12,19  
 150:20 175:11  
 176:5  
**old** 115:18  
**old-fashioned**  
 73:18  
**OMB** 55:15 56:4  
 104:10 106:2  
 107:8  
**OMB's** 30:11  
**once** 45:4 50:10  
 84:7 133:7 161:8  
 173:19  
**ones** 37:8  
**one's** 69:20,21  
**one-device** 108:14  
**ongoing** 6:3  
**onion** 172:17  
**online** 115:3  
**open** 3:20 137:14  
 178:6  
**opened** 60:3,7  
**opening** 64:16 89:5  
**operate** 134:21  
**operating** 9:21  
 90:2

**operations** 9:15  
 24:16 105:8  
**OpEx** 13:15 43:9  
 54:14  
**opportunities**  
 16:11 27:4 31:20  
 31:20 105:17  
 128:3  
**opportunity** 111:4  
 176:3  
**opposed** 74:6 92:9  
**opt** 36:11  
**optimize** 32:18  
**option** 114:20  
**order** 37:2,4  
 115:13 135:8  
 153:1  
**orders** 26:1  
**Oregon** 78:15  
**org** 21:22  
**organization** 14:12  
 14:13 17:15 31:18  
 45:19 60:20 76:3  
 77:8 84:3 104:2,7  
 111:11,14 113:18  
 123:20 130:16  
 135:12 142:17  
 164:19  
**organizational**  
 62:14 142:4,5  
 143:9 144:1  
**organizations**  
 19:14 24:20  
 109:20 125:13  
**organize** 135:13  
**orientation** 17:13  
 74:14  
**oriented** 95:11  
**original** 77:16  
 116:12  
**outcomes** 104:4  
**outlined** 103:10  
**outside** 4:13  
**overall** 3:15 60:18  
**overarching**  
 125:11  
**overbilling** 138:13

**overcome** 73:21  
**overcomeable**  
 170:9  
**overnight** 170:10  
**overpayment**  
 150:16  
**oversight** 9:17  
**owes** 121:15  
**owned** 126:20  
**ownership** 28:17  
 48:18 105:21  
 116:12 126:21  
**o'clock** 60:6

---

**P**

---

**pace** 80:17  
**page** 3:15,17 4:20  
 13:11,12 14:8  
 18:20 26:3 67:11  
 89:14 114:18  
 121:1 164:1 175:2  
 177:22 178:1,7  
**pages** 115:2  
**paid** 87:8 122:6  
 149:2 151:6 158:7  
**painful** 31:17 76:21  
**painfulness** 73:14  
**Pairs** 106:17  
**paneling** 40:21  
**paper** 110:3 111:8  
 113:11  
**paperless** 111:2  
**paperwork** 150:22  
 151:5  
**par** 74:2  
**parallel** 132:9  
**parameters** 105:19  
**parenting** 17:22  
**Pareto** 88:22  
**part** 7:17 16:4  
 33:17 52:8,15  
 54:6 91:7 93:17  
 95:10 110:7  
 142:16 146:18  
 160:1 164:18  
 166:4 177:12  
**participate** 161:5

161:15  
**participated** 163:1  
**participating**  
 136:13  
**particular** 14:15,22  
 40:12 105:6 125:6  
 127:3 128:6  
 159:21 168:8  
 175:12 179:9  
**particularly** 117:3  
 161:16  
**parties** 165:3  
**partner** 86:5  
**partnered** 156:21  
 170:14  
**partnering** 82:1  
 85:18 147:16  
**partnership** 132:7  
**parts** 16:22 93:20  
 105:9,10 123:20  
**party** 99:16  
**pass** 39:22 152:9  
 154:14  
**passed** 66:3 165:14  
**passion** 66:8 67:1,1  
 78:3  
**passionate** 113:14  
**path** 87:17 146:19  
**Patriotism** 12:4  
**pay** 49:21 79:1  
 98:16 102:22  
 117:1 126:5 132:7  
 138:20 139:2  
 146:3 151:7 158:6  
 158:18,19 159:5  
 160:10 162:5,6  
 164:1,7 165:11  
 166:8 167:9,11  
 168:2 169:3,13,14  
 169:16 172:4  
**paychecks** 99:19  
**paying** 38:9 115:21  
 116:4 160:8 168:4  
 168:14  
**payment** 86:7  
 121:2 122:10  
 124:22 129:10

131:11 145:4 149:20 150:8 166:4,5,18 167:18 168:13 174:11 179:2 <b>payments</b> 2:17 3:9 5:5 80:13 121:7 121:19 123:8 128:19 146:7 156:18 163:8,22 165:2,6,9,19,21 166:8,15,21 167:14,15,16 168:8,20 170:16 174:13,18 175:4,8 176:10 177:11 <b>payment's</b> 166:12 <b>payor</b> 149:2 <b>pays</b> 159:6 <b>PDF</b> 108:2 <b>peanut</b> 31:17 74:19 91:16 <b>penalty</b> 34:17 <b>Pennsylvania</b> 1:12 <b>people</b> 12:3 15:22 16:11 17:4 20:16 23:5,14 31:13 34:8 35:2,5 36:3 40:13 48:19 49:10 50:13 52:1,14 54:4 57:21 58:17 61:16 62:17 63:8 64:14 65:4,10 68:5 69:8 71:2,6 71:18 73:3,3,17 74:12,17 75:6,13 75:18,19 76:17,22 77:4 79:4 92:21 93:10,19 94:5,14 95:12 97:17 98:2 98:9,21 99:11 100:11 103:14 104:4 107:22 112:5 115:9 116:5 116:17 117:9 124:21 149:13 156:13 163:11	166:12 167:15,16 171:21 177:3 <b>people's</b> 93:15 94:12 <b>percent</b> 12:14 26:7 30:22 31:4,15 32:22 33:11 36:12 36:13 43:9,14,16 43:17 44:1,3 68:12,13 88:16,19 88:21 92:10,12,18 92:19,21 116:3 165:2,5,19 166:20 169:3 <b>percentage</b> 32:21 91:15 <b>perfect</b> 103:7 122:16 <b>performance</b> 2:9 83:19 96:7,13 <b>period</b> 57:14 64:4 152:7 <b>periods</b> 57:17 <b>permission</b> 117:6 <b>person</b> 63:22 71:11 71:20 112:9 122:2 149:19 <b>personal</b> 59:15 <b>personally</b> 78:3 98:3 179:6 <b>personnel</b> 75:17 <b>person's</b> 122:2 <b>perspective</b> 23:2,13 29:6,15 30:3 41:7 41:10 59:17 108:22 109:1 172:4 <b>perspectives</b> 89:21 <b>phase</b> 32:11 58:15 58:16 118:21 <b>phenomenal</b> 62:5 67:19 <b>phone</b> 102:7,11,15 <b>phrase</b> 74:18 <b>physicality</b> 30:8,20 <b>physics</b> 61:22 <b>pick</b> 34:4 46:13	56:6 107:10 128:19 <b>picked</b> 139:8 178:10 <b>picking</b> 52:19 132:1 <b>pie</b> 86:4 88:9 <b>piece</b> 20:8 35:12 118:20 <b>pieces</b> 129:1 141:3 153:11,12 <b>pig</b> 136:7,22 <b>pilot</b> 58:14 126:8 129:22 153:3,17 177:7 <b>piloted</b> 58:2 <b>piloting</b> 52:19 126:13 147:15 169:4 <b>pilots</b> 171:1 <b>pinpointed</b> 97:15 <b>pizza</b> 99:16,17 <b>place</b> 3:22 5:6 69:16 160:2 <b>places</b> 10:4 17:3 65:5,12 104:12 105:3,7 111:13,19 129:20 140:14 153:16 <b>plan</b> 11:2,4 14:21 89:9 140:19 144:11 <b>planes</b> 88:5 <b>planning</b> 40:4 62:11 <b>plans</b> 16:13,16 38:6 38:8 42:2,5,17 <b>plaque</b> 98:17 <b>platform</b> 17:20 <b>play</b> 144:6,7 <b>played</b> 43:7 <b>players</b> 40:11 <b>playing</b> 45:11 96:15 <b>plays</b> 33:21 <b>please</b> 53:14,14 144:21 178:3	<b>pleased</b> 50:7 130:6 <b>plug</b> 119:15 <b>PMAB</b> 10:14 14:3 38:10 63:1 98:13 123:7 126:8 161:9 178:7 <b>PMAB's</b> 53:4 160:15 171:6 <b>PO</b> 34:11 36:21 <b>point</b> 24:8 41:19 51:18,22 53:18 61:20 67:22 75:15 84:10,21 97:21 98:12 104:19 108:10 110:14 112:17 117:4 119:8,8 122:18 128:20 131:9 147:6 148:21 150:2,21 153:10 157:22 160:14 163:16 171:17 <b>pointed</b> 57:16 <b>points</b> 32:3 41:21 137:2 172:6 <b>poking</b> 99:21 <b>policy</b> 22:18 34:17 46:9 54:6 83:10 106:22 108:14 111:4 <b>political</b> 152:8 <b>Poneman</b> 1:23 15:11,16 73:12 <b>populated</b> 85:11 86:10 <b>portal</b> 82:22 87:7 115:17 <b>Porter</b> 22:14 <b>portfolio</b> 2:7 13:13 14:7 25:9 31:7 33:15 34:3 37:13 42:2 43:12 44:18 46:5 53:3 55:6 86:2 97:1 104:11 108:22 <b>portion</b> 178:6 <b>Portland</b> 78:15	<b>position</b> 7:1 112:19 <b>positions</b> 70:21 <b>possible</b> 47:18 169:7 <b>possibly</b> 51:6 <b>posted</b> 176:7 <b>pot</b> 35:14 98:6 101:19,20 <b>potential</b> 14:6 62:10 82:11 92:5 103:9 105:20 166:15 168:17,21 171:4 <b>potentially</b> 168:7 <b>power</b> 104:6 <b>powerful</b> 11:1 59:16 60:13 112:11 176:15 <b>practically</b> 176:11 <b>practice</b> 23:19,19 110:13 <b>practices</b> 38:16 83:1 85:2 96:4 111:20,21,22 112:5 125:12 132:12 173:7 <b>pre</b> 72:3 <b>predicated</b> 89:8 <b>predilection</b> 74:4 <b>preoccupied</b> 76:2 <b>prescribed</b> 36:10 <b>present</b> 1:19 74:3 118:13 <b>presentation</b> 59:13 97:13 108:3 123:11,16 124:13 128:5 138:6 <b>presented</b> 172:21 <b>president</b> 1:1 167:10 <b>presidential</b> 44:10 61:15 <b>President's</b> 1:4,13 3:18 5:2,22 <b>presiding</b> 1:12 <b>press</b> 67:12 <b>pressure</b> 54:21,22
--	--	---	--	--

<b>pressures</b> 54:17	59:14 62:4 98:15	<b>program</b> 56:19	172:16	132:1 134:3
<b>prestigious</b> 61:14	111:21 161:2	57:7,11,22 58:10	<b>pulled</b> 64:14 98:5	137:12,14 144:20
<b>presumably</b> 69:10	162:21	58:19 60:21 63:5	99:6 113:8	145:10 148:13
<b>pretty</b> 44:19 45:20	<b>prize</b> 61:18,20	63:7 67:5 79:8	<b>pulling</b> 18:2 20:6	150:15 166:20
64:11 74:7 75:5	109:13	106:18,20 137:18	101:8	<b>questionable</b> 166:9
76:20 110:19	<b>probably</b> 11:21	156:19 171:16	<b>pulpit</b> 96:3	<b>questioning</b> 65:21
162:7 164:16	21:3 28:17 32:18	<b>programming</b>	<b>punitive</b> 48:22	<b>questions</b> 11:22
<b>previous</b> 70:20	32:20 45:8 49:6	101:13,14	52:13	60:2,8,8,9,15
84:11 158:21	<b>problem</b> 35:6	<b>programs</b> 90:22	<b>purchase</b> 34:7 37:2	65:17,18 70:5
<b>price</b> 83:2 87:8	131:6 134:17	91:4 114:19	37:4 50:12 117:9	72:14 78:13 81:3
102:2 117:4	141:14 145:18	126:22	<b>purchasing</b> 26:5	89:11 117:18
<b>prices</b> 89:7	149:14 150:16,17	<b>progress</b> 5:15	<b>pure</b> 170:6	125:11 161:18
<b>prices-paid</b> 82:21	160:2	80:10 81:11	<b>purpose</b> 164:21	<b>quick</b> 53:13 87:1
82:22 87:7 115:17	<b>problems</b> 34:2	104:13 140:3	<b>purposes</b> 147:3	118:19 119:15
<b>pricing</b> 108:6	131:15 141:6	<b>prohibited</b> 154:10	<b>purse</b> 86:9	120:8 150:19
<b>primary</b> 95:11	<b>process</b> 9:6 13:7	<b>project</b> 92:21	<b>pursue</b> 104:15	166:20 169:2
<b>primer</b> 123:2 172:8	14:1,7 18:22 19:2	140:20 141:3	106:6	177:7
<b>principles</b> 43:20	19:18 25:4 36:18	<b>projects</b> 92:14 93:6	<b>push</b> 25:14 45:21	<b>quickly</b> 7:5 89:10
122:21 123:17	36:21 42:6,11	93:12,18	46:12,20 67:16	109:7 110:19
<b>print</b> 109:13,15	77:1,10 138:19	<b>proliferate</b> 137:8	77:11 104:12	114:6 129:21
<b>printer</b> 113:2	139:2 140:5,7	141:16 144:9	116:7 126:1	140:16 151:9
<b>printers</b> 110:9	141:5 144:18	145:15 174:6	140:17,17 146:6	152:5 159:16
112:8	148:19 149:8	<b>proliferating</b>	<b>pushing</b> 53:10	170:20 171:3,17
<b>printing</b> 107:21,22	150:8 171:7 174:6	135:19	<b>put</b> 11:4 21:10,11	<b>quite</b> 55:8 166:9
109:11 110:7,12	<b>processes</b> 127:7	<b>promising</b> 29:2	21:11 27:14 34:7	170:15
110:16 114:20	163:3,9	132:3	35:13 57:10 76:11	
<b>print-wise</b> 112:1	<b>processing</b> 43:1	<b>promote</b> 13:4	84:17 90:2,11,12	<b>R</b>
114:6	134:5,7,21	<b>property</b> 20:3	90:14 95:13,22	<b>race</b> 98:19 99:3
<b>prior</b> 150:5	<b>procurement</b> 29:9	<b>proposal</b> 111:7	118:5 151:16,17	<b>Rafael</b> 90:6 108:19
<b>priorities</b> 36:19	37:14,21 39:7,9	131:4	162:2 163:21	<b>raised</b> 137:12
44:10 97:7 101:19	86:4 88:17 94:11	<b>protect</b> 75:16 90:6	174:16 178:10	<b>raises</b> 109:4
106:3 140:9,14	<b>procurements</b>	<b>protected</b> 154:8	<b>putting</b> 69:2 134:1	<b>ran</b> 130:10
<b>prioritization</b>	37:15	155:18	<b>P-R-O-C-E-E-D-...</b>	<b>random</b> 113:1
126:2	<b>produce</b> 143:1	<b>proven</b> 125:4	3:1	<b>ranged</b> 122:21
<b>prioritize</b> 129:2	<b>productive</b> 52:7	<b>provide</b> 8:21,21		<b>Rank</b> 61:15
<b>prioritized</b> 44:2	97:19	123:3 128:18	<b>Q</b>	<b>ranking</b> 10:3
<b>prioritizing</b> 129:3	<b>productivity</b> 24:17	139:3 172:13	<b>quality</b> 61:7 62:2	<b>rate</b> 67:18 137:4
129:7	32:7,14 43:18	179:7,9	69:6	143:1 156:10
<b>priority</b> 11:5 44:1	<b>products</b> 104:22	<b>provided</b> 40:19	<b>quantum</b> 61:22	159:13 175:21,22
69:8 91:21 129:5	144:13	123:2	<b>quarter</b> 178:13,15	<b>rates</b> 131:11
140:21	<b>professionalism</b>	<b>providing</b> 127:22	<b>quarters</b> 4:16 7:21	159:10
<b>prisoners</b> 167:14	68:9	161:1	<b>question</b> 10:15	<b>rating</b> 60:19 76:19
<b>privacy</b> 153:8	<b>professionals</b> 86:11	<b>proving</b> 147:12	26:19 41:13 42:1	<b>ratio</b> 110:10 112:8
154:21 168:15	<b>profiles</b> 118:11	<b>psychic</b> 100:2	42:3,22 45:3 50:3	<b>rationalize</b> 32:16
170:3	122:14	<b>public</b> 8:6 98:15	53:13,16 58:5	<b>rationalizing</b> 18:9
<b>private</b> 7:6,10 8:6	<b>profit</b> 8:11 49:22	<b>publicly</b> 41:5	65:18 68:16 95:3	<b>reach</b> 21:5,8 43:12
27:19 30:15 38:15	93:4	<b>pull</b> 9:7 20:5 25:11	106:9 107:16	<b>readily</b> 133:3

171:14	92:12 138:19	<b>reinforcement</b>	72:15 83:16	163:17,21 171:4
<b>ready</b> 89:16	149:11 150:15	48:10	<b>requests</b> 38:2	171:11 177:1,5
<b>real</b> 17:12 20:2	165:18	<b>reinvest</b> 90:18,22	<b>require</b> 42:20 47:8	<b>returning</b> 91:21
32:16 46:11 68:22	<b>reasons</b> 133:20	<b>relate</b> 110:3	154:11	<b>revenue</b> 29:12
71:1 73:15 94:2	<b>recall</b> 121:8	<b>related</b> 38:19 41:21	<b>required</b> 11:3	<b>review</b> 3:5 13:8
94:15	<b>recap</b> 81:20	141:5 166:21	16:14,15 134:19	16:2 19:4,20,21
<b>realize</b> 9:2 31:4	<b>receive</b> 141:22	<b>relates</b> 41:18	149:9 152:7	19:22 21:7 31:10
66:22	<b>received</b> 58:22	<b>relationship</b> 125:20	<b>requirements</b>	33:21 54:10 73:15
<b>realized</b> 9:1 24:11	61:10,10	157:12 168:6	140:18	174:20
24:19 45:6	<b>receiving</b> 130:14	<b>relationships</b> 10:11	<b>requires</b> 66:8	<b>reviewing</b> 5:15
<b>realizing</b> 24:14	147:20	<b>relatively</b> 74:22	154:12	<b>revolutionize</b> 79:19
<b>really</b> 4:22 5:14	<b>recognition</b> 100:17	<b>relevance</b> 138:14	<b>requisite</b> 133:7	<b>RFPs</b> 140:1
12:10,18 13:13	<b>recognize</b> 120:11	<b>relevant</b> 21:3 122:5	<b>reservations</b>	<b>Rick</b> 119:19
16:2,9,10 17:3	147:2	131:12 156:18	106:21	<b>rid</b> 36:19 107:22
21:15 22:3,9,22	<b>recognized</b> 76:16	157:3,6 170:2	<b>resistance</b> 146:20	<b>right</b> 3:3 4:5 8:3,12
29:3 30:2,5 35:2	96:14 97:18	172:14	<b>resonated</b> 90:1	10:6 13:20 14:11
36:13 40:8 41:4	<b>recognizing</b> 79:4	<b>remain</b> 144:17	<b>resonates</b> 90:11	17:22 19:2,6,6
45:17,21 46:21	<b>recommendations</b>	<b>remember</b> 55:14	114:13	22:5,6 23:8 24:21
48:20,21,21 49:12	5:9,11,16,19	162:18	<b>resource</b> 29:10	28:5 29:20 35:17
51:1,18 57:17,21	81:20 83:6 97:9	<b>remembers</b> 15:13	145:6	36:22 37:11 40:1
59:21 60:9,10	<b>record</b> 39:8 80:4	<b>remind</b> 7:5	<b>resources</b> 35:19	41:3 43:7,13 46:2
61:9 65:7,17	<b>recraft</b> 73:6	<b>reminding</b> 99:9	132:22 163:20	46:18 48:1,13
66:11 67:4,12,14	<b>recruiting</b> 70:9	<b>remiss</b> 81:21	<b>respect</b> 131:5	50:7 51:10 52:12
71:2,8 73:15,21	<b>red</b> 49:7 50:9 51:13	<b>remove</b> 36:20,21	153:19	54:17 55:12 60:14
74:10 75:9 76:12	94:19 174:17	<b>removed</b> 66:20	<b>response</b> 36:17	64:4 67:14 68:16
76:21 77:14 78:6	<b>redo</b> 40:7	75:16	137:2 145:20	69:3,10,20 70:9
81:10,11,13 84:20	<b>reduce</b> 146:11,12	<b>removing</b> 41:2	<b>responsibilities</b>	72:12 79:10,21
85:5 88:7 91:12	<b>reducing</b> 105:20	<b>rental</b> 105:11	143:19	81:4 85:4 88:22
92:2 94:4,6,14,14	<b>reduction</b> 84:19	106:12	<b>responsibility</b> 58:9	89:13,16 93:1
95:7 100:12	111:10	<b>repair</b> 105:8	142:4,14,16,19,21	94:9 100:19 101:1
101:21 103:18	<b>referenced</b> 137:3	<b>replace</b> 27:1	146:2 165:15	102:3,3 106:5
104:1,4,5,11	<b>reflect</b> 38:18	<b>replaced</b> 68:18	<b>responsible</b> 135:19	109:18 114:17
105:4,5 109:1	<b>reflection</b> 37:13	<b>replacing</b> 27:10	136:16	129:18 135:3,6
111:5 115:12,14	<b>reform</b> 11:6,11,12	<b>replicas</b> 173:20	<b>rest</b> 154:21	137:10,16,19
123:7 129:19	11:17 73:21	<b>replicated</b> 173:12	<b>restrictive</b> 49:9	147:4,14 148:22
137:12 149:9	<b>refresh</b> 26:6,16,22	<b>report</b> 156:17	<b>restrooms</b> 4:4 80:1	149:6,22 150:2,9
150:19 151:10	<b>refreshing</b> 27:17	157:10 173:4	<b>result</b> 93:19 111:9	151:19,20 153:2,4
153:18 156:3	65:6	<b>reported</b> 157:4	129:5	154:6,9,12 156:3
160:3 164:7	<b>refreshingly</b> 59:13	<b>reporting</b> 157:1,12	<b>results</b> 16:10 18:21	158:1,13,15 159:2
166:13 171:5,22	<b>refund</b> 166:4	<b>reports</b> 167:13,13	22:21 25:17,19,22	160:10,13 161:14
173:11 174:16	<b>regard</b> 133:13	<b>repository</b> 173:12	26:3 61:5 63:7,7	161:19 162:4
178:21	<b>regardless</b> 78:9	<b>represent</b> 28:3	83:13 117:12	167:2 169:2,6,22
<b>real-time</b> 122:11	<b>regulation</b> 157:16	88:19	177:7	171:19,19 173:4
<b>real-world</b> 36:17	<b>regulations</b> 157:17	<b>represented</b> 33:1	<b>resume</b> 70:3,9	177:14,14,16
<b>reaped</b> 116:9	<b>reimburse</b> 102:18	<b>representing</b> 32:13	<b>resumed</b> 80:5	<b>rigor</b> 20:16 42:14
<b>reason</b> 28:2 36:11	138:5,8	<b>reproduce</b> 108:3	<b>retired</b> 61:13	<b>rigorous</b> 58:1
37:10 50:14,15	<b>reinforce</b> 47:16	<b>request</b> 33:17	<b>return</b> 8:22 93:5	<b>risk</b> 122:14 123:3

171:6,9 172:8	109:9 110:18	<b>scenario</b> 121:22	<b>seeing</b> 28:12 44:17	<b>session</b> 59:16 60:3
<b>risks</b> 128:2	111:16 116:11	<b>scorecard</b> 112:22	123:19 145:20,21	86:2
<b>road</b> 74:8 87:19	117:20 118:2	<b>Scott</b> 1:20 3:14,15	<b>seen</b> 15:7 23:21	<b>sessions</b> 63:9
<b>ROI</b> 9:1,2 45:6	119:2,5,13 148:13	57:9 177:19	25:22 32:14 87:9	<b>set</b> 6:6,8 8:4,19 9:4
128:3 145:21	149:5,17 155:4	<b>screen</b> 90:3,12	96:5 97:8	34:12 35:16 49:15
176:18,18	156:6,12 158:6,13	<b>scrub</b> 31:12	<b>segment</b> 86:3 168:8	51:11 86:19 98:1
<b>role</b> 21:4 24:4	158:16 161:22	<b>scrubbing</b> 76:21	<b>segments</b> 84:11	98:18 101:18
33:20,21 53:8	166:19 167:3,19	<b>search</b> 129:17	88:12	105:18 112:1
131:2 137:21	168:9,14 173:9	<b>season</b> 6:11	<b>select</b> 106:9,10	118:9 142:5
144:6,7 160:15,19	174:1,4	<b>seat</b> 21:6	<b>selected</b> 63:9	150:12 163:2
<b>rolls</b> 19:12	<b>sales</b> 99:11,13	<b>seated</b> 4:14	<b>Selective</b> 10:6	164:5
<b>room</b> 1:10 50:6	<b>sanitation</b> 104:22	<b>seats</b> 64:19	<b>send</b> 175:16,17	<b>Seth</b> 1:22 120:15
85:1 86:9 88:16	115:22	<b>second</b> 10:5 20:7,8	<b>sending</b> 95:19	122:9 126:15
124:11,12	<b>sat</b> 16:12	26:12 62:15 82:10	<b>sends</b> 104:1 173:4	128:15 130:18
<b>rooms</b> 106:12,22	<b>satisfaction</b> 76:18	106:5 118:20	<b>senior</b> 15:18 22:9	137:3 144:19
107:3	<b>satisfied</b> 16:22	132:14 133:10	44:5 56:20 57:12	160:9 164:15
<b>root</b> 34:22 35:1	<b>save</b> 30:22 84:14	149:12 161:4	58:22 61:13 63:11	166:22 170:12
121:9 129:9,15	92:18 93:20 94:14	169:2	63:18 68:5 83:12	<b>Seth's</b> 128:4
<b>roots</b> 74:5 113:4	109:3	<b>secondly</b> 74:14	85:11,22 86:12	<b>sets</b> 79:5 133:19
<b>rotation</b> 71:12 72:1	<b>saved</b> 113:19 114:9	83:14 85:21 86:22	<b>sense</b> 3:14 8:7	<b>setting</b> 49:9 86:15
<b>rotational</b> 72:5	114:11	<b>secret</b> 15:22 19:16	11:17 94:10	111:21
<b>route</b> 49:11	<b>saves</b> 116:2	<b>secretary</b> 15:11,14	110:10 123:21	<b>seven</b> 80:19 88:14
<b>routine</b> 113:4	<b>saving</b> 16:17 28:13	15:20 16:4 57:9	134:6 139:5	88:20 100:22
<b>Roxanna</b> 4:11	32:16 90:14	120:16	<b>sensitive</b> 78:21	<b>shadow</b> 34:5,21
<b>rubber</b> 27:22	101:20 109:15	<b>section</b> 121:2	<b>sent</b> 134:21	35:1,17 41:16
<b>rule</b> 102:12 103:5	<b>savings</b> 26:7 28:4	155:19	<b>separate</b> 17:7	49:11,16,18
166:2 168:21	31:3 32:13 33:11	<b>sector</b> 7:6,11 8:6,7	147:14	<b>shalt</b> 49:13
174:22	42:12 84:6 85:19	38:15 40:12 59:14	<b>separation</b> 149:11	<b>shamed</b> 113:9
<b>rules</b> 111:22	87:15 88:10 89:21	62:5 98:15 111:21	<b>September</b> 5:8	<b>shaming</b> 176:11
133:19	90:20 92:6 97:18	161:2 162:22	16:14,15	<b>shape</b> 44:12
<b>run</b> 13:7 16:1 54:9	103:4 105:7 107:7	<b>secure</b> 27:19 90:6	<b>seriously</b> 69:2	<b>share</b> 60:22 61:4
59:18 130:11	116:9,10 117:15	<b>security</b> 26:4 48:15	104:3	112:5 136:20
162:5 165:20	157:5	54:20 108:20	<b>Server</b> 7:18,20	142:18 145:6
<b>Rung</b> 119:19	<b>saw</b> 8:5,10 15:8	156:20 157:3	<b>service</b> 10:7 17:12	<b>shareholders</b> 90:21
<b>running</b> 7:18 9:4,5	16:8,10 17:2	166:5 170:3	17:18,20 22:20	<b>sharing</b> 18:14
9:15 56:20 67:9	<b>saying</b> 24:5 49:13	<b>see</b> 5:21 14:6 17:12	30:4 31:8,21 32:2	82:22 111:22
118:16 130:16	50:11 60:7 71:18	18:4 24:14 26:2	32:5 35:3,8,10,20	144:12 167:20
132:9	92:10 142:19	26:15 33:5,11	36:8 78:12 119:17	<b>sheet</b> 12:16 67:10
	150:7,7 155:4	36:3 37:1,15 42:8	123:13 164:3	124:14
<b>S</b>	159:16 166:22	43:12 50:7 52:1	<b>services</b> 138:4,9,11	<b>shepherd</b> 128:7
<b>sake</b> 91:5	167:13 168:10,12	60:18 61:5 67:10	138:14 148:15	<b>shift</b> 52:21 74:13
<b>salary</b> 150:19	170:6	69:10 73:17 99:1	153:20 168:3	<b>shifting</b> 71:21
<b>Salem</b> 1:17 26:11	<b>says</b> 17:15 59:2	101:10,17 105:16	<b>SES</b> 2:8 3:7 65:9	<b>ships</b> 88:5
26:14,19 27:7	75:19 109:5 150:9	107:8 109:9,10	71:17,18 72:1	<b>shop</b> 22:19
28:2,6,15 34:20	150:12	112:10 125:19,20	74:4 77:15 96:19	<b>shops</b> 46:9 58:4
63:8,16 106:7,14	<b>scale</b> 8:14 10:4	145:13 147:9	<b>SESs</b> 70:13 75:19	<b>shouting</b> 100:11
107:10 108:8	116:7,7	171:3 177:4	77:4	<b>show</b> 95:13 118:18

<b>showed</b> 10:1	<b>six</b> 5:16 88:18	<b>solicit</b> 63:11	167:1	<b>sprinkle</b> 47:19
<b>showing</b> 22:21	112:9 130:10	<b>solicits</b> 63:11	<b>spare</b> 71:9,11 105:9	<b>sprinkled</b> 50:9
138:7 176:22	143:14,22	<b>solution</b> 106:2	105:9,10	<b>sprint</b> 89:16
<b>shows</b> 114:7	<b>size</b> 68:3 122:3	126:5 129:19	<b>speak</b> 126:10	<b>stack</b> 20:20
<b>side</b> 48:9,10 89:14	<b>sizeable</b> 88:9	<b>solutions</b> 89:13	<b>speaker</b> 58:20 63:1	<b>staff</b> 144:1
113:2 166:22	<b>ski</b> 6:11	133:9 147:11	<b>speakers</b> 60:19	<b>staffed</b> 21:18
179:2	<b>skill</b> 79:5	<b>solve</b> 131:14	<b>speaking</b> 48:7	<b>staffing</b> 139:13
<b>sided</b> 110:8	<b>skills</b> 39:12 40:9	<b>somebody</b> 17:14	50:21 53:11 64:7	<b>staffs</b> 127:4 133:8
<b>SIDES</b> 149:10	70:5	34:12 107:14	70:8 72:12 74:22	<b>stage</b> 45:1
151:1	<b>skipped</b> 129:16	109:4	92:8 101:3 110:6	<b>stagnated</b> 100:8
<b>sign</b> 37:4 49:5	<b>slap</b> 120:3	<b>someone's</b> 138:13	120:5 150:6	<b>stale</b> 77:12
169:16	<b>slide</b> 81:17,18 85:3	<b>someplace</b> 175:1	151:12 152:14	<b>stand</b> 39:21 89:19
<b>signal</b> 70:12 104:2	85:7,15 87:20	<b>somewhat</b> 114:12	153:22 155:14,21	112:10 145:2,13
<b>signature</b> 37:18	111:18 114:4	<b>song</b> 22:14 124:13	156:1 157:21	<b>standard</b> 68:9
<b>significant</b> 23:13	115:12,12 125:9,9	<b>soon</b> 6:11 53:19	161:10 162:3	112:8,16
88:10 149:15	125:10 143:2,3,5	122:8 169:6	175:21 177:17	<b>standardization</b>
<b>significantly</b>	164:1,12 170:20	<b>sooner</b> 150:6	178:2	83:17 127:5,6,6
146:12	<b>slides</b> 121:6 178:7	<b>sophisticated</b>	<b>specialist</b> 39:5,7	141:10 172:8
<b>silo</b> 8:19 9:1	<b>slot</b> 64:18	132:21 157:13	40:6,17	<b>standardize</b> 127:12
<b>siloed</b> 17:6	<b>slots</b> 64:13	163:10	<b>specialists</b> 40:15	171:13
<b>silos</b> 79:6	<b>slow</b> 46:12 59:3	<b>sophistication</b>	<b>specific</b> 33:13 75:4	<b>standardized</b> 67:6
<b>similar</b> 47:6 49:7	<b>slowing</b> 12:11	132:19	75:15,17 123:1	127:9
97:1 107:16	<b>small</b> 18:5 37:22	<b>sorry</b> 130:4 134:9	<b>specifically</b> 62:12	<b>Standardizing</b>
165:12	39:1 135:14	149:17 177:11	<b>specifics</b> 121:4	141:4
<b>simple</b> 48:11 103:4	136:12 139:18	<b>sort</b> 10:12 11:9,10	123:18	<b>Standards</b> 61:21
168:12	<b>smaller</b> 89:6	11:13 12:1 14:5	<b>spectrum</b> 9:18 17:3	<b>standing</b> 85:8
<b>simultaneous</b> 48:7	156:12 158:1,3	17:10 19:1 24:8	121:9,11,22	<b>standpoint</b> 11:19
50:20 53:11 64:6	<b>smarter</b> 32:9 44:7	25:1,2,20 27:16	<b>spectrums</b> 18:7	14:14 28:1
70:8 72:11 92:8	44:8 48:22 115:13	33:18 42:7 43:1,4	<b>spend</b> 12:14,18,20	<b>star</b> 100:19,20
101:2 110:5 120:4	<b>SMITH</b> 1:18 36:2	43:20 44:5,11,14	35:9,17 43:17	<b>start</b> 3:4,13 6:20
150:6 151:12	37:17 47:1 48:12	45:6,7 56:21 57:1	51:3,6 80:6 89:3	18:13 25:9,13
152:14 153:21	91:3 92:7,11 93:1	58:9 67:7 72:3	91:5,11 115:15,15	52:22 70:14 82:18
155:14,21 156:1	96:6,9,12 97:16	74:4,20,21 76:19	117:7 121:5	96:13 103:14,20
157:20 161:10	97:20 98:18 100:3	76:21 108:18	133:10	119:9 121:3
162:3 175:21	100:18 109:19	119:3 134:2	<b>spending</b> 12:11	173:19 176:4,22
177:17 178:2	113:6 114:15	<b>sorts</b> 154:20	85:18 89:5,8 91:5	<b>started</b> 6:16 14:5
<b>simultaneously</b> 4:2	151:15 155:8,12	<b>source</b> 88:4 106:17	136:18	33:18 50:11 52:21
101:3,5	157:15 173:21	109:21 122:8	<b>spends</b> 88:2	78:1,14 79:7
<b>single</b> 96:22 97:4	174:3	<b>sourcing</b> 2:13 3:8	<b>spend-back</b> 91:15	99:21 100:11
106:20	<b>snapshot</b> 175:6	5:5 39:11 46:4	<b>spend-through</b>	103:20 118:16
<b>sit</b> 10:14 43:13	<b>Social</b> 26:4 48:15	47:7 80:7 82:6,8	85:13	120:7
139:16,18	156:20 157:3	89:18 104:13	<b>spent</b> 7:15 12:7	<b>starting</b> 17:12,14
<b>site</b> 81:13 123:8	166:5	111:15 112:3	15:9 16:5 52:18	18:4,8 21:10
127:21	<b>software</b> 7:12	117:10 120:4	92:14 123:9 177:4	23:21 24:10,13
<b>sitting</b> 117:14	104:16,17 163:10	121:1 175:3,15	<b>spirit</b> 11:14 43:21	44:20 54:7 76:15
<b>situation</b> 131:3	<b>sold</b> 100:13,15	179:3,10	99:20	125:20 151:5
155:2	<b>solely</b> 12:17	<b>space</b> 30:15 93:4,5	<b>spots</b> 82:18	<b>startup</b> 7:14

<b>stat</b> 13:13 14:7 31:7 33:15 34:3 37:13 42:2 43:13 44:18 53:3 55:6 86:2 97:2,2	<b>stealing</b> 138:3 <b>Steering</b> 136:11,15 139:10,14,16,19 140:22 143:9,18 143:20 144:7 160:18,22 161:2,7	<b>strategy</b> 27:14 29:18 <b>stream</b> 27:22 147:15 <b>streamlined</b> 175:17 <b>street</b> 80:14 117:14 <b>strict</b> 164:16 <b>string</b> 86:9 <b>strong</b> 45:18 46:5 <b>structure</b> 22:9 117:8 139:10 143:9 160:1 <b>struggle</b> 12:2 <b>struggling</b> 45:8 <b>study</b> 59:17 <b>stuff</b> 17:1 18:9 19:11 20:6,21 27:18 43:11 67:5 108:14 112:3 114:17 155:21	124:19 125:14 128:8,10 134:11 142:13,15 171:22 176:4 177:6 <b>survey</b> 72:20 <b>suspended</b> 121:14 <b>sustain</b> 54:2 <b>sustainability</b> 109:22 113:7 <b>swap</b> 29:21 120:3 <b>swapping</b> 120:9 <b>sweet</b> 82:18 <b>switch</b> 77:20 107:12 <b>synchronization</b> 173:13 <b>syndicating</b> 84:8 109:8 <b>synthesized</b> 123:7 <b>system</b> 9:21 28:12 36:4 67:6 76:20 78:16 100:10 106:20 116:6 132:16 133:12 134:5,19 135:8 142:21 149:7,12 159:15 174:11	<b>take</b> 31:22 32:20 35:12 45:22 47:4 51:14,14 60:7 62:4 68:16 72:3 74:4 76:8,11 77:3 77:11 79:22 91:21 92:20 98:3 104:3 114:17,21 120:21 123:15 128:9 142:18,21 162:5 166:1,3,3,4 178:5 179:19 <b>taken</b> 5:6 12:21 125:22 129:10,15 134:20 176:8 <b>takes</b> 3:22 48:21 112:18 <b>take-up</b> 67:18 <b>talk</b> 14:5 18:21 33:15 82:5,20 86:6 94:5,11,13 110:7,8 122:22 126:6,16 132:12 136:10 139:7,9 140:5 143:5 144:16 155:8 156:13 <b>talked</b> 45:16 81:12 84:1 86:1 96:19 106:11 107:12 117:21 129:2 158:12 <b>talking</b> 6:2,17 55:8 55:21 78:1 79:3 103:15 118:2 178:12,18 <b>talks</b> 27:15 87:20 <b>Tangherlini</b> 1:24 81:22 103:12,18 106:13,15 109:17 110:21 111:17 113:22 114:3 115:10 116:18 119:14 <b>tanks</b> 88:5 <b>tap</b> 122:8 169:12 <b>targeted</b> 85:9 87:2
<b>statement</b> 66:5 <b>states</b> 1:1 126:21 127:1 130:11,22 132:13 133:4,16 134:8,16,21 135:11,13,15,20 135:22 136:12 137:17 138:15 139:18 141:16 142:3 144:5,14 145:5 146:1,5,12 147:16 151:22 153:3,17 157:20 160:2 167:9,16 168:6,19 169:5 170:14 176:22 179:8 <b>state-led</b> 126:17 133:11 <b>static</b> 77:10 <b>stats</b> 25:9 46:6 61:3 <b>status</b> 92:12 97:3 156:5 <b>statute</b> 11:4 24:2 <b>stay</b> 55:18,19 66:7 <b>stays</b> 55:16 <b>steal</b> 13:15 54:12 54:14	<b>stop</b> 13:4 55:6 98:22 106:4 110:2 122:9 145:7 160:8 166:16 170:18 <b>stopping</b> 163:22 <b>stories</b> 47:20 50:1 52:11 65:4 67:2 <b>story</b> 59:15 <b>straightforward</b> 162:7 <b>strategic</b> 2:13 3:8 5:5 11:2,4,7 12:16 23:1 24:10 39:11 41:6 46:4 47:7,10 80:7 81:1 82:4,6,8 89:18 104:13 105:19 106:1 111:15 112:2 117:9 120:4 121:1 140:19 158:10 175:3,14 179:2,10 <b>strategically</b> 88:4 106:17 122:14 <b>strategically-sou...</b> 112:4 115:22 <b>strategies</b> 133:9 144:5	<b>subcommittees</b> 5:10 <b>subject</b> 37:22 39:9 <b>submit</b> 16:16 <b>succeed</b> 98:10 <b>succeeded</b> 142:7 <b>success</b> 55:22 57:6 62:6 67:17 72:10 84:2 156:10,17 <b>successes</b> 5:18 84:7 <b>successful</b> 44:19 <b>sudden</b> 52:3 <b>suddenly</b> 154:20 <b>sufficient</b> 76:7 <b>summarize</b> 172:3 <b>summary</b> 173:2 <b>SunTrust</b> 157:8 <b>superiors</b> 74:15 <b>supply</b> 104:17 105:2 <b>support</b> 103:22 104:1 111:15,17 168:20 <b>suppose</b> 23:12 <b>sure</b> 24:19 45:10 46:20 80:16 83:9 83:20 96:2 120:10	<b>systems</b> 17:10 29:10,10 33:10 51:16 52:1,2,7 105:10 127:10 132:17 133:19 134:20 141:7 142:5	
<b>T</b>				
			<b>Tab</b> 3:16 5:12 <b>table</b> 15:19,22 20:4 21:7 85:12 91:8 91:20 100:13 104:5 113:3 <b>tablet</b> 108:1 <b>tablets</b> 108:17 <b>tackle</b> 125:17 <b>tackling</b> 34:19 <b>tactical</b> 33:13 82:5 82:10	

<b>targeting</b> 85:5 87:21	176:8	163:5 164:6 173:9	68:11 70:12,17	129:11,16 147:21
<b>targets</b> 16:17 82:11	<b>tend</b> 74:20 157:13	176:15 177:2	73:5 74:19 75:22	153:3,16,17
<b>task</b> 142:8	<b>tendency</b> 71:9	<b>things</b> 4:4 8:15	76:1,7 77:9,13,14	<b>thriving</b> 137:18
<b>tax</b> 121:16 142:10	<b>tenor</b> 22:17	9:19 10:2,10,12	79:9,14,18 80:9	<b>throwaway</b> 99:14
155:19 166:3	<b>tenure</b> 7:20 54:4	10:18,21 11:11,12	90:19,22 91:3,16	<b>Tim</b> 62:7,22
168:19	63:15	11:16 12:2,6	94:8 95:2 97:21	<b>time</b> 5:1 7:16 13:2
<b>taxes</b> 149:3 154:5	<b>ten-minute</b> 160:11	13:17 14:17 16:7	98:11,15 101:8	13:4 16:5 25:21
155:6 159:5	162:5	16:21 17:7,11	103:6 104:12	27:12 31:11 37:1
<b>taxpayer</b> 84:17	<b>term</b> 29:4 62:15	22:3 24:2,14,18	105:13 107:6	47:7 52:6,18 53:1
90:16 93:22	105:21	27:8 28:9 29:9	108:9 109:14	53:15,19 55:11,16
<b>taxpayers</b> 50:2	<b>terms</b> 41:1 51:3	30:1,9,12,14,16	110:21 111:18	57:14 62:4 68:14
92:20 93:9	77:15 78:8 93:10	31:2,5,19 32:8	112:17 115:11	69:2 74:16 79:17
<b>teacher</b> 100:20,21	94:18 100:8	33:13 34:3 38:1,3	116:8,19 117:2	89:11 101:10
<b>teaching</b> 16:1 54:9	113:19 122:1	38:7,12,18 39:19	123:6 124:7	117:7 121:6
54:10	124:14 127:10	41:7 43:18,19	125:16 127:13	122:12 124:11
<b>team</b> 7:17 9:13	<b>terrain</b> 52:22	44:3,11 47:8,9,12	128:5 129:7,12,21	129:8 141:1
20:5 55:18,19	<b>terrific</b> 58:11 145:9	52:6 53:1,6 54:22	131:2 132:3	151:11 152:8
64:17 67:13 96:18	<b>test</b> 17:19 171:2	56:6 58:6 70:2,19	137:11,13 140:15	158:12 168:5
108:15 123:8,22	<b>tested</b> 156:16	71:15 75:12 78:20	143:13 145:12	174:15
124:3 161:13	<b>testing</b> 136:6,22	81:16 83:21 85:2	147:1 160:13	<b>timekeeper</b> 45:11
<b>teams</b> 62:19 81:8	146:14	88:6 90:8,13	162:21 164:4,6	<b>times</b> 8:22 9:20
85:8 86:20 95:5	<b>thank</b> 3:17 53:9	91:20 94:19 95:19	168:10,16,16	10:14 13:1 30:21
179:6	56:12,14,18,19	95:21 96:1 101:20	169:1,6 170:5	31:9 65:19 114:12
<b>teamwork</b> 99:21	64:21 66:15 72:17	106:16 107:15	171:2,10 172:5,11	<b>tipping</b> 24:9
<b>technical</b> 29:17	79:22 103:17	109:2 115:16	173:6 174:17	<b>tires</b> 73:2
74:3 121:16	177:18 179:13,17	118:20 126:8,11	176:9,16 177:8	<b>title</b> 22:8 97:2
<b>techniques</b> 132:3	179:18	126:15 132:8	178:8 179:13	<b>today</b> 3:21 4:14
<b>technological</b>	<b>thanks</b> 81:6,7,8	138:8 139:22	<b>thinking</b> 11:20	5:14 11:21 81:19
132:18	103:12 119:14	154:21 162:17	19:12 20:9 27:16	165:20
<b>technology</b> 6:18	128:16,17 162:13	164:15 167:8	32:1 33:2 74:5	<b>today's</b> 3:17
9:17 13:2 32:10	<b>thematic</b> 62:12	170:22	95:18 104:19	<b>toe</b> 46:22,22
39:16,17 54:21	<b>theme</b> 13:21	<b>think</b> 3:11 8:5 9:5,6	105:1,2 107:17	<b>told</b> 4:10 31:15
132:15 133:3	177:14,16	10:5,14 11:15,21	108:4,13 114:22	65:4 129:22
141:8,17 146:14	<b>thing</b> 10:13 18:3	12:1,10 13:1,3	126:12 153:15	<b>tool</b> 12:16,17 31:13
<b>tee</b> 79:16	24:9,10 25:8 32:6	15:8 17:21 18:3	<b>third</b> 10:5 11:6	82:22 96:2
<b>telecommunicati...</b>	36:16 38:22 42:16	18:12,14,17,19	21:2	<b>tools</b> 7:18,21 10:19
40:12	44:21 49:14 51:4	19:18 20:5 24:6	<b>thou</b> 49:13	10:20 12:15 44:15
<b>tell</b> 44:2 63:12	52:11 67:11 71:12	26:21 27:3,4 28:6	<b>thought</b> 15:2 48:8	72:20 123:1 132:3
65:16 145:19	76:14 91:17 92:2	31:8 32:4,11,17	66:10 96:5 111:14	133:3 171:20
151:21 155:10,12	93:14 98:22 99:15	33:5,19,20 34:3	113:2	176:12
175:20	101:8 102:1	34:20 35:11 36:2	<b>thoughtful</b> 59:12	<b>top</b> 21:22 53:6
<b>telling</b> 70:16	108:18 109:20	44:11,20,22 45:1	65:18	54:13 67:7 71:5
<b>tells</b> 149:12	110:6 114:15	45:20 46:16 47:2	<b>thousand</b> 17:8	74:6 77:6 83:21
<b>temperature</b> 98:7	115:4 124:7,14	47:15 51:4 52:8	<b>three</b> 7:3 12:8 19:1	85:17 95:8 118:15
<b>ten</b> 29:2 61:16 67:7	126:7 129:18	53:3 54:5 55:4,22	29:20 56:21 70:21	125:10 128:2
79:22 165:13	137:16 148:8	61:8 62:8 64:9	82:4 83:8 85:5	129:11
	151:1,20 154:1,1	65:2 66:1 67:16	105:14 118:15	<b>topic</b> 5:4 21:14



79:13	<b>tried</b> 70:21 135:12	130:11,12,16	<b>updates</b> 2:5 6:18	85:10 87:13,14
<b>total</b> 28:16,16	155:21	131:10 132:16,16	97:3	89:6
48:17 105:21	<b>trip</b> 20:13	132:17 133:12,16	<b>updating</b> 178:17	<b>vendor</b> 2:6 13:8
106:8 157:2	<b>true</b> 32:13 59:18	141:7,22 142:8	<b>use</b> 18:10 27:18	16:6 38:19 39:2
<b>totally</b> 36:6 98:15	<b>truly</b> 32:12	143:1 149:2	29:4 32:10 36:11	39:21
<b>tough</b> 10:8	<b>trust</b> 159:6,6,19	151:10 160:6	39:1 50:1,4 72:21	<b>vendors</b> 39:18 83:5
<b>towels</b> 113:11	<b>trusted</b> 122:7	166:22 167:17	83:11 102:7,15	83:16
<b>town</b> 63:22	<b>try</b> 67:12 115:20	168:7 175:13	108:17 113:11	<b>vener</b> 68:5 74:6
<b>track</b> 54:19 83:18	126:8 135:11	<b>ultimately</b> 46:3,5	122:13 123:11	<b>version</b> 147:8
110:17	140:11,13 141:11	<b>unbelievable</b>	134:12 141:6	<b>versus</b> 20:17,17
<b>trade</b> 135:17	<b>trying</b> 54:19 90:6,8	157:19	145:5 152:3	157:20 163:21
172:18	92:17 110:9	<b>uncontainable</b>	157:13 173:13	175:21
<b>trade-off</b> 91:2	119:11 124:15	174:9	<b>user</b> 108:22	<b>Veterans</b> 23:22
<b>training</b> 19:11	125:4 126:18	<b>uncover</b> 97:18	<b>users</b> 173:17	<b>vice</b> 100:10
20:15 56:19 57:2	149:18 152:2	<b>uncovered</b> 19:17	<b>Usually</b> 149:16	<b>view</b> 14:17 20:10
57:22 58:8 75:10	173:3 175:13	<b>undercurrent</b>	<b>utilization</b> 83:15	24:8
133:5,8	<b>tuned</b> 16:12	126:12	<b>U.S</b> 29:1 39:3	<b>viewed</b> 31:19
<b>tranche</b> 118:19	<b>turn</b> 18:20 128:14	<b>underestimate</b>	143:12	<b>viewpoint</b> 72:19
<b>transaction</b> 146:13	<b>turned</b> 77:15 92:15	97:21 104:6	<b>V</b>	<b>vignettes</b> 47:20
<b>transfer</b> 151:7	<b>turning</b> 125:8	<b>underpay</b> 150:18	<b>VA</b> 57:9	<b>violates</b> 154:20
<b>transformational</b>	175:13	<b>underpayment</b>	<b>validating</b> 122:1	<b>virtualization</b> 27:8
86:16	<b>turnout</b> 64:11	150:17	<b>valuation</b> 20:13	27:18 30:18 32:10
<b>transition</b> 53:21	<b>Twenty-five</b> 48:6	<b>underpinning</b> 16:7	24:22	<b>virtuous</b> 42:18
62:10,16 89:12	<b>two</b> 3:10 5:4,4,10	<b>understand</b> 14:12	<b>value</b> 8:3,20 12:19	69:13
95:3 103:7 115:8	7:7,10 9:15 14:2,3	20:21 34:18 35:6	13:20 20:10 69:1	<b>visibility</b> 116:13,16
128:4	17:22 18:7 45:9	<b>understanding</b>	69:10 82:17 84:6	116:20
<b>translate</b> 67:2	45:13 71:10 73:22	115:14 124:5	91:1,4 105:19,20	<b>vision</b> 107:13,14
93:21	73:22 102:9,13	125:3 169:17	106:8 116:12	108:5
<b>translates</b> 90:4	118:9 129:15	<b>understates</b> 141:9	<b>valuing</b> 20:16	<b>visit</b> 123:8 127:21
95:15	130:15 131:2	<b>undifferentiated</b>	<b>vanguard</b> 135:14	138:12
<b>translation</b> 94:20	132:8 137:1	76:20	<b>VanRoekel</b> 1:25	<b>visits</b> 20:14 40:19
<b>transparency</b> 83:3	148:21 161:16	<b>undo</b> 69:20,21	6:16 7:2,9,14	81:13
87:8 116:13,16	169:7 172:6	<b>unemployment</b>	13:12 15:15,17	<b>visuals</b> 98:5
<b>travel</b> 105:12	<b>two-sided</b> 113:3	122:10 126:4	21:19 23:17,20	<b>voluntary</b> 146:17
106:14,19,20	<b>type</b> 61:18 71:12	158:7 159:15	26:13,18 27:6,11	<b>volunteer</b> 156:8
<b>Treasury</b> 33:7	115:4 125:5 145:3	170:16	28:5,14,18 31:6	<b>V2</b> 34:4 45:1
48:15 84:18 111:1	148:6 166:17	<b>unfair</b> 39:9	43:3 52:10 54:5	<b>V3</b> 45:2
111:2 120:18	172:13	<b>unique</b> 137:21	55:3 56:14 86:1	<b>W</b>
127:11 154:1,2	<b>types</b> 30:16 85:2	<b>unit</b> 26:8 47:11	<b>varied</b> 133:20,20	<b>wait</b> 127:14
155:6 164:10	88:5 113:5 127:21	<b>UNITED</b> 1:1	<b>variety</b> 122:19	<b>waiting</b> 10:1 26:9
165:1,12,15 173:4	138:4	<b>unlimited</b> 102:16	<b>various</b> 125:21	<b>wake</b> 11:15
<b>Treasury's</b> 132:7	<b>typically</b> 4:17	102:17	135:20	<b>walk</b> 4:21 82:2 87:4
138:20	151:8	<b>unprecedented</b>	<b>varying</b> 132:18	95:3 103:8
<b>treating</b> 68:7	<b>U</b>	43:5	<b>vast</b> 89:4	<b>walked</b> 143:4
<b>trees</b> 114:11	<b>UI</b> 122:10 126:17	<b>upbeat</b> 66:6	<b>vehicle</b> 116:2 117:4	<b>walking</b> 102:9
<b>trends</b> 123:12	128:12,18 129:3,6	<b>update</b> 3:7,8 14:4	<b>vehicles</b> 83:11	<b>want</b> 4:13 18:1
<b>trick</b> 111:12 117:3		128:18		

28:19 30:5,7 36:3 36:4,5,8,9 37:15 38:18 41:3,20 43:11 45:10,21 46:19,20 53:9 69:21 71:3,4,18 71:20 72:16 73:10 75:6 80:16 82:5 82:22 89:11 90:14 90:17,18 92:18 93:20 94:11 95:15 98:10 103:20 104:15 112:14,14 112:15,19 117:1 119:15 121:5 124:18 128:19 133:10 135:13 140:6 141:18,19 142:2,13 144:4 145:17 150:9 153:11 155:15 162:7 163:15,16 166:7,13 168:13 169:15 170:18 171:18 172:16 173:8 176:2 177:19	<b>watch</b> 12:21 <b>watching</b> 84:11 <b>Water</b> 4:13 <b>wave</b> 25:2 34:19 <b>way</b> 12:5,18 19:5,7 25:5,6 27:19 31:17 32:4 36:12 43:5,6,8 47:15,16 49:15,17 55:9 58:5 70:16 79:17 83:18 86:18 93:21 94:5 97:12,19 101:3 109:6 110:15 117:6,10 117:11 128:6 129:17 151:13 154:8 164:4 171:12 175:1 <b>ways</b> 17:8 73:18 95:6 96:18 104:19 114:1 122:13 156:17 165:11 <b>weak</b> 21:17 <b>webcast</b> 3:22 <b>website</b> 84:12 114:6 176:7 <b>week</b> 61:2,20 78:15 96:21 118:14 <b>weeks</b> 5:17 33:16 118:9 143:14,22 147:21 <b>welcome</b> 2:2 57:4 <b>went</b> 8:9,11 14:8 75:1 80:4 116:11 162:15 170:17 <b>weren't</b> 124:11 <b>Werfel</b> 1:25 110:5 120:12,22 130:4 137:1,11,20 146:22 147:5 150:14 152:19 154:7,15 155:10 155:18 156:14 157:18 158:19 159:17 160:13 162:6,12 167:7,21 169:9,20 170:1,8	172:2 175:10,12 175:19 177:9,18 <b>West</b> 7:11 147:8 <b>we'll</b> 3:10 5:21 6:2 6:11 33:15 42:8 55:3 60:21 61:2,3 63:2 77:10 79:16 81:2 87:12,15 89:7 99:16 101:12 111:8 119:11,11 122:22 126:5 137:8 174:8 178:8 178:9,16 <b>we're</b> 3:6 4:18,19 5:14 18:4 20:14 21:9 23:20 24:7 24:21 25:6,7,11 25:17,20 26:22 28:22 29:3,3,12 29:14,17,19 30:1 30:3,9 37:11 38:4 38:7 39:8 40:20 41:1,4,5 43:13,14 43:15,21 44:22 45:7,20 46:3,4,7 46:21 48:16 49:1 51:2 53:2,15,17 54:19 55:7 58:14 59:22 60:22 62:6 62:11 63:13,14,17 63:20 64:2,12 72:6,9,22 76:1 78:6,7 79:7 80:9 80:13 81:17 83:20 84:17 85:5 86:15 86:16,18 87:21,21 88:3 89:5,16 98:8 100:21 102:16,18 103:7 104:3 107:7 107:15 108:10 110:14 115:16 117:16 118:2 119:11 120:22 124:19 125:4,20 127:13,14,15 128:18 129:12,20 131:16 132:9	136:3,7,19 138:19 139:10 140:11,13 141:1,2,11 142:20 143:6,12,21,22 144:9,16 145:20 145:21 146:6,7,16 146:16,18,18 147:15 148:11 152:2,3,3 153:7 153:14,14,15 155:21 160:1,19 165:1 166:9 169:4 170:22 171:7,22 172:9,21 173:3,14 175:13 <b>we've</b> 9:4 12:21 13:7 21:14 23:21 29:20 37:20 39:5 44:18 47:17 50:11 50:12 53:6 67:6 69:7,14 71:15 72:1 78:14 81:11 81:16 82:8 83:14 86:10 87:9,10,13 89:12,13,15,15 90:1 95:4 96:2 97:8,22 98:1,12 98:12 104:9,14 105:13,18 110:6 112:1 118:12,15 119:18 121:7 122:19 123:1 125:22 126:11 129:10,14,15 147:2 156:14 160:10 165:12 170:15 171:11,16 178:9,18 <b>White</b> 12:8 31:15 84:11 <b>whitehouse.com</b> 95:22 <b>whoa</b> 49:15 <b>wide</b> 83:10 <b>willing</b> 161:4,17 <b>win</b> 61:17 <b>window</b> 25:21	<b>winners</b> 61:14,15 <b>wins</b> 55:9 87:1,1 118:19 176:22 <b>WINSLOW</b> 1:20 3:16 4:10,12 6:8 6:12,15 117:18 120:2,6,20 178:1 178:15 179:17 <b>win-win</b> 84:20 <b>wire</b> 53:1 76:21 <b>wired</b> 56:1 72:1 <b>wireless</b> 104:18,20 <b>wiring</b> 53:6 <b>wirings</b> 45:14 <b>women's</b> 4:8 <b>won</b> 61:19 130:13 <b>wonderful</b> 59:22 <b>wondering</b> 41:22 110:12 <b>word</b> 23:11 26:17 27:2 161:21 <b>words</b> 26:16 127:7 <b>work</b> 4:21 6:3 10:4 12:10 32:15 39:13 40:13,14,15,16,22 43:14 52:3,7 61:22 71:16 78:9 78:12 79:1 86:7 94:5 122:2,9 123:6 129:17 133:6 135:19 136:7 137:15 138:1 142:1 147:14 148:1 151:21 153:6,9 163:2,12 168:19 169:5 172:7 173:11 <b>worked</b> 135:2,5 <b>worker</b> 153:7 <b>workers</b> 153:19 <b>workforce</b> 63:20 68:3 135:16 136:15 139:15 142:11 <b>working</b> 5:1 7:11 21:15 50:13,16
--	---	--	--	--

56:5 58:3 71:15 74:8 77:17 79:8 79:15 99:11 104:9 107:1,7 108:11,15 109:1 115:16 122:20 126:2 127:15 135:14,15 142:12 160:8 171:12 <b>works</b> 98:9 128:6 153:17,18 166:5 <b>workshops</b> 75:9 <b>world</b> 47:11,21 49:22 147:6 163:8 <b>worried</b> 55:7 <b>worry</b> 66:19 <b>worst</b> 23:18 131:10 176:3 <b>worthwhile</b> 163:18 <b>worthy</b> 92:14 93:2 93:2,7 <b>wouldn't</b> 61:6 135:2,4,9 168:21 <b>wound</b> 51:12 <b>wow</b> 24:14 <b>wrap</b> 179:14 <b>wrestle</b> 78:14 <b>wrestled</b> 79:12 <b>wrong</b> 10:3 71:20 109:16 168:11 <b>W-2</b> 154:2 155:5,5	157:18 158:11,12 162:9 170:8 173:21 175:2,18 177:10 <b>year</b> 5:7,21 6:22 7:2 11:3,6,8 12:8 13:6 21:12 25:10 25:12 26:9 43:4 45:4 58:2 67:17 78:2 82:12 87:11 89:19 100:7 101:12,18 111:7 143:1 150:20 <b>yearly</b> 38:6,8 <b>years</b> 9:15 12:14 22:12,17 24:2,20 29:2,21 45:7 52:18 53:20 70:22 71:10 77:18 91:10 91:12,19 92:1 130:15 165:13 <b>year-old</b> 18:1 <b>yellow</b> 174:19 <b>Yep</b> 69:11 <b>yesterday</b> 58:13,16 59:10 64:8 <b>yield</b> 129:13,21 140:15 <b>yielded</b> 33:14 <b>York</b> 128:7 130:13 136:6 139:12,19 140:3 143:13 147:7 148:3 168:12 170:13 179:8 <b>York's</b> 137:5 <b>young</b> 99:10	51:2 52:16 53:14 54:1 55:2,5,13 56:4,8,11,15 59:2 59:5 63:15 64:2,6 66:15 68:15,21 69:7,11,14,19 70:7 71:22 72:11 72:14 73:10 77:19 79:21 80:6,9,12 80:22 81:4 150:5 151:13 152:10,13 152:21 153:4,21 154:6,11,18 155:15,20 156:2 160:9 161:12,18 162:4,10 169:1,18 169:22 170:5 176:16 177:10,19 178:14 179:16,18	<b>121</b> 2:17 <b>13</b> 67:11,13 68:14 72:4 104:15 <b>14</b> 33:11 67:13 72:4 81:17 <b>15</b> 7:8 12:14 30:22 72:4 <b>150</b> 33:12 <b>16</b> 85:3 <b>1650</b> 1:11 <b>17</b> 87:20 116:3 <b>18</b> 57:21 63:19 71:10 175:2,7 <b>18-month</b> 64:4 <b>180</b> 115:2 <b>19th</b> 78:18	<b>40</b> 31:4 32:22 177:4
<hr/> <b>X</b> <hr/>	<hr/> <b>Y</b> <hr/>	<hr/> <b>\$</b> <hr/>	<hr/> <b>2</b> <hr/>	<hr/> <b>5</b> <hr/>
<b>X</b> 48:16 <b>Xbox</b> 8:15 <b>XTRL</b> 157:13	<b>zero</b> 44:4 <b>Zients</b> 1:12,14 3:3 4:7 6:6,10,13,21 7:4,13 13:10 15:13 21:17 22:11 23:3,7,15,18 45:10,14 46:19 48:1,6 50:15,19	<b>\$10</b> 176:17 <b>\$10,000</b> 100:13 <b>\$100</b> 102:18 <b>\$15</b> 130:14 <b>\$150</b> 88:9 117:21 <b>\$2,500</b> 37:21 48:3 <b>\$5,000</b> 157:4 <b>\$500</b> 25:21 <b>\$535</b> 88:1 <b>\$58</b> 28:3 <b>\$60</b> 26:9 <b>\$750</b> 136:19 <b>\$80</b> 86:3 <b>\$9</b> 118:3	<b>2</b> 3:16 <b>20</b> 17:9 31:4 36:13 80:7,15,17 88:19 114:4 115:12 118:5,12 177:4 <b>2009</b> 9:10 12:20 <b>2011</b> 2:5 3:5 6:19 179:3 <b>2012</b> 1:8 4:22 5:4 6:3 132:22 <b>2013</b> 6:5,7 144:3 178:8,20,22 <b>21st</b> 78:13 79:5 <b>22</b> 125:10 <b>23</b> 143:3 <b>24</b> 143:5 <b>25</b> 46:1 70:22 80:7 80:17 164:1 <b>252</b> 1:10 <b>26</b> 7:21 170:21 <b>27</b> 178:1,7 <b>28</b> 15:10	<b>5</b> 5:12 13:10,11,12 31:15 43:16,17 44:1,3 92:19,20 <b>50</b> 31:4 137:8 <b>53</b> 132:16 133:19 135:11,13 136:19 137:8 141:7,7 <b>56</b> 26:7 <b>57</b> 2:8
<hr/> <b>Y</b> <hr/>	<hr/> <b>Z</b> <hr/>	<hr/> <b>1</b> <hr/>	<hr/> <b>3</b> <hr/>	<hr/> <b>6</b> <hr/>
<b>Y</b> 48:18 <b>yeah</b> 13:12 15:15 23:17,17,20 26:18 27:20 49:3 54:5 60:5,11 65:2 73:12 81:2 92:7 100:4 101:1,6 103:11 113:1,6 119:4,7 144:21 150:14 151:15		<b>1</b> 177:4 <b>1.8</b> 68:3 <b>10</b> 30:22 43:9,14 92:18 <b>10:12</b> 80:4 <b>10:25</b> 80:5 <b>100</b> 68:13 <b>11:41</b> 179:21 <b>12</b> 1:8 112:9,14 165:13 <b>12.5</b> 112:14	<b>3</b> 2:2,4 3:15,17 4:20 <b>30</b> 23:5 31:4 32:22 177:4 <b>31st</b> 144:2	<b>6</b> 2:5 14:8 <b>6,000</b> 68:5 <b>6.5</b> 112:13 <b>6103</b> 155:19
			<hr/> <b>4</b> <hr/>	<hr/> <b>7</b> <hr/>
			<b>2</b> 3:16 <b>20</b> 17:9 31:4 36:13 80:7,15,17 88:19 114:4 115:12 118:5,12 177:4 <b>2009</b> 9:10 12:20 <b>2011</b> 2:5 3:5 6:19 179:3 <b>2012</b> 1:8 4:22 5:4 6:3 132:22 <b>2013</b> 6:5,7 144:3 178:8,20,22 <b>21st</b> 78:13 79:5 <b>22</b> 125:10 <b>23</b> 143:3 <b>24</b> 143:5 <b>25</b> 46:1 70:22 80:7 80:17 164:1 <b>252</b> 1:10 <b>26</b> 7:21 170:21 <b>27</b> 178:1,7 <b>28</b> 15:10	<b>7</b> 12:13 18:20 26:3 <b>70</b> 88:16 <b>700</b> 50:8 <b>75</b> 58:22
			<hr/> <b>9</b> <hr/>	<hr/> <b>8</b> <hr/>
			<b>9</b> 00 1:12 60:6 <b>9:11</b> 3:2 <b>90</b> 88:21 <b>96</b> 68:12	<b>8</b> 8th 62:12 63:2 <b>8:30</b> 60:4 <b>80</b> 2:10,13 36:12 <b>80/20</b> 174:22 <b>82</b> 64:10 <b>85</b> 165:2,5,19 166:20 169:3

C E R T I F I C A T E

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: President's Management Advisory  
Board Meeting

Before: GSA

Date: 10-12-12

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under  
my direction; further, that said transcript is a  
true and accurate record of the proceedings.



-----  
Court Reporter

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701